

Q1 I think PDF should be held once a year.

Answered: 633 Skipped: 5

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	34.44% 218	27.65% 175	22.27% 141	10.90% 69	4.74% 30	633	2.24

Q2 I think PDF should be held twice a year.

Answered: 633 Skipped: 5

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	4.90% 31	5.69% 36	21.64% 137	26.07% 165	41.71% 264	633	3.94

Q3 If only held once a year, I think PDF should be held in the _____.

Answered: 602 Skipped: 36

Answer Choices	Responses
Spring	53.32% 321
Summer	9.63% 58
Fall	35.55% 214
Winter	1.50% 9
Total	602

Q4 Additional Comments

Answered: 144 Skipped: 494

#	Responses	Date
1	I'm glad it's twice a year because I can rarely make both, but if cutting back to one would make for a better/less stressful event for all concerned, I'd be okay with that too. There are so many events to choose from!	11/13/2014 11:57 AM
2	It should be held once a year with a doubling of tickets available.	11/13/2014 10:41 AM
3	I honestly like the fall burn more (less bugs, less heat, generally less friends of vets), but there are so many other burns going on at that time, its more difficult to get to PDF.	11/13/2014 9:56 AM
4	The Fall is usually drier, cooler, and has less competition for other events.	11/13/2014 9:15 AM
5	If PDF were held once a year the benefit would be more towards the neighboring community as they would only have to deal with the event once a year	11/13/2014 7:21 AM
6	New Venue!!!	11/13/2014 6:49 AM
7	There are plenty of Summer festivals.	11/12/2014 11:36 PM
8	Just cause it was cold and rainy in the fall don't mean folks need be whining about the weather.	11/12/2014 11:10 PM

9	Pdf should not be limited to a yearly occurrence given the importance to the community-based collective sanity with revolves around biannual meetings at the event.	11/12/2014 8:21 PM
10	I'm strongly opposed to cutting pdf down to once a year. I think the reason that our community has become like family is because we are able to gather together twice per year. I feel so connected to this family that even though I moved away almost 1 year ago, I've already flown in twice (May and October) specifically for this event.	11/12/2014 7:53 PM
11	PDF needs to stop banning art (banning radical self expression) and find a new location	11/12/2014 6:16 PM
12	There are too many competing events in the spring.	11/12/2014 5:24 PM
13	Fall would probably suit PDF best if moved to an annual event over a bi-annual. The Spring and Summer months are seeing a high saturation point in festivals (burns and not burns) which has the potentiality to liquidate viability of the event in question and also draw away from the talent pool required to bring art (etc) to draw attendees. There is a risk for fall season burn out (post the spring summer events) but given that folks are willing (and god knows why) to brave the cold and snow to burn through frostburn I don't see it being too much of an issue.	11/12/2014 5:20 PM
14	I think the Fall PDF could be given a different name, have a different PC, share resources with Spring PDF, and for now, be held at Firebase Lloyd. Pumpkin del Fuego? Playa del Fango?	11/12/2014 4:04 PM
15	Move the location, the vets are creepers	11/12/2014 2:04 PM
16	I would like to see PDF run twice a year to allow for weather, tickets and schedules to align for people to go at least once a year. I have always favored fall since it aligns with pagan holidays but spring is usually warmer! I wouldn't be able to choose >_<	11/12/2014 12:50 PM
17	If we were to only end up having it once a year, it should be a full week.	11/12/2014 12:49 PM
18	If theme camps want to put more time and energy into preparing for just one PDF, either a fall or spring, should they as individual camps choose themselves which one to focus on more? Or do we need to limit the PDF event to either spring or fall so the PDF community at large focuses all of their time and energy on just one event? It could make for a larger culmination of energy and effort to just have one and in that case, spring is def a good time of year for it, weather/temperature wise. I'd miss burning in October though, I don't know of any burns close to Maryland that are happening around that time, so maybe it would be better to have PDF in the fall and focus on freeform/transformus in the warmer months. Honestly though if we all put the effort into it, coordinated amongst ourselves and amongst camps, we could probably put on two bangin PDF's. I'm torn on the topic.	11/12/2014 10:55 AM
19	I feel as though the spring and fall PDFs are both a great open and close to the summer season, also each have their own individual life force which I feel would be greatly missed.	11/12/2014 10:06 AM
20	Fall PDF is so moist.	11/12/2014 9:13 AM
21	Please do not move PDF to once a year! Spring and Fall PDFs are distinctly different and both are special!	11/12/2014 9:00 AM
22	DUMMY SURVEY	11/12/2014 8:34 AM
23	But it should also be held in the fall as well!	11/12/2014 8:18 AM
24	i love you	11/12/2014 3:01 AM
25	I'm really in love with the current schedule!	11/12/2014 2:06 AM
26	Perhaps earlier in the Fall sometime mid-end September. Please don't have it fall on Yom Kippur again like it did two years ago, or on Rosh Hashanah if possible but definitely not on Yom Kippur. Also, a little earlier in the fall would be preferable even if not on a holiday weekend, it'd be nice if it weren't so cold.	11/12/2014 1:41 AM
27	I think pdf should own the land it is held on, and host a sustainable burner artist community for the rest of the year	11/12/2014 1:35 AM
28	The fall allows for warm days and cool nights. Spring is nice too, though.	11/12/2014 12:41 AM
29	There are plenty of other burns in the summer.	11/12/2014 12:22 AM
30	I like how PDF is the parenthesis of east coast summer burn life.	11/11/2014 11:51 PM
31	Spring summer or Fall would all be fine with me since theres only 1 anyway... however.... If there is only one PDF per year, the attendance limit should be INCREASED.	11/11/2014 11:34 PM
32	n	11/11/2014 10:24 PM
33	I love both events and am happy to look forward to it each time!	11/11/2014 10:01 PM
34	2 burns	11/11/2014 9:56 PM

35	we already have frostburn for the cold/frigid aspects... Spring pdf forever!	11/11/2014 9:27 PM
36	Memorial Day	11/11/2014 9:23 PM
37	Fall!	11/11/2014 8:31 PM
38	The weather is usually a little more accommodating in the spring and more people have off work for memorial day.	11/11/2014 7:20 PM
39	I think once a year would artists and camps more time to prepare.	11/11/2014 6:47 PM
40	I dont enjoy spring at the current location (too many bitey bugs) but if the location chages, I would probably like to go in spring.	11/11/2014 6:26 PM
41	Early fall	11/11/2014 6:18 PM
42	Maybe if it's held in the summer then it wouldn't be so muddy.	11/11/2014 5:48 PM
43	I love the current schedule. Summer would be much too hot. Spring is ideal, but I love the Fall burn as well.	11/11/2014 5:20 PM
44	Can we find a better, larger capacity, less mud prone location?	11/11/2014 5:18 PM
45	never been to teh spring one , so hard to tell. but theres plenty of ppl who arent afraid of cold weather n tehy really appreciate a festival outside of the regular camp/ bm event season	11/11/2014 5:17 PM
46	If held once a year it should be a longer event.	11/11/2014 4:37 PM
47	If it was held once a year, it should be held for seven days.	11/11/2014 4:36 PM
48	When its warmer so we can enjoy being naked or wearing less clothing without being freezing cold or rained on.	11/11/2014 3:58 PM
49	PDF should be held three times a year.	11/11/2014 3:44 PM
50	Spring or Summer - warmer months = less cloths	11/11/2014 3:35 PM
51	At a different location however.	11/11/2014 3:26 PM
52	Pony!	11/11/2014 3:19 PM
53	The 2 PDF's are different from each other and have a spirit and values on there own. Spring is a great opener to the burn season. Fall is an incredible bonding and connecting experience as well a great post Burning Man event to keep the burner spirit alive.	11/11/2014 3:10 PM
54	Definitely not summer.	11/11/2014 3:08 PM
55	I think the festival should turn into a charity event for the homeless or something else constructive	11/11/2014 3:03 PM
56	Fall tends to be much more pleasant.	11/11/2014 2:16 PM
57	A spring date that was a few weeks earlier would probably help the weather to be more like spring (good) than summer (bad), in my opinion.	11/11/2014 1:58 PM
58	The 'once a year' choice was based on the current location and the conditions there during those times. If PDF were to move, it could certainly change that, but if it ain't broke, don't fix it?	11/11/2014 1:48 PM
59	Spring events are more plentiful. There aren't enough in the fall.	11/11/2014 1:42 PM
60	If it aint broke dont fix it	11/11/2014 1:38 PM
61	although i said spring on the last question i still an 100% for it being twice a year because I love coming home more often then not	11/11/2014 1:34 PM
62	Having PDF twice a year gives people the opportunity to develop closer ties with one another and I think it keeps up the excitement/momentum. Also, it gives folks one of two date options if they can only afford/take time off for one weekend.	11/11/2014 1:29 PM
63	Should it be possible, a more natural venue with tree cover would be preferable over the current grounds.	11/11/2014 1:25 PM
64	Penis	11/11/2014 1:22 PM
65	Karnack can gobble my goat bawls	11/11/2014 1:10 PM

66	I had such a great time at Fall PDF 14', I wish it could happen three times a year!.. My concern along with many others is CONSENT!! I believe that it should become the 11th PRINCIPLE! I personally had a situation where someone forced them selves on me, of course with out consent!, I got away from that situation. Thankfully, and having awesome friends there to make sure I was ok. But as I was wandering I noticed it was happening all around me. Whether it's consent just to hug or to hook up, I think this is very serious and should be addressed as such. I'd love to hear some feed back on this concern. Thank you.	11/11/2014 12:54 PM
67	Twice a year.	11/11/2014 12:50 PM
68	Summer or Fall. There are too many other reginals in the area in the spring	11/11/2014 12:49 PM
69	With tickets scarce and life busy, twice a year means I actually get to attend one.	11/11/2014 12:35 PM
70	I think this question is really dependent on many other aspects. If we go to once a year can we have more money for Art, more tickets sales, more sound camps etc. If we are just changing it to once a year and everything else remains the same, then I see no benefit.	11/11/2014 12:32 PM
71	PDF should be held on different land Turn down is one of the many reasons people have stopped attending. It has become merely a party in a field.	11/11/2014 12:22 PM
72	Spring pdf is too close to other burns.	11/11/2014 12:07 PM
73	Falls perfectly right after a slew of already well-established summer season burns around the east coast, with time to decompress from other festivals.	11/11/2014 11:53 AM
74	I think having the event twice a year is an integral part of the community.	11/11/2014 11:52 AM
75	The event being held twice helps foster a stronger community, and sense of Playa family.	11/11/2014 11:50 AM
76	If held once a year, a little later than memorial. Maybe 2nd weekend in June. Rain less likely to be an issue, people won't have to bundle up as much	11/11/2014 11:45 AM
77	Find another location! The veterans are getting a little too disrespectful and the land is a soggy swamp. People don't want to come because the quality the art is not up to snuff and the activities are lacking. Now what the event amounts to is a bunch of hippies rolling around in the mud for three days. It's low quality!	11/11/2014 11:43 AM
78	Honesty, I prefer it to be twice a year because the experiences of having it in Fall and Spring are unique and distinct.	11/11/2014 11:42 AM
79	I would love to see the concentration be put into PDF as a Decompression type of event to give growth in the area for other events in the region during the spring and summer. Or it could be flipped.	11/11/2014 11:38 AM
80	TWICE A YEAR IS NEEDED! I refuse question 3!	11/11/2014 11:32 AM
81	Having 2 events is not the issue. 2 PDFs is fine if you have enough organizers and volunteers to run the event. The PDF BoD is responsible for making sure there are enough positions, tickets, and accommodations to create a better environment for volunteering. You NEED a better volunteer party, shwag, and shorten your damn ranger training. Teach people to be moderators, not cops. That is utterly excessive and the "Ranger" perspective at PDF is wrong.	11/11/2014 11:28 AM
82	Location is the worst. If it were elsewhere, I might care how often it's held.	11/11/2014 11:24 AM
83	I love the fact that pdf happens twice a year. That way participants who cannot make it to one can make it to the other.	11/11/2014 11:23 AM
84	Different location	11/11/2014 11:12 AM
85	Depending on where it moves to.	11/11/2014 11:07 AM
86	Spring PDF is great! But a little close to all the other burns - however, having it on a long weekend is key, so I wish the other burns would move! :)	11/11/2014 11:04 AM
87	I love having two separate escapes from the real world and work.	11/11/2014 11:01 AM
88	Boobs	11/11/2014 11:01 AM
89	Please revitalize the land search. Sound policy is understandable, but a real downer.	11/11/2014 10:58 AM
90	Thank you for everything you do to keep PDF going!	11/11/2014 10:52 AM
91	A new location that's less like a swamp, and less neighbors that mind a bit of noise would be amazing, even if it costs more for tickets.	11/11/2014 10:47 AM

92	I enjoy the way PDF bookends the burn season, as is.	11/11/2014 10:44 AM
93	i think twice a year is better.	11/11/2014 10:44 AM
94	There was no option to check multiple choices but if PDF was only held once a year I am open to any season except winter	11/11/2014 10:39 AM
95	Honestly, I feel that it would make it easier for the board if it only happened once a year. Or perhaps all the planning for both sessions could happen at once. As an artist, I appreciate the challenge of creating two unique fine-art pieces. I also enjoy how PDF starts AND ends festival season for me.	11/11/2014 10:36 AM
96	But seriously, don't do this to us.	11/11/2014 10:35 AM
97	Having PDF once a year would allow for consolidation of efforts.	11/11/2014 10:29 AM
98	There are other options for spring time burns but Fall PDF is a perfect end to the burn season with nothing comparable around the same time.	11/11/2014 10:27 AM
99	Yo, when we gonna start the commune, mayne? Indentured hippitude awaits!	11/11/2014 10:16 AM
100	Twice a year spring and fall, once a year in early summer or mid-fall.	11/11/2014 10:15 AM
101	Warmer weather!! NOT WINTER!!	11/11/2014 10:11 AM
102	Love you guys!	11/11/2014 10:06 AM
103	Questions 1&2 are redundant. You should have asked: "How many times per year should PDF occur."	11/11/2014 2:03 AM
104	Once a year, increase the cap to be able to accommodate the combination of Spring and Fall participants, relocate, the community will rally!	11/10/2014 11:59 PM
105	That the ppl that volunteer there time should get priority for tickets. If that happens we can offer more coverage and better service the ppl	11/10/2014 10:27 PM
106	If PDF is only held once per year I am open to it being held in the spring ideally, but summer or fall also works. I would not attend in the winter as the climate would be too harsh for me.	11/10/2014 7:20 PM
107	Fall burn has always been my favorite. Less manic and more pagan in energy. AFAIK no other regional holds 2 a yr and this ain't PEX.	11/10/2014 6:50 PM
108	I would enjoy PDF to be held 2-3 times a year.	11/10/2014 6:27 PM
109	If PDF were to be switched to once a year, how it will affect ticket prices and caps?	11/10/2014 6:13 PM
110	Approved theme camps should have some tickets reserved to assure admittance to the event (2-4?). It's futile to commit the time, energy and money to a camp, when continually unable to get tickets from the lottery. I think we're missing out on some great camps.	11/10/2014 5:15 PM
111	Spring is nice, but buggy & muggy. Fall is nicer (usually) and a once a year event would give people and camps more time to prepare.	11/10/2014 5:11 PM
112	Spring / Summer: Winter is super No and fall has been super muddy the passed few years, perhaps even in fall, just changing a weekend to one that isn't historically raining	11/10/2014 4:42 PM
113	Current time of Memorial Day weekend is an excellent choice	11/10/2014 4:20 PM
114	Is this seriously an issue?	11/10/2014 4:09 PM
115	It would be awesome if Fall wasn't Columbus Day weekend!	11/10/2014 4:09 PM
116	In the Spring as in the Fall we're almost guaranteed a mud burn and that's getting really only.	11/10/2014 4:01 PM
117	pdf is the only regional that has 2 a year - maybe for a reason	11/10/2014 3:59 PM
118	PDF is a watered down by very effective lite BurningMan local event that has introduced hundreds of not thousands people to the BM culture. Much of this has to do with its close by and easy access location. Move this event into a hilly far off area and it will render it out of reach to many people.	11/10/2014 3:52 PM
119	Why only once a year. Only having the event once a year will lead to less revenue for the land bank savings fund.	11/10/2014 3:37 PM

120	From my experience it seems spring lacks the energy that fall has. Fall generally has more art and theme camps. Could be because the weather is preferable in fall, or maybe ppl have momentum from burning all summer, but spring definitely seems lackluster in comparison to fall, and i have felt this way for the past three years of attending PDF.	11/10/2014 3:32 PM
121	I love that I get two chances every year to attend such a great event, but find the weather to be difficult in the spring and fall. On the other hand, summer has many other events already vying for space...	11/10/2014 3:31 PM
122	I don't see how holding only one PDF a year improves anything	11/10/2014 3:27 PM
123	If you are going to pick one time of year, it makes planning around Burning Man easier.	11/10/2014 3:20 PM
124	Why not three times a year? Both fall and spring sell out every time, which shows that there's enough interest to have a third one.	11/10/2014 2:40 PM
125	For those of us who also go to the big burn, it's easier to support a spring PDF event than a fall one.	11/10/2014 2:36 PM
126	late spring..I like memorial weekend.	11/10/2014 2:24 PM
127	NO SOUND POLICY	11/10/2014 2:07 PM
128	I think that PDF needs new grounds!	11/10/2014 1:59 PM
129	The summer is full of other regional burns. It's nice to have PDF on the border seasons!	11/10/2014 1:55 PM
130	It should be held over a holiday weekend... ideally memorial day!	11/10/2014 1:45 PM
131	PDF is an essential format to introduce BM culture	11/10/2014 1:45 PM
132	I am able to spend less time at Fall PDF, but enjoy it just as much. Due to the timing of Spring PDF myself and most of my campmates are able to stay the duration of the time at Spring PDF.	11/10/2014 1:41 PM
133	The spring into summer festival season is pretty packed, with Freeform, PEX and Transformus spreading things thin. So even though spring PDF is a higher energy event, I would miss the fall more. It's the one lots of us look forward to post-Burning Man season.	11/10/2014 1:38 PM
134	If PDF were only once per year, I would be very sad. I don't get to make it to many burner events besides PDF so it would be a huge, huge disappointment for me.	11/10/2014 1:36 PM
135	Why on earth would we only hold PDF once a year? Obviously there is plenty of interest and excitement twice a year, as it sells out quickly each time. I love PDF dearly and cherish the fact that we can participate twice a year - this has let us get to know the community better, and has changed our lives for the better.	11/10/2014 1:33 PM
136	I have had several uncomfortable weather experiences in the fall PDF. With so much happening during the summer, it would probably conflict with other things (such as getting ready for BM at BRC. Still, it's nice to have TWO opportunities for PonyLand.	11/10/2014 1:32 PM
137	i love pdf twice a year!	11/10/2014 1:28 PM
138	Twice a year is great; however, if forced to once a year, spring or fall is better. Summer is hot and crowded with other regional burns.	11/10/2014 1:20 PM
139	Why is this a question?	11/10/2014 1:02 PM
140	New location for PDF please	11/10/2014 12:31 PM
141	If held once a year, weather and temperature should help decide when in the year.	11/10/2014 12:30 PM
142	It should be held 4 times a year! A PDF for every season!	11/10/2014 12:21 PM
143	If PDF were held twice a year, I would endeavor to attend both. If it were held once a year, I would work all that much harder to attend and work that much harder on what I brought or built to contribute to the event.	11/10/2014 12:20 PM
144	Spring or Fall would work as an option as there are benefits to each. However, the Fall event tends to be plagued with post-Burning Man burn-out, whereas the Spring has more energy. (Consequently, Fall is more chill as well, which can be nice.)	11/10/2014 12:18 PM

Q5 The current ticket price of \$50 is _____.

Answered: 624 Skipped: 14

Answer Choices	Responses	
Too Low	10.10%	63
Just Right	85.74%	535
Too High	4.17%	26
Total		624

Q6 If you marked "Too Low," please explain.

Answered: 63 Skipped: 575

#	Responses	Date
1	A higher price will allow for more art grants, larger space with more natural surroundings, and more co-oped installations and services such as a tranquility station.	11/13/2014 10:03 AM
2	I realize we have a lot in the bank, but if we're looking to move to purchased or larger rented land, the price will likely go up. If purchased land I would be happy for a portion of my ticket to go directly to land improvements- but only if PDF owns or co owns the land. Every other burn I've been to has higher priced tickets and no problem selling out. Low income/scholarship tickets could be offered to offset the cost for people who cant afford a higher ticket price	11/13/2014 9:58 AM
3	The price could be raised slightly to give more money to art pieces.	11/12/2014 5:25 PM
4	The demand for a better event far outways the demand for the low ticket price. More money for art and better land would make for a better event. The fact tickets sell out immediately shows that the demand outways the supply.	11/12/2014 10:57 AM
5	more \$ for art and infrastructure	11/12/2014 10:33 AM
6	Higher ticket price could allow for lease of a better venue.	11/12/2014 2:27 AM
7	more money for more art grants, nothing extravagant but a lil extra could bring some more oomph.	11/12/2014 1:16 AM
8	Increase to \$60. That makes it \$15/night if you stay the whole festival. Which is something we really want to encourage! A \$60 'Cover' for that Saturday night party at the Vet's land might be too steep for PartyBoy.	11/11/2014 11:58 PM
9	Should be a 3 tier system. \$75 - Max 4x tickets can be purchased at this price. Extra \$25 goes towards art grants \$50 - Max 2x tickets can be purchased at this price. Normal price \$25 - Max 1x can be purchased, should be a hardship ticket for people struggling financially.	11/11/2014 9:05 PM
10	A higher budget opens up possibilities.	11/11/2014 7:53 PM
11	I would gladly pay more than \$50 but emphatically NOT more than \$50 if the event is continued to be held at VVMC!	11/11/2014 7:44 PM
12	If the event plans to move, then there will be increased expenses not currently accounted for in the current budget . Also many more community members are bringing burn barrels to burn to keep warm and the firewood keeps increasing and we keep running out. We bought 17 cords of wood with average cord 220 pieces, which amounts to 2.9 pieces of wood for each of the 1257 participant checked in at the last PDF. That is less than 3 pieces of wood for each participant.	11/11/2014 6:48 PM
13	I also voted for once a year. So I would not mind a increase to maintain growth and infrastructure	11/11/2014 6:20 PM
14	Just assumed it ain't enough	11/11/2014 6:09 PM
15	You get what you pay for.	11/11/2014 6:03 PM
16	I would prefer to spend a little more and have better drainage, ice sales, parking, toilets, etc.	11/11/2014 5:33 PM
17	Give more art grants	11/11/2014 5:32 PM
18	I think if we raised it to \$60 or \$65 it would allow for more portapotties, more firewood and more straw for the fall.	11/11/2014 3:49 PM

19	Other Burns get away with charging \$75-95. If it means we can have a different venue, then I would happily pay the difference	11/11/2014 3:02 PM
20	For a 4 day event, I think it is crazy cheap. Although the property is super tiny, has a lot of fun packed into it. And it sells out every year.	11/11/2014 2:07 PM
21	I think there have been several times over the last couple when there was no more wood in the main pile during the last couple of days.	11/11/2014 2:01 PM
22	The current ticket price seems to cover all necessary expenses currently, and IIRC, the Art Grant fund wasn't even tapped out.	11/11/2014 1:50 PM
23	For an entire 4 days, it is quite the deal. I would not hesitate to still buy a ticket at \$75-100.	11/11/2014 1:40 PM
24	Could be raised however, to apply more to art grants and the like.	11/11/2014 1:26 PM
25	More money means more art	11/11/2014 1:08 PM
26	I'd pay a little more if it meant buying our own land and getting better infrastructure.	11/11/2014 12:36 PM
27	I'd pay a few extra bucks for some more firewood.	11/11/2014 12:26 PM
28	I could stand to pay more if that money went towards increasing art grants.	11/11/2014 12:20 PM
29	I think with more money, more money could be fed back into developing the art and donating to encourage more artistic development on smaller and larger scale to encourage bringing the art and community.	11/11/2014 11:40 AM
30	It could be a touch higher. Not a lot but there's so much value and it's been steady for a while.	11/11/2014 11:29 AM
31	Clearly PDF needs improvement somewhere...Improvements cost money. one way or another. Is PDF contributing anything else from its annual profit (if there is any) besides the same basic infrastructure and art grants every year? the cost is too low if you're happy with the event as is.	11/11/2014 10:56 AM
32	I feel that more participation should be encouraged, and that more money should be sent to infrastructure and art. More money charged means more money goes to projects and volunteers. Also, PDF is notorious for thefts (and creepers, for that matter). A higher price would keep the riff-raff out (please note that I am referring to the general group and not the individual named Riff-Raff, as he would be more than welcome).	11/11/2014 10:40 AM
33	I think it encourages burn spectator types	11/11/2014 10:37 AM
34	For the experience \$60-65 gives you more operating income and still a deal.	11/11/2014 10:26 AM
35	If we're ever going to buy land, we need to raise more money.	11/11/2014 10:25 AM
36	Too many people!	11/11/2014 10:24 AM
37	Comparable regional burns are considerably higher priced, and I believe additional revenue from ticket proceeds could be well used in additional art grants and/or a new location	11/11/2014 10:16 AM
38	I would rather pay more to burn at a different location. I feel like for a nicer venue the price would go up, and my entire camp would be happy to pay extra.	11/11/2014 10:14 AM
39	A higher price could provide additional money for land purchase.	11/11/2014 10:13 AM
40	i'd be willing to pay more if need be. I know it would be going to good causes	11/11/2014 10:08 AM
41	We need more money. How about 70 bucks.	11/11/2014 9:38 AM
42	u get lot's of "party kids" - if u price at \$100 say, maybe we'd have a more "community" mentality, peeps who get the principles, peeps who participate, create, & love - - - not just those looking for a good party - - - don't get me wrong, I do love the PARTY	11/11/2014 8:57 AM
43	Tickets sell out very quickly, so the price is too low. Furthermore, PDF is not amassing a reserve fund that could be used to purchase land for future, unbridled (har har) events.	11/11/2014 2:06 AM
44	Use the money to either grant more money for more (or better) art projects OR FIND ANOTHER VENUE	11/10/2014 10:41 PM
45	Low ticket prices increase hoarding. Expand and publicize the hardship tickets. Expenses increased, income hasn't.	11/10/2014 6:55 PM
46	We should be increasing the ticket price to pull in additional funds which should explicitly be earmarked for LAND SEARCH and LAND ACQUISITION use.	11/10/2014 5:38 PM

47	More money for art and theme camps!!! People may bitch at a slightly higher ticket price, but pull out \$25 in a second for a pill.	11/10/2014 5:18 PM
48	I would be OK with paying more to support future land purchase and/or amenities and infrastructure for the event site itself	11/10/2014 4:22 PM
49	I would be OK with an increase if it meant more \$\$ for art.	11/10/2014 3:42 PM
50	I could pay more if it went to more art grants!	11/10/2014 3:25 PM
51	I think if you can show that the price needs to be higher then make it what you need. Look at the prices of the other burns and think about the money PDF needs to succeed.	11/10/2014 3:21 PM
52	Raise the price to cover needed services, ie: wood for fires, trash pick(pay a vet with a tractor) Maybe a hay ride or too	11/10/2014 2:32 PM
53	Higher ticketing price could expanded art funding as well as a more suitable venue.	11/10/2014 2:30 PM
54	Raise price for more art capabilities	11/10/2014 2:28 PM
55	tickets sell out instantly at the existing price and the experience is well worth more. Higher priced tickets will provide more funds to make it even better and make it more likely that those buying tickets really want/need them.	11/10/2014 1:47 PM
56	We need to raise the ticket price to keep building up reserves for land purchasing.	11/10/2014 1:36 PM
57	i pay twice that for other burns and want more money for art	11/10/2014 1:29 PM
58	I think the current ticket price is so affordable it has caused PDF to draw non-burners who really just want to party in a field	11/10/2014 12:48 PM
59	I think that an increase in ticket price could be manageable by attendees and support increased \$ toward art grants.	11/10/2014 12:46 PM
60	Not enough to grow, plus all other burns are way more expensive	11/10/2014 12:37 PM
61	Doesn't allow enough money for art	11/10/2014 12:33 PM
62	For the current location, \$50 is "just right". However, in order to get better land rental, the ticket price will NEED to be raised. Personally, I've paid \$100 for tickets for Transformus without batting an eye due to the benefits of the land and event held at Deerfields!	11/10/2014 12:19 PM
63	I'm down to pay more to increase the number of art grants we can provide.	11/10/2014 12:15 PM

Q7 If you marked "Too High," please explain.

Answered: 27 Skipped: 611

#	Responses	Date
1	Without seeing the actual accounting, \$50,000+ twice a year for a mostly volunteer run event seems a bit excessive	11/13/2014 11:45 AM
2	The "original" price was \$25. It was raised to \$50 to raise money for buying land. As this has not happened yet after many, many years it seems pointless to continue raising money for something we may never buy. Which makes me wonder what we will be doing with the money if we decide NOT to buy land. Will this large sum of collected money be refunded? I understand that costs may have risen to the point where \$25 is too low, but until I hear otherwise I will assume that for every 1000 tickets sold, there is \$25,000 just sitting in an account somewhere.	11/12/2014 9:18 PM
3	b	11/11/2014 10:24 PM
4	I'm in debt.	11/11/2014 8:30 PM
5	move it to a better location w beautiful land and you could raise the price or make the \$50 worth it	11/11/2014 7:46 PM
6	N/A	11/11/2014 7:44 PM

7	Not worth it	11/11/2014 7:27 PM
8	I'm poor, with travel and food/water plus buying ice while there, the 50\$ price tag is, at least to me, a detriment to my being able to attend as often as I'd like.	11/11/2014 3:36 PM
9	I would say closer to \$30 is better! I am biased though because I travel from far to attend!	11/11/2014 3:19 PM
10	It started out at 30 when i was going	11/11/2014 3:04 PM
11	The Vets land does not really justify a much higher price.	11/11/2014 2:07 PM
12	I'm a low budget person, this price allows me to go with out having to brake the bank.	11/11/2014 1:54 PM
13	sometimes it can be kinda high at times but I am usually able to work something out at this price	11/11/2014 1:39 PM
14	I think that price for families can be a bit high. When you are paying for multiple people to get in	11/11/2014 1:11 PM
15	cheaper is always better	11/11/2014 12:47 PM
16	I've paid less money for better venues and better events	11/11/2014 12:09 PM
17	Bit on the pricier side for a three day camping trip, is there really need for the \$50 ticket? I would like to see justification.	11/11/2014 9:57 AM
18	i only say it's too high because no land has been purchased despite talking about it for years. i wouldn't mind paying \$50 if REAL ACTION had been taken to move PDF to a better location.	11/10/2014 6:58 PM
19	Hard ship cases who may not have the where with all to document their hardships have trouble with the \$50 fee. I am referring to the 2009 fee rise. Obviously the \$50 fee in 2014 money is reasonable. What I would not like to see is a \$75 or \$100 or more fee. Hence my Too High selection.	11/10/2014 3:53 PM
20	Unless we move to buy/rent land, either the art grants should increase or the ticket price should decrease.	11/10/2014 3:42 PM
21	PDF takes in more money than it costs to run the event and the board is not committed to using the excess money to buy land. The ticket price should drop, or the board should commit to buying land.	11/10/2014 3:29 PM
22	gut reaction from the fact it used to be \$35. And I haven't seen the event improve much after the price went up 40%	11/10/2014 2:38 PM
23	\$40 is more reasonable for what we get.	11/10/2014 2:32 PM
24	I demand more art and a better festival for my money.	11/10/2014 2:00 PM
25	No explanation	11/10/2014 1:46 PM
26	The venue and the event itself does not warrant this ticket price.	11/10/2014 12:34 PM
27	Profits are going into a fund that just sits there. If you're not going to buy land, you should lower prices.	11/10/2014 12:23 PM

Q8 Additional Comments.

Answered: 114 Skipped: 524

#	Responses	Date
1	I think it's affordable for those who have less money, which is pretty rare and I like that a lot.	11/13/2014 11:59 AM
2	The BOD has hundreds of thousands of dollars saved wityh no intent to use it. Cut the price or freeze it, but do NOT increase it.	11/13/2014 10:41 AM
3	It seems very fair. I'd pay more if necessary.	11/13/2014 9:55 AM
4	\$50 is great for this location and its relatively affordable rent.	11/13/2014 9:16 AM
5	More or less is an over all pain in the an(us)	11/12/2014 11:11 PM

6	When the ticket prices went up, a number of members in my pdf family were concerned about cost, however it's been reasonable enough that pretty much everyone has still been able to afford tickets - and for those who have come up short the rest of us have been able to pitch in to cover the difference. I don't know that we'd be able to continue doing that if the cost of tix goes up.	11/12/2014 7:59 PM
7	its a bargin since were assuming some of the money goes into the land fund. A lot of other regionals charge 50 bucks and they don't have a land fund	11/12/2014 7:42 PM
8	I think this price is affordable and reasonable esp given porta potties and included fire wood	11/12/2014 7:11 PM
9	While \$50 USD seems to be what the target demographic can afford I pose just because if the ticket price was raised slightly with a higher percentage of ticket sale going to art then artists wouldn't have to have so much out of pocket costs and then would be able to work on bringing bigger, larger and better art than the past few years have seen.	11/12/2014 5:22 PM
10	I am happy with the current price, but would be willing to pay more if is needed, I would also be willing to contribute to an art fund if it would make the event better.	11/12/2014 1:29 PM
11	I think the ticket price is perfect.	11/12/2014 12:55 PM
12	I think it may need to change if we go elsewhere. I do understand that 50\$ is about the price of a rave these days and they sometimes treat PDF as such. I would agree on a price increase if it would go towards something for the community as a whole... I'm not so sure about art grants... What ever happen the last year when there was no pony??	11/12/2014 12:52 PM
13	I wouldn't mind a small ticket price increase if there was a good reason for it.	11/12/2014 12:50 PM
14	There is not enough space at the current venue to display large pieces of art or more art pieces, so the ticket price is just right.	11/12/2014 12:37 PM
15	I have never attended so I cannot accurately judge what \$50 was/is worth in relation to the event. But....sounds about right.	11/12/2014 12:19 PM
16	If PDF wanted to allocate more money to art projects then I would be willing to pay more.	11/12/2014 11:44 AM
17	I believe ticketing costs for children would cut down on the unmonitored unwatched minors running rampant problem.	11/12/2014 11:24 AM
18	Well I would absolutely love to pay less for ticket I feel as though the current price is part of what keeps it from being a free for all and while we do want to include all that we can it is important to work within our limits.	11/12/2014 10:08 AM
19	I'd pay more for more money to go back into the overall experience (bigger venue, larger art, possibly art cars)	11/12/2014 9:28 AM
20	Given that we get wood and free trash disposal. I have never thought twice about the ticket price.	11/12/2014 9:22 AM
21	I appreciate having a dumpster and tons of porta potties.	11/12/2014 9:15 AM
22	I am happy with the current price but willing to pay more if we are not sufficiently covering our costs. It seems that we have enough art grants but I would also pay more if needed to support art.	11/12/2014 9:06 AM
23	evenly priced for size of venue and amenities granted.could see as high as \$75 for current status	11/12/2014 9:00 AM
24	Without knowing more about the financial circumstances of the BOD, I can't comment on ticket prices. Is there reason not indicated here to raise prices?	11/12/2014 8:35 AM
25	Good price considering the additional money campers have to spend on camp dues, extra food, gear, booze, etc. it all adds up. The ticket price is just right	11/12/2014 8:20 AM
26	Hard to find fault when there are many more festivals and burns which cost more to attend, and fewer which cost less. Would everyone like to pay less? Yes. But given conditions elsewhere, it's not so bad.	11/12/2014 8:09 AM
27	Could be higher if necessary	11/12/2014 7:25 AM
28	obviously it would be nicer if it was less, but i can afford \$50	11/12/2014 3:01 AM
29	You don't get much at PDF honestly, a very low frills event. I wouldn't want to pay much more. If we moved to a new venue that had more amenities I might pay more.	11/12/2014 1:42 AM
30	50 is very accessible and still profitable	11/12/2014 1:36 AM
31	It is just right for what it is. If PDF expands, the price should go up.	11/12/2014 12:52 AM

32	50\$ is accessible. If it is possible to keep ticket prices at \$50, why stir the pot?	11/12/2014 12:52 AM
33	People already never buy them in advance, so you could argue raising them won't make a difference. But some people need that low ticket price, too.	11/12/2014 12:42 AM
34	With travel cost in all other needed things 50 makes it a doable event	11/12/2014 12:00 AM
35	Charging more will afford us a better chance of getting off the Vet's land and into our own space.	11/11/2014 11:58 PM
36	I think \$50 is reasonable from a consumer standpoint. However, I think it should be.. (estimated running costs) / (attendance limit) = ticket price. easy as a dickstamp.	11/11/2014 11:39 PM
37	The current price is very reasonable. Being someone who has limited funds available, raising the ticket price may mean I wouldn't be able to attend.	11/11/2014 11:08 PM
38	\$50 is jus about right BUT condider requiring pre-registration for at least One volunteer shift... if not registered at time of check-in, or if it passed, require surcharge payment via credit card (the one on-file or otherwise) to enter	11/11/2014 9:31 PM
39	The cost should be low enough to be affordable, yet high enough to discourage 'tourists'. \$50 is about right I feel.	11/11/2014 6:49 PM
40	My selection of "Just Right" is premised on the assumption that the amount is sufficient to cover expenses AND build a land-purchase/moving fund.	11/11/2014 6:09 PM
41	No more, no less. Should always be this way.	11/11/2014 4:36 PM
42	\$50/ticket makes it possible for all of us to come, not matter what obstacles life throws in our way.	11/11/2014 3:58 PM
43	If as a community we can lower the current cost of tickets by being better behaved, that's on us. But if these are for the most part fixed costs, then I find it affordable and reasonable, especially given the comparable ticket prices at many other regionals.	11/11/2014 3:56 PM
44	Children should be charged the same price for they are present just like anyone else.	11/11/2014 3:27 PM
45	I think \$50 is perfect amount for what PDF offers. It is high enough that people who want to go need to be prepared to pay but its not to high that they can't afford it. Imagine if the tickets were any less than you would have a bunch more people who don't invest any time into knowing the community but are just rolling into something because its cheap.	11/11/2014 3:21 PM
46	\$50 is a good entry point and allows for a diversity of people who might not otherwise be able to afford a higher ticket.	11/11/2014 3:12 PM
47	I think it's a fair price.	11/11/2014 3:09 PM
48	I don't know what the pricing factors are	11/11/2014 2:56 PM
49	There are plenty of reasons to RAISE the ticket price, but that would have to go to outside art grants, community involvement, etc, OR for future land purchase and upgrades.	11/11/2014 1:50 PM
50	I don't really care about the ticket price specifically, so much as what that ticket cost is going towards. I think the art is sorely lacking at pdf. If tickets go up a little bit to pay for more installations, I'm cool with that.	11/11/2014 1:44 PM
51	I love playa del fuego	11/11/2014 1:39 PM
52	50 is cheap for four days, most people spend way more on.chemical entertainment	11/11/2014 1:39 PM
53	Perfecto	11/11/2014 1:22 PM
54	Want more money ? Sell More tickets.	11/11/2014 1:17 PM
55	Saucy	11/11/2014 1:11 PM
56	Seems fair.	11/11/2014 12:51 PM
57	The demand for tickets should be alleviated by increasing prices, and the added income could then be used to produce a better event	11/11/2014 12:47 PM
58	As is though, I can usually JUST afford it.	11/11/2014 12:36 PM
59	50.00 is perfect, especially considering the ability for hardship tickets. Only reason I would see to possibly increase the price is if we can increase the scale and scope of art at the event.	11/11/2014 12:33 PM

60	\$50 is fine, especially when you compare it to other burns within a drivable range. I have *no idea* what the operational cost of PDF is though, and I would probably be willing to pay more if more were needed. On a more mercenary/economic note, if it sells out every year, that means you aren't charging enough. But if you want to be nice and leave it at \$50 please don't let me stop you.	11/11/2014 12:13 PM
61	Limit to 2 per person.	11/11/2014 12:04 PM
62	I think \$50 is very reasonable, but i would be willing to pay more if the community needs the money.	11/11/2014 11:54 AM
63	I've never had a problem with ticket prices, and have helped others for whom it is a problem.	11/11/2014 11:42 AM
64	I do not know the nuances of the cost structure. I can only assume that the \$50 is fair for the infrastructure and art for the burn. I do not believe the BoD should be collecting any profit, even for the owning our own land mirage. If you have extra money, put it back into the burn. Increase your art fund, help people bring more art! Stop hoarding!	11/11/2014 11:31 AM
65	I think it's affordable to most. It's generally a lower cost than everything else you need for the burn, food, water, etc.	11/11/2014 11:29 AM
66	Location location location.	11/11/2014 11:24 AM
67	It went from 35 to 50 with the hopes of buying land. This felt like throwing money into a dark hole with the promises of grandeur. It seems like a far-fetched pipe dream that doesn't represent reality.	11/11/2014 11:09 AM
68	The low ticket price and accessibility of PDF are one of the things that make it really special. One advantage fo a higher ticket price though might be simplified ticket planning. At \$50, people scoop up many tickets casually and then try to sell them off the day before, making it harder for people to know whether or not they'll be there, including those planning theme camps. At \$75, that might happen less often.	11/11/2014 11:07 AM
69	A higher price would keep my family of 4 from attending.	11/11/2014 11:04 AM
70	I would understand raising (or lowering) the cost of the event if there was a higher cost for land.	11/11/2014 11:03 AM
71	It's perfect.	11/11/2014 11:01 AM
72	Boobs	11/11/2014 11:01 AM
73	It's a great price, and the place is wonderful, but I wouldn't mind paying more for a better location	11/11/2014 10:48 AM
74	well worth the cost	11/11/2014 10:44 AM
75	I feel that \$50 is reasonable especially considering that hardship tickets are offered	11/11/2014 10:39 AM
76	Current system difficult to navigate, some theme camps hve tickets reserved ,others not ,what is the criterion for this?	11/11/2014 10:31 AM
77	The current cost is just right.	11/11/2014 10:16 AM
78	That is what is up.	11/11/2014 10:16 AM
79	I appreciate accessible costs that permit participation by those who struggle to attend the higher the price is.* (Though scholarships are available, that is not always a viable option.) *Cost of admission is, we all know, one part of the total financial commitment in being able to attend.	11/11/2014 10:15 AM
80	If more space were available, I would be for raising ticket prices to cover expenses.	11/11/2014 7:04 AM
81	The whole ticket sale system is a fiasco. I've provided detailed information on exactly how to fix it and absolutely nobody is interested. It's as if you enjoy creating a cluster-fncx.	11/11/2014 2:06 AM
82	At the current location \$50 is just right.	11/11/2014 12:00 AM
83	For a party in a often-flooded cow pasture, it's about right.	11/10/2014 9:21 PM
84	I feel that \$50 is a reasonable price to pay.	11/10/2014 7:20 PM
85	We need more golf carts, seriously, maybe give a few art grants for carts to really best use the VNVMC site and have some fun with it. Put in a road, more potties, and water in the back. Spread some cash around to FIGMENT, to foster kids, Black Rock Solar.	11/10/2014 6:55 PM
86	certainly not too high.... how would the "new" money be spent if we were to raise the price?	11/10/2014 6:15 PM
87	ART , THEME CAMPS, PONY, AND TEMPLE!!	11/10/2014 5:18 PM

88	charge what you need to charge to put on the event that PDF should be. if it needs to be higher, fine. but it's a big enough event to justify whatever so long as the event is awesome.	11/10/2014 5:15 PM
89	Very fair & realistic price.. makes it accessible to people who do not have a lot of money.	11/10/2014 4:53 PM
90	50 seems perfect, and for those who cannot afford, there tend to be others that will help them out so they can see their extended family	11/10/2014 4:43 PM
91	Clearly with such a rapid sell-out one could charge more since demand far outstrips supply	11/10/2014 4:22 PM
92	I pour a lot of money into my theme camp and other things that I make and bring to share with volunteers and other more participatory folk. Price hikes would make me less likely to spend money on helping to make the event better.	11/10/2014 4:11 PM
93	If increasing the cost by say \$10- 15 bucks is related to us moving to a new location and the increase facilitate such a move go for it. But with a specific plan in place. Example increase cost by "x"amount of dollars and in 3 years we relocate.	11/10/2014 4:04 PM
94	I dunno, have finances been shared? where does ticket money go? i hear there's hundreds of thousands of dollars sitting around, if so maybe ticket price is just right and all the little people should get a golf cart, too and porta potties and a real road in the back! and more art!	11/10/2014 4:00 PM
95	I appreciate that those on limited budgets such as myself can still afford this event. :)	11/10/2014 3:32 PM
96	If a participant is unable to save \$2/week to attend two regional burns... I would question their commitment to the event.	11/10/2014 3:24 PM
97	I said just right, but I would likely be willing to pay a bit more and still attend twice per year. I hesitate to say "too low" because I have camp mates that aren't able to pay more and I would hate to start pricing our community out of our event.	11/10/2014 3:23 PM
98	Although I find the ticket price to be fine - I also support raising ticket prices to directly increase the art grant budget. I believe a Low Income/Scholarship ticket program can also be effective at allowing the community to purchase lower priced tickets if regular ticket prices were to increase.	11/10/2014 3:09 PM
99	The slow grow from \$20 has been respectable and attainable to most. \$50 feels good where the event is currently. I feel it would a lot more to offer and organize to fetch upwards to \$100	11/10/2014 2:24 PM
100	I would be OK with paying more, if needed.	11/10/2014 2:03 PM
101	50 bucks is fine, i would not pay more because PDF just isn't that good of a burn compared to Transformus or Burning man, or Alcomey or Texas Burn...	11/10/2014 2:00 PM
102	I recall the glee taken be some raising the fee to 50 from 30	11/10/2014 1:46 PM
103	I feel that the ticket prices are reasonable. If charging additional money was going to get nonburner types less interested in coming I would be for it. If the money was going to be used to start a search for land to be purchased by the organization I would definitely be for this. However if this is the route that will be taken then the board needs to be a lot more transparant with financials. I'm a CPA and can estimate about how much it seems like PDF takes in each burn and would question how those funds were being used if ticket prices increased and no land was purchased to help the event grow.	11/10/2014 1:43 PM
104	\$50 is a very accessible price point. Demand means that the event could charge more and still sell out. I'd be open to a price increase if it were balanced by making more "scholarship" tickets available for those to whom the cost is a stretch.	11/10/2014 1:40 PM
105	\$50 is nothing to ask for 4-5 days of (what has the potential to be) bliss.	11/10/2014 1:38 PM
106	A concert ticket can cost more. For a Four Day event, this is an amazing price.	11/10/2014 1:33 PM
107	Would be willing to go higher for better/more art grants, or to purchase land	11/10/2014 1:20 PM
108	Max tickets per buyer should be 2.	11/10/2014 1:12 PM
109	we bank alot of money on each burn already, so I wouldnt think the ticket price should be higher. I would like to see some of that money go to more perks for volunteers (food and / or t-shirts) - I would be ok with a price hike if we buy land and now have to sustain it	11/10/2014 12:50 PM
110	PDF needs a bigger, prettier venue.	11/10/2014 12:34 PM

111	It's just right, if the extra money goes towards new land as was stipulated when the price went up. If not, something needs to change.	11/10/2014 12:22 PM
112	If the ticket price is raised the money should also be limited to land purchase. The budget works as is with the old \$35 ticket price.	11/10/2014 12:22 PM
113	For the event, exactly as it exists, it's just fine.	11/10/2014 12:21 PM
114	It's right presuming we are still banking the extra money towards finding our own land, etc.	11/10/2014 12:18 PM

Q9 I like where PDF is currently held and don't want it to move.

Answered: 569 Skipped: 69

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	23.55% 134	19.68% 112	36.03% 205	13.71% 78	7.03% 40	569	2.61

Q10 I think PDF should buy a permanent piece of land where all future Playa del Fuego events may be held.

Answered: 567 Skipped: 71

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	6.17% 35	7.05% 40	28.40% 161	35.80% 203	22.57% 128	567	3.62

Q11 I think PDF should rent alternative locations to host Playa del Fuego events.

Answered: 568 Skipped: 70

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	8.27% 47	17.08% 97	35.56% 202	28.35% 161	10.74% 61	568	3.16

Q12 I am willing to dedicate time and effort to help PDF find an alternative location, whether rented or to buy.

Answered: 563 Skipped: 75

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	8.35% 47	17.41% 98	35.70% 201	31.44% 177	7.10% 40	563	3.12

Q13 Location for Playa del Fuego is important to me. I would be willing to continue attending Playa del Fuego only if it was within _____ of my house.

Answered: 554 Skipped: 84

Answer Choices	Responses	
100 miles	14.08%	78
150 miles	20.94%	116
200 miles	34.66%	192
300 miles	17.15%	95
400 miles	6.50%	36
500 miles	2.53%	14
600 miles	4.15%	23
Total		554

Q14 In order to make buying land worth while, the space must be able to accommodate at least _____.

Answered: 544 Skipped: 94

Answer Choices	Responses	
1000 participants	5.33%	29
1500 participants	11.40%	62
2000 participants	24.08%	131
2500 participants	20.40%	111
3000 participants	19.85%	108
4000 participants	5.15%	28
5000 participants	9.74%	53
7500 participants	0.55%	3
10000 participants	3.49%	19
Total		544

Q15 Any land purchased MUST include:

Answered: 563 Skipped: 75

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
Car access to all camping sites	8.29% 46	26.49% 147	25.59% 142	26.49% 147	13.15% 73	555	3.10
Car access to some camping sites	2.15% 12	4.85% 27	10.59% 59	51.35% 286	31.06% 173	557	4.04

Parking within walking distance of camp sites	1.80% 10	7.01% 39	13.85% 77	41.01% 228	36.33% 202	556	4.03
On-site RV parking opportunities	4.50% 25	11.51% 64	38.85% 216	31.29% 174	13.85% 77	556	3.38
Potable Water on-site	3.76% 21	11.47% 64	23.84% 133	33.33% 186	27.60% 154	558	3.70
Showers	5.57% 31	12.75% 71	28.90% 161	30.70% 171	22.08% 123	557	3.51
Electricity	9.01% 50	17.84% 99	43.06% 239	20.72% 115	9.37% 52	555	3.04
Cabins	30.47% 170	31.18% 174	32.62% 182	4.48% 25	1.25% 7	558	2.15
A pavillion-type structure	5.91% 33	9.50% 53	31.18% 174	37.46% 209	15.95% 89	558	3.48
A Stage	7.17% 40	11.83% 66	35.84% 200	30.82% 172	14.34% 80	558	3.33
A Barn	10.83% 60	17.33% 96	53.61% 297	13.36% 74	4.87% 27	554	2.84
A Community Work / Maker Space	5.61% 31	9.76% 54	36.71% 203	36.53% 202	11.39% 63	553	3.38
A lake / pond	8.32% 46	10.85% 60	49.37% 273	24.59% 136	6.87% 38	553	3.11
All possible camping locations must be flat	5.65% 31	22.77% 125	41.53% 228	23.13% 127	6.92% 38	549	3.03
All possible camping locations must be mostly flat, no more than 20 degree angle	2.87% 16	8.26% 46	19.93% 111	51.17% 285	17.77% 99	557	3.73
Ability for art cars to drive around	2.14% 12	7.31% 41	24.78% 139	44.03% 247	21.75% 122	561	3.76
A location to burn large scale art	0.54% 3	0.72% 4	3.95% 22	24.06% 134	70.74% 394	557	4.64
Multiple locations to burn large scale art	1.62% 9	7.18% 40	30.88% 172	39.50% 220	20.83% 116	557	3.71

Q16 Any land purchased should be at least _____ acres.

Answered: 484 Skipped: 154

Answer Choices	Responses
50	22.93% 111
100	27.89% 135
150	12.19% 59
200	18.39% 89
250	7.02% 34
300	5.37% 26

400	1.65%	8
500	4.55%	22
Total		484

Q17 I recognize that managing property year round will require on-going effort. I am okay with the following:

Answered: 562 Skipped: 76

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
PDF partnering with another non-profit to share management responsibility and cost	2.68% 15	5.01% 28	18.25% 102	56.71% 317	17.35% 97	559	3.81
PDF sharing ownership of property with another non-profit	4.29% 24	6.96% 39	21.43% 120	50.36% 282	16.96% 95	560	3.69
PDF partnering with a for-profit organization	27.55% 154	26.48% 148	25.22% 141	16.28% 91	4.47% 25	559	2.44
PDF sharing ownership of property with a for-profit	29.21% 163	24.91% 139	25.99% 145	15.59% 87	4.30% 24	558	2.41
PDF partnering with an individual	7.35% 41	14.16% 79	33.87% 189	36.92% 206	7.71% 43	558	3.23
PDF sharing ownership of property with an individual	10.20% 57	13.42% 75	33.81% 189	34.35% 192	8.23% 46	559	3.17
Hiring a team to manage the property	3.22% 18	4.47% 25	28.26% 158	49.91% 279	14.13% 79	559	3.67
Having a live-on-site caretaker	3.58% 20	3.94% 22	29.03% 162	49.46% 276	13.98% 78	558	3.66
Renting the land out to other groups over the course of the year	1.43% 8	1.43% 8	13.24% 74	50.45% 282	33.45% 187	559	4.13

Q18 Additional Comments:

Answered: 120 Skipped: 518

#	Responses	Date
1	I don't feel very strongly about the location as long as it's still near enough to me to be something I'd attend. It would be nice to have a location that had some kind of water feature (pool, lake, etc) in which to cool off, but that's more a benefit than a must-have. I definitely wouldn't attend if there were no showers/potable water or if all camping gear had to be walked in from a distance. I think the idea of owning land is great if there's a solid plan and infrastructure for managing it and having other events there, but it doesn't seem like that should be top priority because it really IS such a complicated, difficult thing to do.	11/13/2014 12:10 PM
2	17's choices are precisely the problems with considering buying land.	11/13/2014 10:44 AM
3	A lot of the extra land perks would be nice, but most of them are things that the community can work on building over time. A decent plot of land without nearby un-bribeable neighbors is most important	11/13/2014 10:01 AM
4	Partners should be registered, legally defined organizations, whether non-profit or for-profit.	11/13/2014 9:20 AM
5	The for profit company situation would really depend on what's going on.	11/12/2014 11:39 PM

6	<p>I like our current location, have always had good interactions with the vets, think the mud in other locations could actually be worse, and think potential neighbor complaints and LEO interference in other locations could present new challenges for the community. That being said, I know that members of our community feel very strongly about moving to a new location due to certain limitations and challenges that the current land and it's owners present. I will go wherever the community goes. However - I will say that I think it would be a poor decision to move to another rental property. After watching newer events struggle and bounce from venue to venue over the past few years, I think we should take note of these struggles and recognize that the "grass isn't always greener". Moving to a different rented venue would create a lot of additional work for already maxed out coordinators and BOD members, with the added element of uncertainty. Due to our relationship with the vets (that we've spent years cultivating) we do have a strong sense of security in being to stay in our current venue for as long as we choose. We don't know if a new venue will work until we try it, or it may work once but fail the second time - forcing the process to start all over again and putting additional strain on members to re-do the same work they've already done. While purchasing land will obviously create more work and obligation initially, it would also provide the opportunity for development by being able to build on previous work from year to year, and not having to worry about whether we'd have to start a new venue search over & over again. I do oppose any joint ownership, it would be counter-productive to the creative freedom we seek - unless it would be with another regional (recognized, therefore more stable) burn. Any partnerships with other non-profits would have to be carefully scrutinized prior to partnering to avoid the risk of letting outside groups have a voice in our community, since this is the whole reason we want to search for new land to begin with. I will say that potential joint ownership or a partnership with a for-profit or an individual (who could be looking to make a profit) is a total non-starter as it goes against the very grain of the culture we work so hard to protect - if this were to happen it would be the only thing in this world that would cause me to sever ties with the pdf community.</p>	11/12/2014 9:05 PM
7	<p>an old farm with out building would be great. instead of hiring a caretaker, let them live in the house free in retrun for services, rent the farm land out to be farmed over what is needed for the events</p>	11/12/2014 7:46 PM
8	<p>While difficult to select the acreage of the intended property one should realize that size of events are tantamount to crowd control and management. I understand that PDF has issues with folks wanting more tickets but so does Tfus and Burning Man and PEX. Keeping the event in a manageable size will minimize stress on your medical and ranger and mental health (sanctuary) teams. New members of the community are always encouraged to participate but keeping the core ethic strong with size caps is also very important. Lets not forget that the the larger the event the more likely hood something goes really wrong as well. As for the existing piece of land it is wholly unsuitable and new land must be found to protect the sanctity of the event and preserve it's intent and mission statement. To blindly buy a piece of land though is not a wise move. Renting other properties will allow PDF as an event to try before buying to see what works and what is cost effective. Open water features are great but there is additional insurance that comes in kind. Ditto for art cars. Thinks like built in infrastructure should not be a requisite for rental or purchase. If buying outright all these things can be built and developed by the community involved in the event to their specs and desires. If renting, well stages are something that don't even come at a premium cost to rent anymore as do large pavilion tents which could be allocated in event planning spending if they are completely necessary to throwing the event.</p>	11/12/2014 5:28 PM
9	<p>Additional tickets would be required to cover the cost of a land purchase and you would need to find a balance with the required insurance costs.</p>	11/12/2014 4:39 PM
10	<p>Leasing (long-term) property from a for-profit might be OK; just no competing with other tenants for 'time-share' arrangement.</p>	11/12/2014 4:14 PM
11	<p>no vets, they are creepers</p>	11/12/2014 2:06 PM
12	<p>Questions regarding managing of property year round or number of acres to purchase seem irrelevant to me at this point. I am not in favor of purchasing land, I am in favor of renting land at this moment.</p>	11/12/2014 12:58 PM
13	<p>I'm really not sure about the acarage measurement to people ratio. I'm sorry for my short comings.</p>	11/12/2014 12:58 PM
14	<p>In any partnership or dual ownership situation, PDF must hold the controlling interest and final say.</p>	11/12/2014 12:09 PM
15	<p>If "for profit" is part of the owning land, they should be required to pay percentage more for costs at "maintaining properly" Also, if "for profit" included farming/cattle, not only would that be a different way of "for profit" in my mind, but would also be great for the community to purchase fresh local food that can be made for the masses (such as a communal pig roast or a large scale friday breakfast with eggs (from chickens!))</p>	11/12/2014 11:54 AM
16	<p>If PDF intends to own land and either share this ownership with another entity or rent to other events I think it is important that these other entities be in line with burner principles. As far as land purchase goes I think it is important to consider the importance of impermanence to the burn experience. The more amenities, cabins, stages, etc that are attached to the land permanently, the less temporary the environment becomes. Maybe this is ok, maybe it is an improvement... maybe it is a loss of something? I think it's worth a pause to consider.</p>	11/12/2014 11:50 AM

17	I have had immense amounts of fun and awe inspiring experiences at the current grounds, but a more stable place would be beneficial.	11/12/2014 10:13 AM
18	The only thing I do not know about the for-profits is if it will increase things like police presence at the events.	11/12/2014 9:29 AM
19	A camp ground where people work year round would be great. A place where people live and expect to have reserve tickets for gawkers is not ok.	11/12/2014 9:23 AM
20	I love our space with the vets and don't see any need to move. If PDF moves I would be ok with it, but hope we wouldn't lose the charm of our current space. I already come from Chicago so location proximity isn't an issue.	11/12/2014 9:10 AM
21	Partnering and management decisions should prioritize the flexibility of use for PDF events (including sound and burn locations) and should, to the extent possible) embody the principle of decommmodification. Decisions should be made to resist the ability of partnering individuals/groups to interfere with either scheduling of PDF burn events or with the autonomy of said events as it relates to camp placement, sound, burn pad placement, etc. we should resist the ability of outside groups to dictate terms to our community within our space.	11/12/2014 8:46 AM
22	DUMMY SURVEY	11/12/2014 8:35 AM
23	I like pdf the way it is.	11/12/2014 7:35 AM
24	As I said before, I'm happy with the current location, but will follow the herd if PDF moves. I've set my internal calendar to memorial day and Columbus day, so I like those dates	11/12/2014 2:11 AM
25	If PDF were at a new location I would be really excited to attend. This location I call "The Motel 6" of all of the Burn. Low frills, it'll do. The venue is cold in the fall, hot in the summer, no trees, only one shade structure, super lumpy land (I know a few people who have broken bones because of this) and gets really muddy and gross when it rains. I think we have outgrown this space. What about the Fairground in NJ near Wilmington DE where Freeform was originally? I really liked that space a lot! Big enough even for art cars to drive around and they had nice bathrooms too.	11/12/2014 1:50 AM
26	15. Many things can be added later, such as a pavilion, etc. The answers to 17 could be strongly influenced by the details of the legal relationships, rights, responsibilities, and obligations incurred between any partners.	11/12/2014 12:57 AM
27	if PDF is to buy land that can accomodate art cars, large scale burnable art AND doesnt have extremely wonky camping spaces, it is not too far fetched an idea that the land PDF buys is going to be able to help out so many other burn affiliated and flow arts affiliated events that align with teh values of burning man and PDF during the year, which also would help PDF. I think it is great you guys are considering buying land, this could be a huge step for the Delaware/DC/Philly/NJ burn scene	11/12/2014 12:57 AM
28	Look at other regionals and how they deal with land. It shouldn't be this complicated.	11/12/2014 12:46 AM
29	Using it as rental is a great idea pdf alone covers a lot of cost for the vets	11/12/2014 12:05 AM
30	I would LOVE a property with TREES and water (lake, stream..etc). I dont like just one big open field.	11/11/2014 11:43 PM
31	If the land is rented out to other groups we could include a leave no trace clause in the agreement to encourage care for the land	11/11/2014 11:42 PM
32	don't know enough about acreage to say one way the other, enough so that we can bring big art, and build big camps	11/11/2014 10:55 PM
33	the breadth and depth of these options is truly inspiring and displays a vested interest in the future of PDF!	11/11/2014 9:58 PM
34	I feel like I do not know the best course of action or have no strong feelings over fields that I neither agreed or disagreed	11/11/2014 9:52 PM
35	If land were to be purchased, costs need to be offset by events other than PDF.	11/11/2014 9:28 PM
36	#12. I am willing to dedicate time and effort to help PDF find an alternative location, whether rented or to buy. - Im inclined to think that this search will not happen... This same issue has been raised for years, and the resolution was a group of burners looking for new land in 2011/2012 and forming a new festival that freeform. I question the authentic intent of this page of questions, as the guage for new land has been set for several years yet the board refuses to act on any suggestion from the PDF community. This townhall, might be a good start, but I am highly skeptical as to how the response will be valued by the BOD. #13 Location for Playa del Fuego is important to me. I would be willing to continue attending Playa del Fuego only if it was within _____ of my house. - this question is not really relevant to me ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS ON THIS PAGE REGARDING LAND OWNERSHIP: I am *not* in favor of land ownership by Playa Del Fuego under any circumstances. If you want to contract space to hold the burn, I see no problem with that.	11/11/2014 7:52 PM

37	Question 16 is just a guess. I have no good idea on acreage.	11/11/2014 6:52 PM
38	If the land were rented out to other groups, I would think we would have to hold these groups to the majority of our core values, mostly leave no trace and decommodification. A year lease for care-takers, no more then 1 or 2 consecutive years in a row, pets allowed is a MUST. I'd be okay with a low-income, down on your luck, temporary housing situation for 'burners' with care the land doesn't become a squat house.	11/11/2014 6:16 PM
39	I would apply for the live-on-site caretaker position right now, depending on location.	11/11/2014 5:23 PM
40	We are about decommodification, so no one should really profit but pdf itself. Even though if we choose not to buy land, there isn't many ways around that. Honestly, I know of a couple spots that we could possibly do PDF. The grounds for camping should be flat, and i feel that it's pretty obvious, a slight angle is not too big of a deal. Regardless, to me it's about being with everyone, not where we are with everyone, but i do agree that as a regional, it is our duty make it feel and work like the big burn as much possible. Self reliance kicks in with the electricity, water, and cabins. Showers are already and gift, and i do like having those though.	11/11/2014 4:50 PM
41	I feel renting out could turn out to be a moopy mess. UNLESS being very selective and stringent about who rents the property and the terms and conditions that apply.	11/11/2014 4:27 PM
42	if we get involved with a for-profit group or individual ticket prices will sky rocket and a lot of us won't be able to afford going. plus running the rick of becoming commercialized is the anti-playa	11/11/2014 4:03 PM
43	Although having our own land would be great, we must take into consideration the special relationship the vets have with local law enforcement. Out of respect for the vets, law enforcement has been courteous and they have not made an appearance at the actual event (besides showing up a few times for noise complaints) but anyone who attends large events like this knows that law enforcement usually makes a point to be there, either at the gate or even inside the event. This is not something that would go well for PDF I hope this is taken into consideration, and ultimately, in my personal opinion it would be best if the event has the freedom to move, instead of being permanently held at one spot, if we were to leave the veteran's land behind.	11/11/2014 4:01 PM
44	I don't know the implications of what comes with purchasing land for PDF. Open to it... and to a discussion of how that supports us and stresses us as a collective.	11/11/2014 3:40 PM
45	In the spirit of radical inclusion, I think it would be wise to share the land, should any be bought, with folks outside the burner community as well. Especially if only one event happens every year!	11/11/2014 3:24 PM
46	You can build a stage, a barn, a pavillion. we can dig a lake / pond. We can build green showers. Those things should not need to be on land to be interested. we can improve land easily enough. I believe that PDF should RENT long term from a private group or person with land. someone who allows for land improvements in the lease terms. Land management needs a professional. and we have some in our community.	11/11/2014 3:19 PM
47	Purchasing and managing property is a massive commitment. Would this be inline with PDF's goals? Does PDF intend to grow exponentially every year? Does it aim to be the Burning Man of the east coast? These are not necessarily bad things, but these kinds of things will change the character of the event. Land ownership should keep end goals in mind. If PDF intends to remain small...and perhaps simply have multiple smaller events per year with attendance cap and waiting lists for those who couldn't attend a prior one, then that would be doable with a less risky and intensive land investment. Just something to think about. Good luck!	11/11/2014 3:17 PM
48	Bravo Patty!	11/11/2014 2:42 PM
49	Burners can live there and care-take.	11/11/2014 1:56 PM
50	I think the time, costs and effort involved with managing a property year round are completely prohibitive and not worth it. This is why I do not support PDF purchasing land.	11/11/2014 1:55 PM
51	If land is allowed to be used the 10 principals should still guide others who wish to use our community space, just like we have to abide by other's rules when we are on their property.	11/11/2014 1:54 PM
52	I understand that buying/renting new land is a hot topic. As a newer member of the community, I'm not really sure why the current land is such an issue and could probably be enlightened. One thing that is incredibly wonderful and rare is that we basically have no worries about law enforcement coming around. I do also love the overall layout and appreciate the luxurious access to showers.	11/11/2014 1:35 PM
53	I would hesitate to participate in any burn supported by any group seeking a profit beyond wages in return for their services.	11/11/2014 1:30 PM
54	Questions 14 and 16 are ridiculous to ask. Accounting and number crunching would answer 14, Typographical mapping by an architect/planner would answer 16.	11/11/2014 1:29 PM

55	I think that a lot of the things listed can be built if we buy an undeveloped piece of land. A pavilion can be constructed on it as well as a stage. I don't those things should be the determining factor in buying a place as we could look forever for all those amenities . When we have people in the community that could help build them especially if we had work weekends.	11/11/2014 1:17 PM
56	No responsibilities shall be bestowed upon Karnack	11/11/2014 1:15 PM
57	I have stopped attending pdf due to the built in spectators, noise policy, and small/flat nature of the land. I would never ask for perfection (it is a burn and we can all accomodate); but where pdf is now there is no desire for me to be a part of it.	11/11/2014 1:12 PM
58	VVMC is an unwelcoming venue and the vets presence is invasive and causes problems during the event. The vets are not burners and should not be a part of pdf.	11/11/2014 12:55 PM
59	Let's stay with the vets.	11/11/2014 12:54 PM
60	Anything that makes it so that the other party can set constricting rules if they don't like something should be out. Any agreement entered into should be crystal clear about our intentions, needs, policies and privacy. If we're going to be at the behest of another individual or group, the site we have is great, IMHO, and why bother changing it? I like the vets. They like us okay, and the conflicts are minimal. If it will be a for-profit partnership, it should be something that won't feel icky to be associated with. I hear LARPer love having places to play, and can charge \$ to come/help with building infrastructure. The land doesn't need to COME with the maker space/stage/storage, etc, but we should have the ability to build it. SOME electricity would be nice, just for infrastructure and less gas burning.	11/11/2014 12:46 PM
61	I have no idea how big 100 acres are... so that answer is just a guess. One of the major issues not addressed in this particular section is that new land should be able to accommodate several large sound camps while maintaining the ability for other camps and individuals to get away from the sound enough they are able to hold NON sound events without interruption.	11/11/2014 12:37 PM
62	buying land would be a real headache	11/11/2014 12:36 PM
63	AWAY FROM CELL SIGNAL!	11/11/2014 12:29 PM
64	Buying land seems like a ridiculous amount of work, time and expense. I feel like the BOD is overworked as it is and buying land is not the norm for regional Bm events. It seems like unwarranted burden of cost and worry.	11/11/2014 12:06 PM
65	Ramblewood in Darlington, MD might be a model to consider for looking at ways to support affording a permanent location. They rent their space for other events and have live in caretakers on the land.	11/11/2014 11:58 AM
66	Buying land is not acceptable. This whole plan seems to be a scheme to create a utopian burner land for a small few, who will use their money and position to create specific events, run them, profit from them, and live off of them. This is against the burner Ethos. Even Burning Man holds its event on public lands, that are shared by all. There are many great spaces, though they do require some significant research and work to find. See Freeform for evidence of this possibility. It is the responsibility of the BoD and PDF organizers to find this land. You must stop punting your responsibilities to the community.	11/11/2014 11:41 AM
67	I think that a site that is available to all mid atlantic groups would be amazing.	11/11/2014 11:35 AM
68	I don't think buying land is the best idea.	11/11/2014 11:29 AM
69	I am not well versed in acre-age, had to make an educated guess at what would be appropriate	11/11/2014 11:25 AM
70	The PDF group owning land is a quagmire. If you rent the land you'll need caretakers.	11/11/2014 11:13 AM
71	Having PDF take place on private property is very important to people's sense of security with regards to interactions with the police. That's the only thing that is a priority that comes to mind. Some car accessibility for set up is very important.	11/11/2014 11:10 AM
72	IF there were not car access for all camping sites, I would hope that shuttles would be available to cart out everyone's things, like at T-formus. We can create a stage of sorts.	11/11/2014 11:08 AM
73	Not sure about the answer on #16 - should be at least 150% the size of the current land - should be able to have larger theme camp plots / comfortable camping for 3000-4000 participants	11/11/2014 11:07 AM
74	Boobs	11/11/2014 11:05 AM
75	land ownership is a whole entire different beast.	11/11/2014 10:54 AM

76	I honestly don't know much about purchasing land or how much would be needed. I do feel that it's time for PDF to move. With the explosion of interest in the Burning Man community, I've seen PDF grow exponentially, even with the exodus of the large sound camps. I feel that moving would help PDF to re-brand, and maybe regain interest of the larger sound camps that drive so much of the Burning Man community. As a leader involved with many groups, I've heard people complain that PDF is stale. This could help to bring new life into the event. I strongly disagree partnering with a for-profit. That would just look bad for the organization. Otherwise, I'm impassive.	11/11/2014 10:48 AM
77	Heard this story before, skeptical it will get off the ground.	11/11/2014 10:44 AM
78	Buy some land already. It's been more than 10 years!	11/11/2014 10:28 AM
79	Am very interested in details, implications, & ramifications of these various options.	11/11/2014 10:20 AM
80	This is the type of work that I am involved in at the moment-- organizing a large scale intentional community that would operate year round as a for-profit business and would be able to host events such as PDF.	11/11/2014 10:20 AM
81	I'm not good with knowing acreage so my response may not be very accurate	11/11/2014 10:18 AM
82	on-site care taker should be voted on. renting the land out would allow for larger art grants.	11/11/2014 10:07 AM
83	I've been going to PDF since very early on. I strongly feel a land purchase is a bad idea. The Vets and their land offer infrastructure, and support that are invaluable. Also kind of a "buffer" between PDF and the locals and law enforcement. I feel it will be more work, logistics, and headaches if a town or near neighbors to this land realize what our event is when they don't understand it. Larry Harry himself was very close to buying land years ago in the Pacific Northwest as a new venue that he controlled for Burningman, he decided against it. If he hasn't gone through with a land buy in all these years there are fundamental reasons why PDF shouldn't either. Has any research be done as to other groups like ours buying land and what their experience has been?	11/11/2014 8:51 AM
84	Property does not necessarily require year-round maintenance. Instead, if there are accessory, or dwelling structures on the property, those may require maintenance, but land, itself, does not generally require it. That said, the site would need to be prepped for use, and if it's rented out, it would need routine maintenance.	11/11/2014 2:11 AM
85	I am not in favor of land purchase at this moment. I am in favor of relocating as soon as possible by renting at another location. I don't think the current location fits our community anymore. Ideally, PDF should relocate in 2015.	11/11/2014 12:01 AM
86	Re: Individual - it'd really depend on the individual. Similarly, having a caretaker or caretakers depends on the property, and what (if any) improvements are present on the property... If there are buildings, then yeah, you need someone keeping an eye on things. If it's unimproved land, then you just need someone with a big mower to swing through before events (and probably ask someone from the USDA extension office or state farm bureau to do an occasional walkthrough to check for invasives or, uh, "experimental agriculture").	11/10/2014 9:26 PM
87	If it is going to be rented out, all proceeds should go to maintenance of property. Any additional funds should be kicked back for our grant.	11/10/2014 9:06 PM
88	PDF should not purchase land under any circumstance. Here's why... Let's say we hold our first event there and the local community decides they do not like what we are doing there (naked people, drugs, big fire, sound, children exposed to these things, etc...). They may say "Oh no, not in my neighborhood you don't!" The local community can decide to place rules/laws to stop us from doing all the things that we want to. Then we have a purchased piece of land that is unusable for our needs. I feel that it is much safer to rent land and move on if things don't work out. Look at the examples of having to move on with Freeform and Portal in New York. Also there is the issue of volunteers and long-term commitments. We still can't get enough volunteers to fill simple shifts. Of the volunteers we do have, very few stick around for 5+ years (for commitment reasons or just burn out). Do we really have long-term commitments to be able to handle a purchase?	11/10/2014 8:47 PM
89	I don't know how many acres would hold at least 2500 people so I couldn't really answer that question	11/10/2014 7:07 PM
90	it would depend on the arrangement, and would be VERY IMPORTANT to use conflict of interest rules to ensure that no one is unfairly benefiting from the arrangement (ie obviously you have to be very careful if the co-owner is on the Board that the arrangement is fair to everyone)	11/10/2014 7:03 PM
91	Before buying contemplate alternatives including renting elsewhere and sending out RFPs. By framing our assets and attributes in a profitable for your town way it would avoid the potential for no go zoning after land purchase.	11/10/2014 7:00 PM
92	i did not answer the acreage question because i cant imagine 4300 sq. ft. whatever PDF's land to burner ratio is now, is fine with me. more space more win.	11/10/2014 6:25 PM

93	finding a site that can guarantee zero significant sound issues or problems is highly unlikely. However, that is easily achievable with known rentable locations.	11/10/2014 5:23 PM
94	I'm guessing on question 16. It's difficult to gauge acreage for me.	11/10/2014 5:15 PM
95	If overextending ourselves on the land purchase meant that no art grants could be given, it wouldn't be worth it. Otherwise go for it!	11/10/2014 4:27 PM
96	Team work makes the dream work!	11/10/2014 4:21 PM
97	i am very skeptical, the pdf board can barely manage being a board w/ rented land and tried true event set up. I think unless theres BIG changes on to the board, land purchase is going to be FUBAR and probably end of PDF	11/10/2014 4:01 PM
98	PDF is a volunteer organization. It would take one incident of all BOD leaving their posts to render PDF vulnerable to loosing the land to financial mismanagement. I think a partnership wit the BurningMan non profit as a default care taker roles should the PDF BOD become moribund or collapse (quit). This way one has a mechanism embedded in the PDF charter to cover for loss of management. For profit arrangements can be risky as the interests of the profit enterprise can quickly be at odds with the non profit (and create a circumstance whereby the for profit could attempt a hostile take over of the property).	11/10/2014 3:59 PM
99	I do not think PDF should partner with any person, for profit organization or non profit organization. PDF has been working on acquiring savings for their own property. Why do we need to share with another organization? Sharing with different organizations can lead to opposing creative direction of the event and use of the land. Then what? PDF could be stuck with a poor partner for the land purchase.	11/10/2014 3:49 PM
100	I'm in favor of PDF renting land for 3 to 4 non-Burning Man events during the course of the year to defray the costs of land ownership/up-keep; PDF would of course need a few volunteers for said events to keep thing operating legally/safely/protect the investment: NO jam band events -- too much liability; look at Marvin's Mountain headlines from the past decade!!!	11/10/2014 3:34 PM
101	The nature of the for-profit organization in question matters greatly.	11/10/2014 3:27 PM
102	I think as someone who isn't that involved that responses in this section should be considered with a serious grain of salt. I think it's more important that people closer to the event give serious thought to these questions and what the needs now and in the future for PDF are and will be.	11/10/2014 3:25 PM
103	I feel like PDF is an established, stable org; partner orgs should be similarly established and stable.	11/10/2014 3:10 PM
104	insurance cost alone. Security is a big problem, the space we use now is perfect for protection.	11/10/2014 2:37 PM
105	note: the miles people are willing to travel is a bit misleading as people are coming from all directions. I current drive almost 200 miles mainly south to get to PDF. If the site moves to 200 miles north of me (within the range I like), thats 400 from someone who used to travel 200 from the south	11/10/2014 2:29 PM
106	GET RID OF SOUND POLICY	11/10/2014 2:11 PM
107	We do not need to own the land, just rent an amazing site. There are hundreds of privet campsites that can accommodate us. You just have to look!	11/10/2014 2:05 PM
108	I think that these are important questions that will help guide the organization. I would strongly disagree with the purchase of property with a group like PEX or Freeform which are both for profit organizations that base their for profit activities on the principles. If it was partnered with an individual or for profit I think that there would need to be transparancy on how this relationship was taking place. This person would need to be independent of the organization (not a coordinator or board member). All in all I think that the purchase of the land could reinvogorate part of the community that seems to be getting lost. Since I've been going I've seen a steady decline in theme camps and it always sounds like it's related to sound or not happy with the land. I feel that there are certain individuals that love pdf and where it is at and they hold positions that make it so that there isn't a lot of change happening because they are happy with how it is. It seems like a lot of these people have done these roles for a very long time and there aren't opportunities for new people to interject their thoughts and ideas. I do love PDF very much myself, but am starting to see that there needs to be a spark of change.	11/10/2014 1:52 PM
109	This survey is slanted toward land purchase. Why ask if we prefer renting if you've already made up your mind to purchase?	11/10/2014 1:51 PM
110	I'm a little bit against partnering / land ownership with a for profit, only because of the ethic of 'decommodification' - although that's stretching the concept a bit. Individuals as owners / partners can be very tricky. I wouldn't want our 'fate' to rest in the whims of only one person, however they seem willing at first. BTW, A lake or pond would be VERY NICE but then you have the issue of people drowning, and the insurance needed to cover that. On the other hand if there were a body of water, there would be no need for showers.	11/10/2014 1:46 PM

111	I think if pdf LLC wants to buy a piece of land, they should do it themselves without partnering, if possible. Buying seems much more preferable than renting IMO, but you gotta do what you gotta do...	11/10/2014 1:44 PM
112	It's an ambitious undertaking that will involve compromise. But a very exciting prospect.	11/10/2014 1:44 PM
113	most people are not aware that PDF currently takes place on ~ 12 acres - with parking ~ 30 - this should be added to the preamble of this section	11/10/2014 1:19 PM
114	biggest criteria is control of the property as private during the event...	11/10/2014 1:08 PM
115	A partnership is yet another legality. DO WHAT BURNING MAN DOES - RENT LAND. Burning Man spends millions on attorneys, and they DON'T OWN LAND! There's a reason!	11/10/2014 12:57 PM
116	I don't feel I have enough information about partnering with other non-profits or individuals and what that would mean and what impacts that would have to be able to have an educated and meaningful opinion about it.	11/10/2014 12:52 PM
117	I have no sense of what an appropriately sized parcel of land would be. Thus I selected the minimum.	11/10/2014 12:29 PM
118	Willingness to drive over 200 miles would be dependent on event being held once or twice per year. If the event remains 2x/year (please no!), I'd be more reticent to drive over 2-3 hrs. If once per year, I'd be more willing to drive up to 5+ hrs. (Transformus is 8 hrs from me, and I'm willing to do that once a year.)	11/10/2014 12:22 PM
119	I have no idea how big an acre is	11/10/2014 12:21 PM
120	By team oean individuals, preferably burners. Not an existing management team or company that PDF contracts to manage the land.	11/10/2014 12:21 PM

Q19 I think all camps should be able to play music at all hours at any volume.

Answered: 562 Skipped: 76

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	22.60% 127	38.08% 214	17.62% 99	13.70% 77	8.01% 45	562	2.46

Q20 I think all camps should be able to play music at all hours at reduced volume, approx. 60dB.

Answered: 557 Skipped: 81

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	7.18% 40	15.98% 89	21.54% 120	42.19% 235	13.11% 73	557	3.38

Q21 I believe the current sound rules at PDF are reasonable.

Answered: 556 Skipped: 82

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	11.15% 62	18.17% 101	25.72% 143	35.07% 195	9.89% 55	556	3.14

Q22 I think we should change the times for volume turndown, for instance, to 11pm and

1am, or later.

Answered: 552 Skipped: 86

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	3.44% 19	10.14% 56	36.59% 202	38.04% 210	11.78% 65	552	3.45

Q23 I want to be able to have a conversation at my own camp at all hours of the day and not have to raise my voice to be heard.

Answered: 555 Skipped: 83

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	4.14% 23	12.07% 67	29.01% 161	38.56% 214	16.22% 90	555	3.51

Q24 I believe camps in the front field of PDF at the VnVMC should be allowed to have amplified sound.

Answered: 552 Skipped: 86

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	7.79% 43	18.12% 100	35.33% 195	28.08% 155	10.69% 59	552	3.16

Q25 I believe camps in the back field of PDF at the VnVMC should be allowed to have amplified sound.

Answered: 553 Skipped: 85

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	7.23% 40	17.00% 94	33.45% 185	31.83% 176	10.49% 58	553	3.21

Q26 I understand the process of how Sound Camps are selected to be permitted to play music throughout the night.

Answered: 555 Skipped: 83

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	9.91% 55	27.75% 154	23.60% 131	30.45% 169	8.29% 46	555	2.99

Q27 I have coordinated or helped manage a

sound camp at PDF in the past 12 years.

Answered: 556 Skipped: 82

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	22.12%	123
No	77.88%	433
Total		556

Q28 I have coordinated or helped manage a sound camp at PDF in the past 3 years.

Answered: 552 Skipped: 86

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	21.38%	118
No	78.62%	434
Total		552

Q29 Additional Comments:

Answered: 98 Skipped: 540

#	Responses	Date
1	Sound does not matter to me, land management does. How can the backfield have sound when you cant even drive back there? you cant have portapotties back there?	11/13/2014 10:46 AM
2	Getting to play music shouldnt be a special privilege. Music is art, we need more of it.	11/13/2014 10:03 AM
3	The "sound" issue is an internal issue. Many camps who don't come back to PDF have claimed sound as an issue - and not because of the early turndown rules, but because the sounds camps often placed around them are inconsiderate and play loudly for empty dance floors at all hours of the day and night. Speakers in camps with amplified sound should circle the dancefloor and face inwards, creating a space wherein the sound is clear and loud, but ten feet outside the circle, people can hold regular conversations without raising their voices.	11/13/2014 9:24 AM
4	There needs to be a quiet area. Some of us know ourselves well enough to know that even with ear plugs we'll have a hard time sleeping.	11/12/2014 11:41 PM
5	While I'd like the sound turn down to be later, maybe 1 or 2am, I think the current sound policies, as they continue to evolve, are pretty good as is. I do oppose amp sound in the front field because many burners with children need to camp there because of it's proximity to facilities. I also think that at least the back two streets in the back field should continue to be no amp sound so that those adults who enjoy quiet camping continue to be able to do so. My camp was next to a sound camp this past burn. We did have one interference during an afternoon panel discussion - but when we explained to our neighbors they turned it down for us right away. For the most part sound camps seem to be quite respectful and flexible within the environment.	11/12/2014 9:19 PM
6	we do need a quiet camping area or areas	11/12/2014 7:47 PM

7	The sound policy as it currently stands needs lots of work and I understand that it is a direct reflection of the land (yet ANOTHER reason to move) however there are work arounds which can be implemented. The 60DB offering is still unreasonable but is better than no sound at all. I would have kind appreciated seeing what 60DB is comparative to in real world noise so people who don't google would have an idea that the proposed allotment is the equivalent of an airconditioner unit at 100ft. I do believe amplified sound shouldn't be pervasive and sound permits are a wonderful way of mitigating this and avoiding the sneakers in the dryer sound that one experiences when two sound systems are in direct competition with each other. Maintaining permits also allows you to pre-emptively ask for the sound equipment being provided and allows you as an event to best place them as per your audio engineers who assist with the placement of sound camps. If folks really want a quiet camp then of course provide quiet camping sections where conversations can and should be heard but folks do need to realize that at an event the size of PDF they aren't gonna get the quiet of K street at burning man as noise sadly carries. Hey if they like peace and quiet so much they could probably hold their camp in their backyard and not even deal with the noise at all instead of dragging all that stuff to PDF and then being forced to listened to someone else's choice of music.	11/12/2014 5:35 PM
8	Helped coordinate and run a non sound camp	11/12/2014 4:41 PM
9	Better placement of sound camps, especially ensuring that camps are not set up in a manner that forces them to compete with each other's volume levels (using environmental factors or coordinating directly with the camps to ensure optimal setup and placement for their audio gear) would go a long way toward keeping levels reasonable and avoiding problems.	11/12/2014 1:21 PM
10	I believe many of the sound issues we have at this moment are due to the current location where PDF is held. Questions 24 and 25: Let's not have PDF at the VnVMC anymore.	11/12/2014 12:58 PM
11	Not enough intimate knowledge of the event to make accurate observations	11/12/2014 12:23 PM
12	I think that camps in the front field should be permitted to apply for sound camp status and that placement should be based on this. At the current location my understanding is that there is not a lot of flexibility on sound turn down times. Should the venue change I would see changing the sound turn down policy as an obvious first move. Many big camps from other regions might actually want to take part in PDF again if the sound policy were more like other festivals.	11/12/2014 11:53 AM
13	I Have rangered Saturday nights for the last 5-6 years. Sound is always an issue.	11/12/2014 10:53 AM
14	I have only participated in a sound camp once it was a wonderful opportunity but I felt as though the only problem was attitude I received from those who did not receive sound camp status. Well I understand how it is selected I do feel it would be in all of our best interests to have less restriction on sound camps. As for participants who do not want to be surrounded by sound I feel a quieter area would benefit them.	11/12/2014 10:17 AM
15	Having designated sound areas is a great idea, but it is critical to also have designated quiet zone. In the future I would like to see legitimate sound engineers included in the placement of sound camps and the direction that subs amps and speakers are placed to minimize the travel and overlap of sound. Possibly have time slots for neighboring sound camps to minimize the travel and overlap of sound. Or placing camps with mostly daytime sound next to camps that usually play mostly at night.	11/12/2014 9:54 AM
16	I don't know why front field is considered "quiet camping" to people. My neighbor was rocking out and I thought it was fine but they were continually harassed by a very grumpy neighbor who wasn't even a burner. I was at ground zero for the noise and it didn't bother me. RV's are very insulated. I was able to sleep. I hate going to bed at 6am and then children are screaming at 8am. How about we have a policy about children? Turn down your baby or be ejected. Why isn't this an 18+ event?	11/12/2014 9:30 AM
17	I don't know about "managing" but I have camped with barrel of fun for several years. I do think it's important to be respectful to the people who want to sleep, so am ok not having sound everywhere all the time, but I do think that a dedicated area should be allowed to continue sound. I don't understand what leads to the choice of which camps get to keep their music on - it seems to be somewhat arbitrary though does consider taking turns and reflecting those who followed the rules in prior burns. It would be nice for camps that have music all night permits to be in the same area so those who want to keep dancing can hop from camp to camp without long, dark quiet stretches in between.	11/12/2014 9:14 AM

18	There are issues with the rules by which sound camps are regulated currently, but the greater problem is with how those rules are applied and the tone with which they are enforced. The BOD and the community in general shrouds the process in too much mystery. No matter what the current procedures, the BOD does a terrible job implementing them, b/c major complaints and walkouts from sound camp organizers have become a perennial staple of PDF. The process by which camps are selected for sound seems largely arbitrary, with no clear way to earn the right to amplify. It seems that turn down times and number of camps allowed to amplify are too strict, and it seems like whoever is responsible for reacting to these complaints sucks at people skills, since so many burners are consistently pissed at that person/group, especially people who devote serious time and energy to the PDF community. If our sound policies and decision-making processes don't empower the creators in our community, we'll flounder as an event.	11/12/2014 8:55 AM
19	DUMMY SURVEY	11/12/2014 8:35 AM
20	Some of these questions are a little confusing. Or worded strangely, shall I say. Re: #19, I think that having neighborhoods / areas with different sound restrictions is important. Some people want quiet, some people want to party. In general, I think the sound restrictions of the venue pose a significant problem for the event. This is a large part of why I haven't brought our camp there since 2008, and have not returned since 2010.	11/12/2014 2:43 AM
21	Love the music and dancing, but I can move to it if it's just in one area.	11/12/2014 2:13 AM
22	You asked if we "should change the times for volume turndown, for instance, to 11pm and 1am, or later" but I don't know what the current rules are. I think there should be a few camps in the main area that can have sound later but the smaller camps near where people sleep shouldn't. Not a fan of the all night noise. I do like to get some sleep.	11/12/2014 1:53 AM
23	Sound is going to be significant altered by the physical characteristics of the site; some locations are easier to buffer than others and that may influence sound policy. Ideally there could be effective "zoning" of camping for sound.	11/12/2014 1:03 AM
24	I was part of a sound camp at regionals in Florida for two years. They moved away from the general rent population, covered the generator, and were generally respectful in terms of volume. There should be compromises in terms of where music camps and general camps are, and people should be respectful of their neighbors.	11/12/2014 12:50 AM
25	I think a back field / quiet area is definitely needed when I need to escape from the beats for a bit.	11/11/2014 11:45 PM
26	Coordinated a sound camp at freeform for the past 2 yrs	11/11/2014 11:35 PM
27	We're not a sound camp, but we're noisy as all hell, and I shouldn't have to to limit my voice at a burn, ever!	11/11/2014 11:00 PM
28	i Am the buzzkiller whose vigilant observation ultimately led to shutting down Lee / PEX a number of years ago the last time they were Center Camp. i hold by my decision but also have experienced guilt. i'm mostly "over it" - if only camps around them (Acoustic Combustion, ECHO, Etc) had Also been required to be a little quieter than the standard at the time, maybe as "the main event" they may have turned down as well. Who knows. i will say this, sound-buffering for all camps who have a noisy element (even a large gas generator) would help so much.	11/11/2014 10:05 PM
29	I have never had trouble conversing at my or any camp	11/11/2014 9:55 PM
30	#21. I believe the current sound rules at PDF are reasonable. - if the sound rules were reasonable, why are so many soundcamps so resolved to not return to PDF? I believe there is more layers to this question than can be answered in a such a survey. #23. I want to be able to have a conversation at my own camp at all hours of the day and not have to raise my voice to be heard. - Ive never had a problem with this in the past. I feel this question is particularly leading. #24. I believe camps in the front field of PDF at the VnVMC should be allowed to have amplified sound. - I think that camps especially in the front field should have amplified sound. #26. I understand the process of how Sound Camps are selected to be permitted to play music throughout the night. - i do NOT understand the process of how sound camps are selected at all	11/11/2014 7:58 PM
31	Having been blasted out of my camp a few years back by having the misfortune to be between two dueling sound camps, I am strongly in favor of quiet zones.	11/11/2014 6:55 PM
32	some music was terrible this past fall eventy and many ppl were complaining. it would of been great if it wasnt so loud that you couldnt escape it. one should be able to walk somewhere else n hear different music.	11/11/2014 5:22 PM
33	Ultimately, i think it it's everyone's burn, so you should be allowed to play music all you like. Just like at the real burn, if you want too get away from music, maybe should have a quiet camping area. But ultimately, radical self reliance, find a place that's quiet if you really need one to camp in. Q zone would help with this though.	11/11/2014 4:55 PM
34	I like diversity and flexibility so people can choose what kind of burn they would like to have.	11/11/2014 4:30 PM

35	"I think all camps should be able to play music at all hours at reduced volume, approx. 60dB." Yes. Why not?	11/11/2014 4:04 PM
36	i liked that there were some quieter times to 'sleep' if one so chose. I only put disagree on the back field because that's where I was sleeping :P It was nice to chill and not have to yell over people.	11/11/2014 3:42 PM
37	I went to Fall PDF about 3 years ago once, and was surprised at how sleepy it was at night. Normally I am a nocturnal explorer, so I decided PDF, being populated by mostly sun loving beings, maybe wasn't for me!	11/11/2014 3:37 PM
38	i have worked on the other side of sound management at pdf. and our desire for sound is limited by local law and neighbors. so why are you asking for public info on this?	11/11/2014 3:21 PM
39	Sounds depends a lot on layout. Current site is too small to have music blasting all night. Zoned areas of noise and quiet are necessary. Some people (me) can't function without at least SOME sleep.	11/11/2014 2:31 PM
40	Opinions about sound matter far less than avoiding hassles with the neighbors and LEOs	11/11/2014 2:25 PM
41	I support camps being able to play loud music all night, but I think there should be quiet areas for people to camp if they choose.	11/11/2014 2:11 PM
42	A certain area should be allowed for sound camps to play though out the night, far away from a designated quiet camping area.	11/11/2014 1:56 PM
43	I think sound areas are good, with all night sound, maybe limited to not quite as loud. There should be quiet areas too though.	11/11/2014 1:50 PM
44	"Quiet Space" is a must. I don't want dub step shoved up my ass around the clock on every square foot of a site.	11/11/2014 1:32 PM
45	DAT karnack doe..	11/11/2014 1:18 PM
46	Along with location of the event, the bigger issue here is application of the sound rules and enforcement at the event. It should be cooperative and not confrontational. building relationships between sound volunteers and tcos would help diffuse some of these issues	11/11/2014 1:01 PM
47	Sleep is also important.	11/11/2014 12:55 PM
48	Turndown is okay as long as it's at a good level to enjoy until at least 2am. Having quieter camping in the back is fine/great, and lower up front makes sense if it will prevent outside intervention.	11/11/2014 12:48 PM
49	the sound camp selection seems sketchy to me and gives no benefits to camps who have proven to be reliable. The question about being able to play amplified sound in the back field is kind of vague. I know there are 2 sections of the back field, open camping and quiet open camping. Amplified sound at volumes dictated by the nighttime turn down rules should be able to be played in open camping, just not in quiet camping. The back field quiet area was implemented because there were so many people that wanted a quiet area that the front field could no longer contain them. This to me says there are more people who want quiet areas that there are those who want to blast music yet not be an official sound camp.	11/11/2014 12:41 PM
50	The slund regulations at pdf are dedicated by the people surrounding pdf as much as the pdf community itself. Though we may not like to admit it, we must still deal with the outside world and obey by some of those rules. Also, the current restrictions were made worse but certain sound camps blatant disregard for the rules. I understand there is dissatisfaction with the current process to choose sound camps and this should be addressed to make it fair in everyone's eyes. However, acting like the sound restrictions are set by the board purely to inconvenience people and not because of real world issues, is just whining and not really addressing the problem.	11/11/2014 12:14 PM
51	The sound issues are intrinsic to the space. We spend tons of energy, time, and money to bring big sounds and throw amazing parties at PDF. Yet, the BoD constantly fights the sound camps, even when you play during the DAY!!! I strongly believe in having quiet areas at a burn where the people who want to have a quieter experience can be. I also believe there should be full sound areas ALL the time at a burn. At Transformus, Vahalla is considered "BOOM valley" for a reason. You don't camp in Valhalla, you go there to dance. PDF tries so hard to accommodate one kind of person, that they alienate and kill the joy for many other kinds. Move the event, have multiple spaces. Make room for ALL!!!	11/11/2014 11:47 AM
52	I think the way that the sound camps are treated sucks. I've been dying to say this for years. These people spend countless hours and money to build a camp only to be treated poorly after. I'm personally over it and have not been lead on a camp in years due to the way sound camps are treated. Frankly I used to be captain participation for PDF and have all but stopped because I feel that my efforts get shit all over in the end. You get to a point where you ask yourself why am I bothering and go somewhere else like freeform where my efforts are appreciated.	11/11/2014 11:39 AM

53	Your sound restrictions should keep you from being an actual burn. The restrictions in general dampen the idea of radical self expression and many of the tenets of the burning man community.	11/11/2014 11:34 AM
54	Being able to escape the sound and amps at the front field is a good thing when needed. Keep the amps out of the camping area/back field.	11/11/2014 11:18 AM
55	It is important to be respectful neighbors.	11/11/2014 11:15 AM
56	I believe that there should be at least some spaces (e.g., the backfield) where people who want to engage in "quiet camping" can.	11/11/2014 11:09 AM
57	Boobs	11/11/2014 11:06 AM
58	Sound, both loudness and zoning should be different neighborhood to neighborhood	11/11/2014 11:05 AM
59	Oh sound. Honestly, I respect a sound turn-down. I really do. But only having 3 camps, usually EDM based, have 24 hr sound is kind of ridiculous. I heard that the process was basically random selection this year. Regardless of the truth behind it, that's what public opinion is. Up until this year, dealing with the sound coordinators was abysmal (Dove has really changed my opinion of PDF, as I'm a person who travels with large sound camps. I almost didn't come back until I talked to her. Kudos to Dove.). I've been in several camps in the past that have been shut down because the sound-police didn't know how to use the meter. They were rude and undiplomatic (Again, pre-Dove). Anyway, I think what I'm trying to say is that sound is getting better, but perhaps all camps in the 1 and 2 sections can have amplified sound so that it doesn't look like a popularity contest.	11/11/2014 10:58 AM
60	I realize there's reasons we do what we do, but the sound turn down has been terrible for years now.	11/11/2014 10:45 AM
61	Loud music with heavy rap or bass need to be turned down by 2 AM...till 9 AM. No loud music from barn to back camps. Music was played by Camp Fuck You till 5AM and nobody in back camp area had any peace.	11/11/2014 10:36 AM
62	I helped with Camp Contact in 2014, though nothing directly related to sound. I don't fully understand question #22. I don't know enough to imagine how loud 60 dB is.	11/11/2014 10:27 AM
63	The direction and level of sound should be managed by a team of people	11/11/2014 10:10 AM
64	I would like to learn more about this & would likely have an opinion. Currently I appreciate that there are quiet areas of the playa though I believe a designated area should be able to play music at the extended hours agreed to	11/11/2014 10:07 AM
65	I feel TCLs should be responsible for coordinating with each other to stay out of each other's way as it relates to sound	11/11/2014 10:06 AM
66	I believe in personal choice & freedom - - I choose to pitch my tent where I know I can get some lvl of quiet for a while, and you are completely free to play u'r music 24 hours a day, u do u up front, and I'll do my slightly quieter slumber nearer the back - - win / win	11/11/2014 9:14 AM
67	Land purchase should not equal the ability to play music at all hours, at any volume. If our event is more than just a party we should think differently about a land purchase solving all the issues with amplified sound.	11/11/2014 8:56 AM
68	26: don't understand the process to pick them 27&28: didn't coordinate or manage but was in two sound camps within the last two years and helped around a lot for both of them.	11/11/2014 3:27 AM
69	My camp is not a sound camp. However, i do enjoy dancing and there are no many choices at PDF anymore... I fully understand the sound policy at PDF and at the current location that sound policy makes sense. However, it will be great to have more sound camps with different type of music for all of those like me that love dancing to different beats.	11/11/2014 12:01 AM
70	-Camps that are consider quiet camps don't want to be placed next to a sounds camp. -Sound Camps don't want to be placed next to another Sound Camp. Please keep a space for non-sound camps. In the past there were more non-sound camps, but they stopped coming because they were not able to hold their events because it was too loud. Please be fair and have a space for all types of camps to do their thing. If not more and more non-sound camps may drop out.	11/10/2014 8:51 PM
71	the IMPLEMENTATION of the sound rules seems to be as much if not more of a complaint than the rules themselves. but i am in favor of sound camps. one of the reasons i often skip pdf is that many soundcamps no longer participate due to the issues surrounding sound.	11/10/2014 7:06 PM
72	When I was in a sound camp we got drowned out by the neighbors because we had live weirdo music by weirdos that wasn't amplified. It'd be nice if PDF were big enough to have space for both quiet and loud theme camps. The diversity of sound environs at PDF is really cool.	11/10/2014 7:04 PM

73	we were not a sound camp but we had music playing late and had no complaints brought up.	11/10/2014 6:28 PM
74	It is very important that there are quiet areas. Some people do sleep and too much sound drives some of us insane. There needs to be a refuge.	11/10/2014 6:28 PM
75	there should be loud areas and quiet areas. sound camps should be able to go all night, 24/7. Transformus is a good example.	11/10/2014 5:24 PM
76	The back camping area needs to be free of amplified sound! I can not stress this enough.	11/10/2014 5:17 PM
77	The complaints at night at Fall PDF about sound and the ensuing communications were understandable but a bummer nevertheless.	11/10/2014 4:29 PM
78	My theme camp does have an A/V setup, but it is much lower wattage than what is typically thought of as a "sound camp".	11/10/2014 4:18 PM
79	The sound level policy is adapted to the Delaware VnVMC clubs present layout and neighbor density. I recall spring 2004 were the neighbors were virtually non existent and the sound policy was non. At spring PDF 2004 less than 500 people attended and there were still tickets available. The back field was not available and parking was in the front only. Much smaller and more intimate. Like Freeform 2012. I recommend studying Freeform 2012, it inception, initial BOD formation, and the devolution into a privately held festival 2014 to see what to avoid. One of the BOD was encouraged to step down due to a social fupah that could have been easily overlooked.	11/10/2014 4:04 PM
80	PDF is a burn not a rave. I've seen unfettered loud music 24/7 attract drugged out party people. There's PLENTY of events to roll hard at. The main advantage PDF has over similar events is that it recognizes the entire community doesn't want earsplitting EDM 24/7 everywhere, at all times. Many theme camps stopped coming to PDF because of the earsplitting edm in theme camping. Once I saw a fist fight between theme camps over sound! No matter what - there has to be a place for quiet-er theme camps. PDF theme camps used to be diverse and cool and offer more art and obstacle courses and stuff. Now they're mainly a rave ghetto people, including the last few "interesting" theme camps, can't camp far enough away from.	11/10/2014 4:03 PM
81	I like to have some great places to dance, but I don't like noise everywhere, or camps competing with each other. I think the current configuration is just about right. :)	11/10/2014 3:43 PM
82	I think it should be one area, and the speakers should be pointed away from the other area in order to allow people the option of sleeping.	11/10/2014 3:27 PM
83	29. I do not want LEOs to crash our Burn - STRONGLY AGREE	11/10/2014 3:14 PM
84	I understand why the sound policy is strict and will push to enforce it as long as it is in place, but it would be nice to have extended hours and more "Official Sound camps."	11/10/2014 3:06 PM
85	I want to be able to have a conversation at my own camp at all hours of the day and not have to raise my voice to be heard." doesn't mean EVERYWHERE. But sure, set aside zones for quieter types.	11/10/2014 2:43 PM
86	The biggest problem is the bass. And why do you need to play music when no one is in your sound camp. Take notice of how many people are in a loud sound camp, compare to bar camp.	11/10/2014 2:42 PM
87	I think the sound policy is reasonable given our current land lords and neighbors, but it would be nice to allow for more evening amplified sound.	11/10/2014 2:15 PM
88	Sound is a touchy subject. I like to sleep at night and don't think that it is necessary to have music at full blast at all hours of the night. I do think that some of the variety of music has been taken out of the event because there are only three camps allowed with sound. To me it is reasonable to be able to hear sound in the camp you want to be at, at night, and it not have to encroach on another camps sound. But i've found myself going to be at 12 when I would like to go dance, because there wasn't music I'd like happening. This has only ever happened since the sound policy was in effect.	11/10/2014 1:55 PM
89	The rules are reasonable based on our current location. A change in location should mean a change in rules.	11/10/2014 1:54 PM
90	Nice to have: some kind of hill or natural sound barrier between the loud sound camps and 'residential' camps.	11/10/2014 1:48 PM
91	The current sound policy is extremely understandable to me considering where we are at (vnvmc). If we were to move, I think it would be super awesome if we didn't need sound restrictions. Maybe a "quiet camping" area in the back would be nice for those with children or who just need quiet rest for whatever reason.	11/10/2014 1:48 PM
92	This year's distribution seemed to cause headaches by being arbitrary, and I assume it will be improved.	11/10/2014 1:46 PM

93	Music is good, late night places to dance and party is wonderful...being blasted out and not being able to sleep due to volume would be an issue...it isn't a music festival...it is a burn with music... at the current location I understand the vets need to dictate to satisfy our wants and neighborhood needs/laws/LEOs....it makes sense to me.	11/10/2014 1:11 PM
94	I based my answers on the current location. If there was a better location, I may want unrestricted sound. I believe that amplified sound should be allowed in the front and back fields - within reason. One of the best back field camps EVER was when Vibe Tribe set up back there...they had 24/7 amplified sound, but it was never too loud that it bothered the near by camps. Frankly, it's too quiet back there these days.	11/10/2014 12:58 PM
95	A quiet camping area (i.e., no amplified sound) is a good option to offer, but whether it be in part of the front field or back field isn't so important to me and can be decided based on the placement and arrangement of other things.	11/10/2014 12:26 PM
96	Ideally, we wouldn't have neighbors who would be mad about 24 hour sound. I'd like as much sound as would be respectful to neighbors and burners with children.	11/10/2014 12:25 PM
97	Existing sound policy is restrictive and badly administered. (This includes the theme camp placement, process by which sound camps are chosen, and enforcement of policy on site.)	11/10/2014 12:24 PM
98	There needs to be a better sound policy and notification of sound privileges. Its unfair to camps who plan on sound to only be informed a week prior to the event, after events are in the WWW and without time to change their game plan.	11/10/2014 12:22 PM

Q30 I enjoy having the Vets around PDF during the event.

Answered: 546 Skipped: 92

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	11.17%	10.26%	30.40%	29.85%	18.32%	546	3.34
	61	56	166	163	100		

Q31 I personally interact with members of the VnVMC _____ .

Answered: 554 Skipped: 84

Answer Choices	Responses
Never at PDF	13.72% 76
1-3 times at PDF	46.39% 257
4-6 times at PDF	21.12% 117
7-10 times at PDF	5.60% 31
More than 10 times at PDF	13.18% 73
Total	554

Q32 Members of VnVMC come and interact with PDF participants at my camp.

Answered: 555 Skipped: 83

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
--	-------------------	----------	----------------------------	-------	----------------	-------	----------------

(no label)	6.49% 36	17.48% 97	29.91% 166	37.66% 209	8.47% 47	555	3.24
------------	-------------	--------------	---------------	---------------	-------------	-----	------

Q33 I think guests of the VnVMC members should be allowed to attend PDF without buying a ticket.

Answered: 557 Skipped: 81

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	25.49% 142	24.60% 137	24.42% 136	18.85% 105	6.64% 37	557	2.57

Q34 I like that the Vets are able to keep local law enforcement out of PDF.

Answered: 551 Skipped: 87

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	1.63% 9	0.73% 4	9.98% 55	31.94% 176	55.72% 307	551	4.39

Q35 I have utilized Vets' equipment in the past.

Answered: 544 Skipped: 94

Answer Choices	Responses
Yes, the backhoe	9.01% 49
Yes, the trailer	19.30% 105
No, never.	72.98% 397
Other (please specify)	7.54% 41
Total Respondents: 544	

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Pig Smoker	11/13/2014 10:26 AM
2	Air pump, tire iron,	11/12/2014 4:45 PM
3	the large barbecue	11/12/2014 4:26 PM
4	Bikeshop tools	11/12/2014 4:21 PM
5	hay rides	11/12/2014 1:00 PM
6	They also gave barrel of fun an extra couch last spring!	11/12/2014 9:18 AM
7	They let me get ice from their club house for my bloody mary when ice sales were over	11/12/2014 1:00 AM
8	Showers, hose, dumpsters	11/12/2014 12:13 AM
9	DUMPSTER	11/11/2014 11:46 PM
10	Tractor to move stuff	11/11/2014 11:32 PM

11	They were driving them	11/11/2014 11:03 PM
12	but i know those that have and it really was a big help	11/11/2014 10:10 PM
13	Does trash pick up and ice sales count?	11/11/2014 9:32 PM
14	no never, although i know that we use their storage	11/11/2014 8:14 PM
15	a friend of mine broke some art while at pdf and was going to drive back to philly to weld it back together and the vets let us use their rig.	11/11/2014 7:33 PM
16	ice	11/11/2014 7:14 PM
17	hay rides	11/11/2014 6:37 PM
18	some off then have been nice enough give me things like blankets, drinks, and laughs.	11/11/2014 5:04 PM
19	Lots of others during setup for earlier PDFs	11/11/2014 3:27 PM
20	too many to list at the first few PDFs	11/11/2014 3:20 PM
21	helped me fix a flat tite	11/11/2014 3:11 PM
22	One of them gave me a bottle of water once, which was really cool. He pointed at the garden hose first when I asked for a drink, but when you're surrounded by 50 Tough Uncles, you have to expect some good-natured razzing.	11/11/2014 1:16 PM
23	Pig cooker	11/11/2014 12:45 PM
24	unloading and loading from back field	11/11/2014 12:16 PM
25	Pig-capacity grill, pick-up trucks	11/11/2014 11:38 AM
26	Bike storage	11/11/2014 11:22 AM
27	Party bus tractor	11/11/2014 10:45 AM
28	They allowed us to park our motorcycles in the front and not in the field.	11/11/2014 10:36 AM
29	Camp Contact loves Woodhenge!	11/11/2014 10:30 AM
30	Power for art car	11/11/2014 10:19 AM
31	Shed, Barrel Storage, Power	11/11/2014 10:11 AM
32	Bathrooms only	11/11/2014 10:08 AM
33	Burn pad	11/11/2014 10:07 AM
34	the are fun for rides around & usefull for trash removal - -	11/11/2014 9:19 AM
35	plenty	11/10/2014 7:08 PM
36	Their electricity.	11/10/2014 5:06 PM
37	pineapples the man!	11/10/2014 4:03 PM
38	I was given a golf cart ride!	11/10/2014 3:33 PM
39	Campzilla uses their smoker for our pig roast.	11/10/2014 3:19 PM
40	Wagon to ride on from parking	11/10/2014 3:08 PM
41	golf cart ice delivery	11/10/2014 2:44 PM

Q36 Who should liaison with the Vets about event related topics?

Answered: 492 Skipped: 146

Answer Choices	Responses
----------------	-----------

The Planning Committee	22.36%	110
Coordinators	36.79%	181
The Board of Directors	40.85%	201
Total		492

Q37 Additional Comments:

Answered: 118 Skipped: 520

#	Responses	Date
1	Friends of the vests should absolutley not be there during the events. No spectators.	11/13/2014 11:38 AM
2	Nowhere else would a renter have to tolerate an invasion of the rental space by the land owner at any and all times. No other even has to turn a blind eye to sexual harassment by land owners and their guests. I like the vets who are nice, but hate their guests and think plenty of Vets are old timers with antiquated views on sexual agreements and personal rights.	11/13/2014 10:48 AM
3	I like the Vets. I trust the Vets and I interact with the Vets on a regular basis.	11/13/2014 10:26 AM
4	The vets guests are why my theme camp no longer attends PDF regularly. The amount of spectating, safari trips, and aggressive insulting behavior from them sours the entire event. The only time I have ever felt unsafe at a burn (including late late night burning man, wondering alone in deep Playa) has been at PDF due to the vets guests.	11/13/2014 10:08 AM
5	The space should be treated as rented property. The Vets are landlords, and their presence as maintenance and even vendors (ice sales driven around on golf carts are nice) is helpful. They should not be rule enforcers and their guests should not be permitted to attend PDF without buying a ticket.	11/13/2014 9:26 AM
6	I believe more dialogue with them would be a good thing but its time to leave them behind and move on.	11/12/2014 11:42 PM
7	number 36 is confusing since folks who are not involved with all that stuff have no idea what responsibilities are involved with each department. Not a fair question to reply to.	11/12/2014 11:17 PM
8	While I don't think we should ask guests of the vets to buy tix, I do think it would be appropriate to establish specified limits and boundaries, as well as an agreement to make sure the vets explain to their guests what is acceptable/not acceptable behavior within our community and we should be able to reserve the right to have the vets remove guests upon our request if established boundaries are not respected.	11/12/2014 9:26 PM
9	Now when you say interact do you mean have the vets hit on me and made commentary about my looks and the like, yes sure absolutely. I have had some lovely conversations with a few of them in the wee early hours of the morning but RARELY did those ever not be coupled with propositions or physical passes and flirtation. Sure the vets have come into camps I was with and had some of our booze and chatted and also made comments about other burners that were a little off kilter but hey it's their land. Have I had interactions with the vets that left me cold and completely disgusted YUP you betcha so hey those all count right? As for LEO involvement I would rather have some pre-selected folks who are good at talking to official type people step up and agree to be the points of contact for the cops should they show up rather than be complicit with the idea that because the cops don't show up it's a good thing. What if the cops are NEEDED I mean I'm sure offering that the Vets keep the cops OUT in no way makes anyone feel like if they actually NEED the cops that they might not want to make that call because people HATE cops at PDF and the vets keep those awful cops out!	11/12/2014 5:39 PM
10	Above would not allow multiple choice, but i feel some job-specific communication between Vets and Coordinators would help both. Having ALL comm funneled thru 1 BoD Liaison is necessary, but some Coord-to-Vet Comm could be done with CC Policy to the BoD Liaison so all Vet/PDF comm is tracked.	11/12/2014 4:21 PM
11	Making it possible to choose more than one option on question 36 would have been helpful. It entirely depends on the circumstances. I am inclined to think that coordinators for a department are more likely to be in possession of a more complete understanding of a situation or an incident that falls under their department than a BOD member that is receiving second and thirdhand information in the middle of a situation, but it is entirely dependent on the circumstances.	11/12/2014 1:26 PM

12	36. Who should liaison with the Vets about event related topics? the survey is not letting me check all the options. I believe the Planning Committee, and the Coordinators should liaison with the Vets about event related topics. However, the issues with the Vets will be non-existent if we rent space somewhere else. VVMC is not the right location for PDF anymore.	11/12/2014 1:00 PM
13	I love the vets! But unfortunately throwing an event at their home also means a limited sound policy and I love music too! I don't think loving the vets, alone, is enough of a reason to continue having the event there.	11/12/2014 11:54 AM
14	The fewer people that act as liaisons to the Vets, the less confusion there will be.	11/12/2014 10:55 AM
15	There should be just 1 liaison for the vets.	11/12/2014 10:27 AM
16	There are both ups and downs to having the vets there I do not personally mind of their involvement but do wish that they permitted a little more sound. also if finding a new land to hold PDF on means that we will have interactions with local law enforcement then I believe we should stay where we are.	11/12/2014 10:19 AM
17	I love the vets I am one myself, but I still disagree with having them. I feel that PDF is a "Spectator" burn. There is little (if any) participation from the vets (generally), they don't volunteer, they don't bring/create art, but they do drink at our bars and stare at the women whom choose to dress in a provocative manner(and sometime even comment inappropriately) which is totally against our culture! It feels like they get away with it because it is their property and no one wants to piss them off and start conflict, also because they are good people and can be very helpful and fun. But ultimately no, I don't want to have them host PDF anymore. If they want to buy a ticket and participate in building our community, great.Essentially they have no "ownership" of the community the way we do.	11/12/2014 10:04 AM
18	I like and respect the vets. Their guests are terrible!	11/12/2014 9:33 AM
19	The vets are very nice and I like them at the event. I don't think they should get unlimited passes for anyone they want to bro for free, but I think bringing immediate family to an event on their own land seems fair. I *love* that local police leave us alone and I think it has to do with the vets. The awareness of cops at the big burn and the suspicion that new friends could be undercover really affects a lot of things, I don't want that to happen at PDF.	11/12/2014 9:18 AM
20	The presence of an outside group that has no commitment to participate in the event, and who can dictate terms to the community in an impersonal, ad hoc way, on the grounds of a burn event is a SERIOUS issue that must be addressed. The vets' ownership of the land on which we gather is a distinct problem for our community.	11/12/2014 8:58 AM
21	DUMMY SURVEY	11/12/2014 8:36 AM
22	I think everyone realizes the dichotomy of simultaneously wanting the vets to keep LEO out, while also recognizing the fairly shameless objectification and to some degree, shaming of women that we have all seen take place at the hands of one vet or another. I believe that as a whole, we don't love being oogled as freaks by them and their guests but everyone is afraid of the consequences of speaking up. This should change.	11/12/2014 8:17 AM
23	Sometimes the old men are creepy towards young naked women	11/12/2014 6:33 AM
24	I've never had a problem with the vets, it's the guests of the vets that I have had more issues with.	11/12/2014 2:51 AM
25	36. I think you can make a case for all three depending on circumstances.	11/12/2014 1:05 AM
26	At other regionals we are informed the police may enter the grounds and do walk arounds for illegal activity, so people don't do illegal activity out in the open. It's not a problem as far as I'm aware.	11/12/2014 12:52 AM
27	I dont really know the real differences between the above groups.	11/11/2014 11:46 PM
28	The vets guests have on numerous occasions toured PDF as if it was a zoo. This is unaccabtable and has made me extremely uncomfortable.	11/11/2014 11:06 PM
29	I strongly disagree with vet guests, and they're one of the primary reasons we should move. Most of the serious incidents i've dealt with as a Ranger have involved vet guests or neighbors. This is why we need land.	11/11/2014 11:03 PM
30	this past fall ('14) when sound got out-of-hand (according to Pineapple) i was at the back gate and had a conversation with one of the vets about the Strong shared interest to not have the authorities enter the grounds on account a noise complaint from farm neighbors... it was clear they enjoy our presence but also don't want the whole thing spoiled for all involved. The vets are indispensible partners in this event. (Also, whoever told Pineapple to go f**k himself SHOULD KNOW BETTER)	11/11/2014 10:10 PM
31	`1`	11/11/2014 10:05 PM
32	I think the vets add a beautiful aspect of home to the site, and it's wonderful that they fought for us to express how much we do!	11/11/2014 10:05 PM

33	The liaison for the vets should be whoever the BOD or PC decides it should be. As long as there is a process it's good.	11/11/2014 9:32 PM
34	Have they been asked what they would like changed? Mutual Respect should be reinforced.	11/11/2014 8:48 PM
35	#30. I enjoy having the Vets around PDF during the event. - if the vets were participants then yes, but they're not. They're landowners therefore the relationship that Playa Del Fuego has with VVMC is a business relationship. We rent space from them, why do they stick around? If they stick around fine, but just as they would expect PDF participants to abide by their general rules, they could probably be doing a little more to understand the issues that we have with their presence at the event. #33. I think guests of the VnVMC members should be allowed to attend PDF without buying a ticket. - I do not. Guests of VVMC should also *not* be getting preferential treatment over theme camp organizers at PDF, especially if theme camp leads are the first responders to volatile guests of vets when they cause problems at the theme camps. That said, specific treatment of certain theme camp leadership has been atrocious by both the vets and the subsequent response to the GD event by the PDF board! #34. I like that the Vets are able to keep local law enforcement out of PDF. - don't most burns keep the cops out? I don't see this question as one of 'what the vets do for the event?' as 'as a burn, we've police ourselves'. This is an issue of event management, IMO, and another leading question. Am curious to see the greater response to the question after this survey is tallied. Additionally, if the vets keep out police because cops are responding to the alleged noise complaints from the neighbors, then I absolutely think that the prospect of new land should be addressed, and SERIOUSLY. This topic (of land) seems to be quite circular, and a lot of apparent lip service. #36. Who should liaison with the Vets about event related topics? - everyone should, but if its "official" the board should.	11/11/2014 8:14 PM
36	Pertaining to #33... while I don't agree that guests of the vets attend without purchasing a ticket, I don't see how that could realistically be enforced.	11/11/2014 8:09 PM
37	The vets should also include their friends and family who they invite for these events. By interact do you mean I was propositioned/hit on because if not then I have interacted with them less. There's a few I've had conversations with but mostly it was leading up to being asked for sex in some fashion.	11/11/2014 7:24 PM
38	The Vets should have guests, but it there should be some kind of control or accounting.	11/11/2014 6:56 PM
39	On question 36, liaison with the vets, I should have been able to choose all three choices given.	11/11/2014 6:37 PM
40	The Vets are a mixed bag; some of them get it, others clearly don't. However, their guests are almost uniformly terrible, and create an unsafe environment for participants (to which they have not consented).	11/11/2014 6:18 PM
41	So far I do not feel as the Vets have abused bringing guests...	11/11/2014 6:00 PM
42	I know the issue with the vets has been pressing for years now. Honestly, I have always like the lot of them, and they have never been anything but nice to me for the most part, sometimes when a couple of them are drunk they can be out of line, but that is like anyone, and are all equal on playa.	11/11/2014 5:04 PM
43	Question 34 assumes that the vets are more able to keep police out of the event than any other private property owner would be. I don't think that is necessarily true.	11/11/2014 4:58 PM
44	I've had 50/50 good and bad interactions with the vets. They've damaged equipment in my camp this past fall and have been inappropriate around female nudity once or twice in the past -- but Pineapple is a great guy and the ice guys were nice too	11/11/2014 4:51 PM
45	I actually do not know who should be the folks in charge of coordinating with the Vets. The vets were pretty funny though! And seemed friendly.	11/11/2014 3:38 PM
46	need sound rules for motorcycles.	11/11/2014 3:33 PM
47	I'm not sure who should be the liaison	11/11/2014 3:29 PM
48	The vets get it, but a lot of their friends don't and that's a big problem	11/11/2014 3:11 PM
49	Yeah	11/11/2014 3:08 PM
50	While I have had some okay interactions with the vets, I have had some negative ones as well. I have also had some bad encounters with vet guests generally resent their presence. They don't pay or volunteer or participate or give a shit about the 10 principles. They're spectators at best.	11/11/2014 2:38 PM
51	Keeping local law enforcement out of PDF is very important for my feeling of safety and freedom.	11/11/2014 2:23 PM
52	#36 ? ... HtFDIK ?	11/11/2014 1:36 PM
53	Anyone but karnack	11/11/2014 1:19 PM

54	The vets are not burners, they have no place at pdf	11/11/2014 1:02 PM
55	Is this not working now?	11/11/2014 12:56 PM
56	Less hands making messes is good, but the BoD needs to really have consensus and take participants opinions into account when making decisions that affect everyone.	11/11/2014 12:50 PM
57	We would not be able to cook the Campzilla pig without the Vet's generous loan of their pig cooker. We bring them a huge tray of BBQ pork as a thank you, and it is greatly appreciated.	11/11/2014 12:45 PM
58	I think it makes sense for there to be a filter between the vets and the event. So regardless of who the liason is (PC, Coordinator, BOD) it should remain 1 or 2 people and not just a free for all.	11/11/2014 12:43 PM
59	I think the vets love and respect our community and see us as having a lot in common. I think this relationship shouldn't be undervalued.	11/11/2014 12:16 PM
60	Vets are spectators who take MANY liberties with the burners. They do not respect consent, create violence, and make us very uncomfortable. I will never forget the giant hole in the side of the Pub. A knife, in one of our PILLAR camps- used to cut through one of Ludo's walls, because they stopped serving the wasted, out of control vet's guest. How can you not see how unacceptable that is??? Why can't you step up to protect US?? And then, they even get a special space at the effigy burn. The commodification there is impossible to miss. You pander to them because of the space and the money. Again, this is an example of PDF going against the principles. No other event needs creeps to "protect" us.	11/11/2014 11:56 AM
61	I love the vets	11/11/2014 11:40 AM
62	The vets are built in spectators at this event and this alone should make this event NOT A BURN.	11/11/2014 11:36 AM
63	#33 attend PDF without buying a ticket. = Limited number, maybe One guest. Totally okay with that.	11/11/2014 11:22 AM
64	I think that the vets who own property there should not have to pay for tickets. I don't think their friends should be allowed that luxury, unless they volunteer or something.	11/11/2014 11:11 AM
65	See previous comment. Private property is valuable.	11/11/2014 11:11 AM
66	They are pretty creepy & rude	11/11/2014 11:10 AM
67	Boobs	11/11/2014 11:07 AM
68	Honestly, the vets don't bother me. It's all about respect. I heard about the instance of a vet destroying someone's sound. I never heard what the person did though, but I assume they were being belligerent. The vets are always pleasant with me and all the members of my camp, and I appreciate them keeping law enforcement out. Law enforcement has no place on private property without a warrant.	11/11/2014 11:01 AM
69	They're one of the unique aspects that separate PDF from other burns at this point. Both in good and bad ways.	11/11/2014 10:47 AM
70	Please contract the Vets to dig drainage ditches for the back field, especially the area around the water faucet so it can be unlocked.	11/11/2014 10:46 AM
71	I'm uncomfortable with the voyeurism of the vets. I know they are hosting our event but at spring 14 PDF I noticed they were hanging around the barn showers just watching people for an extended period of time and it was uncomfortable	11/11/2014 10:46 AM
72	I have personally had no problem with the Vets and they seemed like good folks, but I have heard from female friends that the Vets have acted in a manner to make them uncomfortable, and that is completely uncool. There is no room for that in our community. I fully believe in radical inclusion, but if that radical inclusion prevents members of our community to exercise their self-expression, that cannot be tolerated.	11/11/2014 10:37 AM
73	The BOD and Planning committee should be able to coordinate with the Vets	11/11/2014 10:36 AM
74	I actually don't have enough experience to give an informed & experienced answer to #36.	11/11/2014 10:30 AM
75	Anyone with responsibility for organizing and operating PDF should have interaction with the vets.	11/11/2014 10:20 AM
76	Vets!	11/11/2014 10:11 AM
77	They are friendly & We've greeted with Hello here & there. Never noticed or had more interaction otherwise	11/11/2014 10:08 AM
78	There should be one person or two specific people that interact with the vets.	11/11/2014 10:06 AM
79	I think the planning committee, coordinators, and the board of director should liaison with the vers	11/11/2014 9:42 AM

80	WE, the community, should coordinate w/ the vets - - I prefer to see PDF as a community and not a heirarchy	11/11/2014 9:19 AM
81	When Vets guests just walk around to take in what's happening at PDF they become the ultimate spectator. If we are renting land from the Vets for the event they should not be able to have guests participate in our event. By doing that we are the line of the business transaction are being crossed, and get foggy. What if they atart to invote more guests to our party? Would you like it if a friend invited guests you didn't know to a party at your house without asking? And those guests started to change the vibe of your party?	11/11/2014 9:02 AM
82	I would say all of the above, to ensure no major issues.	11/11/2014 7:14 AM
83	Vets and their friends are our landlords. If they choose to be participants at our event it should be the same as any another participant abiding by our event's principles and respecting our community. Vets should not be allowed to decide on art installations, camp members interaction, or any other kind of decisions not already stipulated in the leasing contract. The terms of the leasing contract should be made available to all members of the community so we can understand the scope of power the vets have during our event besides renting the land. I don't dislike the Vets, i dislike that we are their entertainment.	11/11/2014 12:04 AM
84	If the board insists on keeping the event at VVMC then the board should bear the brunt of the responsibility to communicate with the vets, and more importantly, diffusing situations between TCOs snd the vets when guests of vets instigate problems.	11/10/2014 10:51 PM
85	Re: 36: Depends on the topic. If it's about, say, a vet guest causing problems, that's a BOD problem. If it's a coordinator trying to get the backhoe to get a car pulled out of the mud, involving the BOD seems overly involved.	11/10/2014 9:32 PM
86	the vets have some fucked up views but they are pretty much harmless. I think its hilarious to see them around all leathered out and all bad ass while burners in frolic around them.	11/10/2014 7:49 PM
87	some of the vets are nice. others are make me very uncomfortable, as a woman. i don't appreciate being leered at and don't feel comfortable addressing the issue as i would with a fellow burner because they are the landlords. i am also very concerned and upset that some of my friends of color feel that some of the vets are racist and make them uncomfortable - that is unacceptable in our community.	11/10/2014 7:09 PM
88	^ ask the vets who they want? they may already jibe with someone, maybe it's a new position. Ideally, pick someone who gets there for early entry. People who helicopter in and out of the event should be avoided.	11/10/2014 7:08 PM
89	guests of the vets should be allowed in at maybe a reduced cost. a guest of a land owner is their guest regardless of what day of the year it is. something must be kept in mind is a "cap" to the amount of guests. this will hopefully prevent something like 150 "guests" showing up and tipping the balance of our privacy.	11/10/2014 6:32 PM
90	all of the experiences i have had with vets and their guests have been negative.	11/10/2014 6:19 PM
91	It would be nearly impossible for the PC to liaison as it has the potential to be an ever evolving group of people. The more different people the more chance for miscommunication.	11/10/2014 5:52 PM
92	Ramblewood also has an excellent relationship with local law enforcement and I am sure some of the other local locations do as well.	11/10/2014 5:25 PM
93	The Vets are generally fine, but, like burners, they too can overindulge and get to be a problem for the event.	11/10/2014 5:20 PM
94	All 3 on question 36.	11/10/2014 5:06 PM
95	The VnVMC has served PDF exceptionally well since 2002. In fact the willingness of the Vets to allow and embrace nudity, occasional public sexual copulation, open drinking and substance use, along with a very effective privacy barrier and their willingness to physically and with negotiated tact bar law enforcement encroachment is a resource that adds value. Imagine if PDF had to provide these types of services on their own property? VcVMC also has modified their property to provide PDF unique storage and preparation. I can tell you that other pay for venues would not allow this kind of accommodation. I am aware that ONE DRUNK VET pleased himself at the "Deadly Muppets one night" and was told politely to stop and leave. Just how many of these incidents has occurred. Yes there is leering by old men. They also dress up and try to participate. I say most people would not like perhaps plain clothes law enforcement imposing themselves to collect evidence.	11/10/2014 4:10 PM
96	36 don't dick w/ the Vets by making them talk to 30 different people like a PC call, that'd be just disrespectful. Ask the vets who they want to talk to?	11/10/2014 4:03 PM
97	I love the Vets and the current event venue. The Vets are always helpful during the event. I love the venue space, compared to other venues because, HOT showers, pavilion with electricity, sound stage, running water for washing dishes, on site storage of PDF gear (no monthly rental storage fee), towing participants cars out of the mud, keeping the police off site, running the flat bed tracker around PDF, ice sales and electric at Front and Back gate areas, the peacocks. Just to name a few :)	11/10/2014 3:57 PM

98	I think the vets are great! Their guests seem to be a crap shoot... some are fine, some are trouble-makers. I don't care if the guests pay or not, just that they don't ruin the atmosphere of the event.	11/10/2014 3:46 PM
99	As long as VVMC is the venue, we need to work with them -- but the vets need to remember that we rent the property from them: they are not participants -- they are temporary landlords, and need to remain hands-off.	11/10/2014 3:40 PM
100	I don't think I know enough about the current situation to judge if we should move, but I can say that many attendees feel very strongly about the advantage of the Vets providing a buffer to law enforcement. That in itself could be a strong enough argument not to move.	11/10/2014 3:33 PM
101	Of those I have met, I think highly of nearly every Vet and most of the Legacy. I think there's a fine line between the Vets inviting a few guests versus bringing in a number of tourists.	11/10/2014 3:19 PM
102	Not ALL coordinators, but one or two should be the VET liaison.	11/10/2014 2:44 PM
103	It took me a minute to think about vets' guests and whether I thought they should buy a ticket. My thoughts are that it's fine if vets want to bring family, but other guests (just friends) should have to pay. It is their land, but it is our event.	11/10/2014 2:14 PM
104	I am a biker, so I have a lot of camaraderie with the Vets. I love them. I noticed one at a yoga class. I hope they agree this is a beneficial relationship. If the Vets are the reason that there are no fuzzi present, I am even happier with the arrangement.	11/10/2014 2:08 PM
105	I have had good experiences with Vets in the past. I've heard horror stories of their guest being creepy. I always say hello to them and thank them for letting us have our event on their property. I have served them drinks at my bar, but other than their guests asking me for substances that is the extent of my interaction with them.	11/10/2014 1:58 PM
106	I'm surprised the Vets haven't gotten tired of us already. God bless them.	11/10/2014 1:50 PM
107	Renting the land from an unrelated organization, whose members are present during the event, cuts into PDF's identity as a Burn. I prefer the PEX summer festival, where while Ramblewood owns the land, it does not feel like we are their guests. At PEX it feels like we are renters and it's our space for the weekend. Same at Transformus.	11/10/2014 1:48 PM
108	I love having the Vets there! The most important aspect to me is that there is no law enforcement on the property. This would change the event drastically, for the worse. We already have to deal with law enforcement at nearly all other Burns -- let's keep PDF truly wild and free!	11/10/2014 1:37 PM
109	i think the law enforcement piece is silly. other burns don't have vets and they are able to manage the law. it's a cop out	11/10/2014 1:32 PM
110	the liaison with the vets should be the board OFF EVENT depending on the need and the situation ON event - the BOD should trust the judgement of the coordinators	11/10/2014 1:19 PM
111	I have had limited but amicable contact with vets...not had an issue.	11/10/2014 1:13 PM
112	for #36 - I'd like to see a board and a coord liason. sometimes the issue is just not board related (i.e. getting the grass mowed so we can set up the back parking lot shade structure)	11/10/2014 1:02 PM
113	I treat the vets respectfully and have found them to be cordial. To maintain good relations with them, I think we need to check whether they are OK with PDF participants bringing rabbits, etc. onto the property and ban such animals if the answer is No.	11/10/2014 12:56 PM
114	Vets are still largely spectators even if some of them are cool	11/10/2014 12:41 PM
115	36 - a rep from each committee	11/10/2014 12:39 PM
116	Some coordinators and also the BoD should liaison with the vets, depending on the topic.	11/10/2014 12:32 PM
117	I have had several VERY negative interactions with the vets and friends of the vets. There is no option to state that.	11/10/2014 12:28 PM
118	The Vets are the biggest problem with the current event location. The majority of the Vets are spectators at OUR event. Multiple altercations have happened over the last few years, and they are getting worse (art censorship, assault and destruction of property at a theme camp, harassment at another theme camp, harassment and physical threats of violence with a weapon at another theme camp, etc. etc. etc.)	11/10/2014 12:27 PM

Q38 I think PDF should pay \$5k to expand a road into the back field to allow portapotty

**trucks and other large vehicles to proceed
and use the dirt to help fill in the low lying
areas.**

Answered: 541 Skipped: 97

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	9.80% 53	13.31% 72	26.99% 146	33.27% 180	16.64% 90	541	3.34

**Q39 I think PDF should pay to build a gravel
road to the back parking lot.**

Answered: 539 Skipped: 99

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	11.69% 63	19.29% 104	36.55% 197	24.12% 130	8.35% 45	539	2.98

**Q40 I think PDF should spend up to _____
to invest in land maintenance at the VVMC
in the next 3 years.**

Answered: 482 Skipped: 156

Answer Choices	Responses
\$0	32.37% 156
\$10k	51.87% 250
\$25k	11.83% 57
\$40k	3.94% 19
Total	482

Q41 Additional Comments:

Answered: 174 Skipped: 464

#	Responses	Date
1	I think it's fair to help pay for improvements that would benefit PDF.	11/13/2014 12:13 PM
2	With over \$200k saved, how can spending 5% of that to improve the land be a problem? I DO think, however, that the even should get a rental discount for spending the money on someone else's property.	11/13/2014 10:49 AM
3	I think we should find a place where such improvements will benefit the community more than the owners of the land. There is precedent at other burns of burners paying for and working to improve the land, only to be charged higher and higher rent in the years to come because of said improvements.	11/13/2014 10:09 AM
4	Short term fixes will help ease the insanity of the space while efforts are made to move elsewhere, if that's what the organization chooses to do. A gravel road in the back field will enable people to bring large scale art back there.	11/13/2014 9:28 AM

5	I believe any investment in the land should result in a reduction of our annual cost. There is no reason we should be giving them money without a financial return. Economics tells us that there are other places out there that will serve us just as well if not better if they aren't willing to meet our terms. They don't get free improvements for no reason.	11/12/2014 11:44 PM
6	If we ain't buying land for a while and we plan to be there for a while we may as well make improvements that benefit us all.	11/12/2014 11:19 PM
7	I think any decisions regarding additional expenditures on our current location should not be made until we have a concrete plan & timeline regarding venue change. If our timeline is two years, then it would not make sense to invest any funds into our current venue. However, if we decide we need additional funds before a move - and therefore seek to expand headcount in our current venue first - then the investments would make sense in order to facilitate the expansion.	11/12/2014 9:33 PM
8	But ONLY if this is our long-term home and alternate spaces have been ruled out	11/12/2014 9:24 PM
9	if wee going to keep using it for 5 years or more, then road improvements should be done	11/12/2014 7:49 PM
10	Get out of there. New site! New site!	11/12/2014 6:20 PM
11	Woah here's where I have a lot to write (have you figure out who this is yet? I bet maybe. Hiya!!) Firstly question 38 is ambiguous as to if it's capital improvements on the land (which it is) so NOPE. I say no PDF does not need to open it's coffers to pay for repairs/improvements (and which of it this is, is completely debatable) to open the ability for the VVMC to rent out the property to other events AT A PROFIT on the cost and labor efforts of the burning man community. You pay approx 6500 per event equaling approx 13000 annually and quite frankly that's a bit high for what you're getting (I've priced this stuff out on other events, hi it's me hi!!) that is what you give the vets. You should not take the community money and make capital improvements for non-community members. If you need land with these type of things go FIND it and RENT it or BUY if if you need to. Also any monies spent to the vets directly for these improvements (and please if they get so ballsy as to attempt to say well we could charge for labor you just give them your most disgusted business person look and walk away from the bargaining table) you MUST get receipts. NOT INVOICES from the Vets but RECIEPTS FROM THE VENDORS THEY ARE MAKING THE PURCHASES FROM. Use this to verify the price quoted for materials is simply that cost of materials. Also potentially engage a professional contractor for their opinion as to if this will make improvements or cause more headaches. You don't buy a house without an inspection and contractor on hand so ditto to buying a road. There's not even a cost proposal for the gravel parking lot so NOPE! In fact i'm sure you could ask the community to donate some gravel from their own properties and handle this yourself without forking over more cash to the Vets for their land that they own and RENT to you for MONEY and other for money. NO ADDITIONAL LAND INVESTMENTS!!! I can't believe you would have the audacity to even think that anything over the amount you pay to RENT THE LAND is acceptable given the many issues with the land outside of the upkeep. Also how would this money be designated in payment. Surely not a grant, a grant for 5K for land improvements when you gave out less than that in art grants for the last few events would bring SHAME to PDF. Since you are a 501(c)3 I would also inquire if this payment by the non-profit to the VVMC would have positive tax implications for the VVMC. I mean you already give them 13000 a year (approx) and also promote their rental property simply by bringing large groups of people to your event where they can see the property and inquire to rent it themselves.	11/12/2014 5:48 PM
12	Only if PDF is to looking remain at the VVMC for 5 years or more. It may also be prudent to help finish the incomplete barn near the back area for other additional covered event space.	11/12/2014 4:45 PM
13	\$5,000 max per year, and \$3,000/yr. as a guideline, with \$10,000 max. over 3-yr. if this is commensurate w/ non-profit spending policies (per Md. law?) etc.	11/12/2014 4:26 PM
14	move spots, the vets are creepers	11/12/2014 2:08 PM
15	Any investments should be part of a long term land use arrangement, and not just a gift :-)	11/12/2014 1:44 PM
16	Absolutely no money should be invested by our community into improving another organizations' property for them when there are as many concerns about the vets and the present location as are constantly expressed within the community.	11/12/2014 1:26 PM
17	This really depends on the projected time frame for potential land purchase- i.e. how long we would be using improvements.	11/12/2014 1:05 PM
18	It is a waste of money to invest in property that is not ours and/or invest in a property that is not going to be utilized to host our event. Let's not continue renting there. VVMC is not the right location for PDF anymore.	11/12/2014 1:00 PM
19	5k seems high to expand an existing dirt road in a flat field, especially with a backhoe onsite.	11/12/2014 12:15 PM
20	No idea what these prices would mean honestly	11/12/2014 11:58 AM

21	I don't think we can improve this venue enough with this money to justify its expense. I would prefer to see the money put toward art or a new venue. However, if PDF will stay at the VVMC then I think a gravel road would be worth it as mud seems to be a permanent feature of this event.	11/12/2014 11:58 AM
22	Our next location is still years away at this point, and improving the infrastructure at VVMC will help with the weather related challenges that are becoming more common at the site.	11/12/2014 10:57 AM
23	I would only recommend investing in the land if we intend to stay there	11/12/2014 10:20 AM
24	I don't want PDF at this venue.	11/12/2014 10:05 AM
25	If we're going to stay let's make it nicer and flatter. If the ground was nicer we could absolutely fit more people.	11/12/2014 9:39 AM
26	Without knowing more about the financial situation of the event as a whole, I can't comment adequately on specific funding levels for specific budget items. PDF should absolutely prioritize investment in land maintenance, but should hesitate to invest funds in land owned by an outside group without seeking considerable concessions from that group in regards to burner community autonomy.	11/12/2014 9:02 AM
27	DUMMY SURVEY	11/12/2014 8:36 AM
28	The VoVmc has multiple revenue streams throughout the year in addition to PDF. a paved road would allow them to expand such revenue streams to festivals where people actually mind mud. PDF paying for such a thing would allow the VoVmc to reap the rewards of such a land upgrade and very little cost to them. If, however, the rental cost of the land was significantly reduced, it could be feasible to "gift" the club such a money maker.	11/12/2014 7:59 AM
29	I think that the VVMC is sooooo far from being an ideal (or even decent) venue for this type of event. It seems to me that it would make more sense to invest time / money / effort into finding a new venue rather than making improvements that will not solve VVMC's overarching problems as a venue (sound/neighbor issues, size, lack of any interesting features).	11/12/2014 2:46 AM
30	My comments here are somewhat arbitrary and without foundation. I like the idea of less mud and puddles and filling them and providing better drainage.	11/12/2014 2:16 AM
31	I think the venue should move. I am over the VVMC location. Have been for a few years. I attended this fall for the first time in a while. It would take a lot of investing to make this land less lumpy, less muddy, less cold in the fall and hot in the summer. Not enough shade, no trees. It's like a big muddy field. I'd really like a nicer spot.	11/12/2014 1:57 AM
32	i am terrible with numbers	11/12/2014 1:25 AM
33	Ultimately I don't believe this is a viable long term location; i think our efforts are best put into acquiring and maintaining our own home.	11/12/2014 1:07 AM
34	If you stay at VVMC, I think contributing \$\$ for the future betterment of the event is logical. The vets could care less if the road is there for the rest of the time, but if y'all can make it happen, keep them happy, keep the cops out and not have to buy land and fork out TONS more and risk cops showing up... I would say that would be worth it to spend some dough	11/12/2014 1:01 AM
35	A lot of these details require knowledge I don't have ... I trust someone will make an informed decision, or provide more information with these questions next time	11/12/2014 12:55 AM
36	I support leaving the Vets. Although I appreciate all they have afforded us, further investment in their land would be counter productive.	11/12/2014 12:16 AM
37	If we have a multiyear contract, how about trimming the field by the fence	11/11/2014 11:33 PM
38	If it's not our land and we can't control exactly what happens when we have no business spending extra money so we can be gawked at.	11/11/2014 11:06 PM
39	Enough to get the job done right, but only if we stay, efforts should be placed on moving not setting up roots.	11/11/2014 11:04 PM
40	the existing land is good and lots has been done and could be done... i was surprised to find that the ditch by the faucet/sink in the grass by the pavillion had become, for the most part, shallow and filled-in... love the idea of a paved road from the back parking lot... when arriving in the rain, even with a hand truck or cart, this is a huge downer on-arrival	11/11/2014 10:13 PM
41	I think making the land better is a good idea, but finding a better land would be the best	11/11/2014 9:57 PM
42	I think PDF needs to leave vvmc. It's always muddy and stinks.	11/11/2014 9:53 PM
43	Until a new location is found, the VVMC is our home. Since we have funds, we should invest something into making it work while we are there.	11/11/2014 9:39 PM

44	I dont think we should put put any money into VVMC. Find a new location	11/11/2014 9:11 PM
45	Its on the Vets to ensure that the land is well maintained and accessible to all visitors. We pay to rent the land, they need to maintain it. The current grounds are exhausted. Any amount of rain and it becomes a mud pit which prevents us from driving in and unloading the massive amount of equipment necessary for running one of the largest camps at the event.	11/11/2014 9:06 PM
46	Buy own land, Thats why tickets were raised 5 years ago. Or move the event.	11/11/2014 8:55 PM
47	Investing in their land maintenance is ridiculous as a renter	11/11/2014 8:38 PM
48	#38. I think PDF should pay \$5k to expand a road into the back field to allow portapotty trucks and other large vehicles to proceed and use the dirt to help fill in the low lying areas. (#39-40) - I do *not* think that any money should be spent to VVMF to renovate their property if you are seriously asking the PDF community what they think about new land. Its been proven that new land can be sourced AND contracted for an event within 1 year (frostburn AND freeform). This is doable.	11/11/2014 8:17 PM
49	Why would a renter with no legitimate ownership of the land invest in to long term projects such as the ones mentioned above?!?!? I could understand some reasonable maintenance costs (UNDER \$10,000) to support our event twice a year but the things mentioned would be permanent investments that would increase the value of the land which PDF would not benefit from since we are only renters. that money could be much better spent in own own piece of land.	11/11/2014 8:14 PM
50	You RENT the land, you do not make capital improvements on rental property.	11/11/2014 7:25 PM
51	Again, #40 is a guess.	11/11/2014 6:58 PM
52	Investment at VVMC should only be made if PDF stays in the current venue.	11/11/2014 6:38 PM
53	I am only interested in expending these funds if the event is not going to be moving in the next 3 years.	11/11/2014 6:20 PM
54	Leave no trace - leave it better then the way you found it.	11/11/2014 6:18 PM
55	If we provide the road they should maintain it	11/11/2014 6:02 PM
56	Get new land	11/11/2014 5:40 PM
57	But now pdf should have plenty of money, although i don't know what percentage the vets make. I also believe some of the money (should we choose to stay at the vvmcc) should be used implement another pavilion at the other end of playa, because i have friends who are over weight and will only camp down by the clubhouse because the walk to the pavilion for them is too far even on the hottest of days.	11/11/2014 5:07 PM
58	It's the vets' land, let them spend the money to make it more attractive to the various groups to whom they rent it.	11/11/2014 4:59 PM
59	it's difficult to answer these financial questions without any knowledge of the budget	11/11/2014 4:52 PM
60	I think it is worth investing in the land over the course of 3 years if you have a contract that grants you access to the property for a period beyond that. Make sure you can take advantage of money invested and not rely on good faith.	11/11/2014 4:42 PM
61	I'm not sure how much PDF pays the VVMC to hold events there. But I know that other events are held there too which would benefit from improvements made at the site. In my opinion we should put forward a proposition to the vets. We will match any dollar amount they choose to spend for improvements to the site. (Up to 10K)	11/11/2014 4:09 PM
62	I think PDF should decide if a land purchase is really in the cards before investing in a rented property.	11/11/2014 4:08 PM
63	I don't know well enough the budget of PDF to have an informed say about spending/investment	11/11/2014 3:52 PM
64	Only invest money if we plan on staying there several years out. If we're buying land in the next year or so, I wouldn't invest, or would invest less.	11/11/2014 3:44 PM
65	If we're going to continue to camp with the Vets, we should help them out too! They are part of our larger human community too!!	11/11/2014 3:39 PM
66	get a new place. why pay money for something that someone else owns and will benefit their other groups	11/11/2014 3:35 PM
67	I believe that PDF is dumping money into a non sustainable land for our purposes. It has in the past served us, however needs to move on as all things do.	11/11/2014 3:23 PM
68	Are there other organizations to collab with for these costs?	11/11/2014 3:21 PM
69	We should move to another venue	11/11/2014 3:11 PM

70	We pay to use that land, and it isn't all that great for our needs. We should not pay to improve it for them.	11/11/2014 2:41 PM
71	i THINK THAT IF THE VETS WOULD LIKE TO IMPROVE THEIR PROPERTY TO INCLUDE PORTO POSSIBILITIES IN THE BACK FIELD, GREAT. I CAMP THERE AND THAT WOULD BE FINE. PDF HAS NO FINANCIAL INTEREST IN THE PROPERTY AND THEREFORE SHOULD NOT SHOULD THE EXPENSE. IF FOR EXAMPLE SOMETHING IS WORKED OUT, FRONTING THE MONEY, AND BEING ABLE TO NOT BE CHARGED FOR A CERTAIN NUMBER OF YEARS, OR PAYING THE MONEY BACK TO PDF FOR THE LOAN OF FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO IMPROVE THE PROPERTY THEY USE ALL YEAR, AND HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO RENT OUT TO OTHERS. I UNDERSTAND THEY ALSO CENSOR THE ART PROJECTS TO A LIMITED EXTENT, THIS WOULD ALSO BE ANOTHER BARGAINING CHIP FOR THE IDEA OF A LOAN. I WOULD LIKE TO SAY THAT A PIECE OF PROPERTY COULD BE PURCHASED BY PDF AND THEN POTENTIALLY RENTED OUT TO OTHER FESTIVALS IN ORDER TO HAVE A CARETAKER OR SOMETHING TO LIVE ON THE PROPERTY, AS I AM SURE INSURANCE WOULD BE MORE REASONABLE WITH AN ON SITE RESIDENT. I FEEL I DO NOT HAVE ENOUGH KNOWLEDGE AVAILABLE TO ME TO MAKE ANY DETERMINATION ON SIZE, LOCATION OR COST OF PROPERTY AND THE NEED FOR STAFFING THE LAND DURING TIMES IT WOULD NOT BE IN USE.	11/11/2014 2:41 PM
72	There should be a "I don't have enough information" option for all questions. For example I can't tell how much to spend without knowing other revenue and expenses for PDF	11/11/2014 2:22 PM
73	Do not waste money because of far off moving forward. Go buy the new land.	11/11/2014 1:59 PM
74	Grant a corps of volunteer pdf engineers grants to complete these projects. This opens an opportunity for artistic endeavors to be married with good old engineering.	11/11/2014 1:59 PM
75	I don't think PDF should stay at the VVMC so I do not support investing large amounts of money there.	11/11/2014 1:58 PM
76	The vets are not participants. I think the vvmc is not a good place for the festival, and spending money to improve their land when we shouldn't be there at all is ridiculous.	11/11/2014 1:52 PM
77	This being dependent on the event remaining at this venue for the next 3+ years.	11/11/2014 1:52 PM
78	I have no clue about PDF's finances!	11/11/2014 1:40 PM
79	So that means we're staying ?	11/11/2014 1:38 PM
80	I do not think we should invest the money that could be used to buy our own land into land that we only rent	11/11/2014 1:21 PM
81	As long as karnack is paying, the sky is the limit!	11/11/2014 1:20 PM
82	Invest in new land or a new site!!	11/11/2014 1:14 PM
83	If that's where we stay.	11/11/2014 12:57 PM
84	If we're going to stay, they do a lot for us, and helping improve the land for our own sake (and to reduce whining about mud/walking) would make sense. More \$ than 10k if it includes fixing some drainage issues on the land and making more solidly walkable paths.	11/11/2014 12:51 PM
85	This fully depends on our long term plan on getting our own land. If we foresee ourselves at the vvmc for another 5+ years, I think its time we make some improvements to both the parking and back field.. both of which are preventing us from increasing the numbers at the event. Road improvements should be made to all areas that are frequently driven on including the roads in and out of the parking areas and the back field to allow for additional port-a-potties.	11/11/2014 12:45 PM
86	I think the event should be moved!	11/11/2014 12:26 PM
87	If we're going to stay there, sure. If not, it's not worth it.	11/11/2014 12:24 PM
88	I see how these could be very good improvements, however, i also think the situation as is works fairly well. I do think investing in the current land and infasturture would be a better use of monies than in buying a new piece of land.	11/11/2014 12:19 PM
89	It's not our land. We host an event there twice yearly and they are our landlord. Spending thousands of dollars to improve their land is unreasonable. Buy our own land instead.	11/11/2014 12:06 PM
90	I would not want PDF to pay for all of the cost of the road/maintenance since we are not the only event the vets hold on their land. I think it is reasonable to offer to pay for no more than half of the costs for maintenance/modifications.	11/11/2014 12:04 PM

91	REALLY???? You want to pay for upgrades to land you rent? This makes no sense at all. If you are the customer, you need to demand that the place you rent from fix itself. If it doesn't work, move. Do not spend money on something you do not own, and don't buy anything. Let that idea go and put the money back into the BURN. Do the work to find the right space, and increase your art fund, .	11/11/2014 12:00 PM
92	Only if we don't get new land	11/11/2014 11:40 AM
93	I am not well enough informed to make these calls. This could easily be my own fault, though.	11/11/2014 11:37 AM
94	The land is the main problem!!!! MOVE	11/11/2014 11:36 AM
95	The land is low laying and too prone to flooding for serious investment.	11/11/2014 11:36 AM
96	If the property is rented from the VVMC, why should PDF spend anything for maintenance? If they want PDF to continue renting. Does VVMC not charge PDF? Why not buy your own PDF property and reinvest?	11/11/2014 11:30 AM
97	Let it go. It's time to leave the leased land of pdf. Vvmc isn't owned by the vets b	11/11/2014 11:17 AM
98	I put 0\$\$ but really I just have no clue how the money works. If there is an excess of funds, use it in ways that will improve the experience of PDF goers. If there is not, why even ask? :)	11/11/2014 11:12 AM
99	Hard to answer these questions. I don't really know what PDF is, or where its money comes from or what our budget is or would be.	11/11/2014 11:12 AM
100	I think there should be maintenance costs under \$10k. We should not be causing enough damage - we should be a leave no trace event. Why should we be investing so heavily in property that we do not own?	11/11/2014 11:11 AM
101	If there are other events at this location we shouldn't be the only ones paying money to fix the roads. Unless we join permanent forces with the vets and decide to never move.	11/11/2014 11:09 AM
102	Boobs	11/11/2014 11:07 AM
103	We use their land. It's only proper to give back if we can. I don't think we need a parking lot. I think we need people to suck it up and learn how to NOT STOP in mud. Maybe that should be put somewhere in the documentation? (Is it already? I haven't read it in a couple years. I suck, I know).	11/11/2014 11:03 AM
104	i don't think we should invest in their property	11/11/2014 10:57 AM
105	This is the vets land. These are things THEY should be doing if they want it done to their land.	11/11/2014 10:51 AM
106	see previous comment	11/11/2014 10:47 AM
107	If we are looking to move eventually I am not sure that we should spend too much drastically making changes on the vets land. The hay over the mud at this fall 14 PDF was very helpful though, so measures similar to that are appropriate	11/11/2014 10:47 AM
108	No gravel road as that would be very hard to walk on and 0 money used to maintain their land. The Vets should be responsible in maintaining the area/road as we are the paying customer.	11/11/2014 10:40 AM
109	Add a few dollars to ticket price and you will have cash for these projects sooner than later.	11/11/2014 10:38 AM
110	I don't know enough about, or have enough experience with, PDF budget & finances, nor with the implications & ramifications of these proposed purchases, to present an informed, educated case or answers.	11/11/2014 10:36 AM
111	It all depends. If we buy our own land, we shouldn't be spending money at VVMC.	11/11/2014 10:30 AM
112	Some of the vets are cool, but others are sleazy, racist, assholes, and a huge turn-off for continuing participation if the event continues to be held there.	11/11/2014 10:25 AM
113	I fully support PDF having "home land" that will belong to the event indefinitely, and investing the money that would go to renovation at the VVMC in infrastructure on this event- or affiliate-owned property.	11/11/2014 10:23 AM
114	Unless you know for sure that you will be there long time, PDF shouldn't invest too much money on their land unless it's a shared cost with the vets.	11/11/2014 10:22 AM
115	We should leave the land better than when we arrived.	11/11/2014 10:13 AM
116	I would need to know more about finances; I do not think the 'roads' are necessary but would contribute if others see it as a benefit overall	11/11/2014 10:10 AM

117	The VoVmc makes money from pdf already and has other streams of revenue throughout the year. Pdf should not be responsible for improving land they will be able to use to increase their revenue UNLESS our rental costs are reasonably lowered	11/11/2014 10:08 AM
118	I don't know the costs of such endeavors or our budget, but I'd certainly vote in favor of improvements if it benefits the Vets - ie. the land we improve is land owned by them and not just an easement	11/11/2014 9:25 AM
119	We pay the Vets for use of their land, we are their customer. We don't need to give them additional money for infrastructure and building projects, they should be able to budget for that themselves based on the fee we pay them. And remember the Vets also rent their land out to other organizations. Are these other organizations spending extra money on similar "capital projects"? Where does the money we pay the vets really go besides infrastructure and maintenance? It's not like there's a lot there to maintain.	11/11/2014 9:08 AM
120	If where to invest in field improvements, are the vets willing to match these funds? I feel improvements would help, however there are other events that occur throughout the year. Will the vets be willing to open the side field for car camping? If they are willing to improve field camping, parking etc, it would be worth the investment.	11/11/2014 7:21 AM
121	just make sure that themed camps have enough money to create the real magic - don't worry too terribly much about the aesthetics.... the mud needs to be fixed though.	11/11/2014 3:30 AM
122	Make no payments to VVMC to improve their property! They should be doing that to keep PDF business, not asking for PDF to pay extra for it. Find a place to own and use capital to improve owned property, not someone else's property .. this makes no sense.	11/11/2014 2:15 AM
123	I don't believe PDF should be held at the current location. I believe we should rent at another location, while looking for land to purchase if that is the decision made by the community. I strongly believe on only renting land. However, if PDF is not going to move location then i agree on investing on fixing the current land. I doubt i will be going to PDF at its current location anymore, but for the well being of those who will be attending i will vote to invest in maintenance and upgrade of the current land.	11/11/2014 12:06 AM
124	I think that any money invested in PDF shoulf go towards a new venue. Why would it invest money into property and then move the event? Unless there is no real interest in moving this venue, and this is all more lip service?	11/10/2014 10:54 PM
125	\$5k seems extremely optimistic for building a road that includes the drainage necessary to prevent the low areas from disintegrating in the mud over the course of a year. Also, I think the issue of "when is PDF leaving VVMC/DE?" (because PDF eventually will be, because the day will come when the vets age out of thinking running it is worth it) needs to get settled before the question of "should PDF pay for improvements to the site?".	11/10/2014 9:36 PM
126	I am all for throwing money towards improvements that are mutually agreeable and helpful to both ourselves and the Vets	11/10/2014 8:38 PM
127	not very sure about the economics of PDF but i figure 10k is a reasonable price. sorry i couldn't offer a better opinion.	11/10/2014 7:51 PM
128	"Money's like manure. It doesn't do any good unless you spread it around." -Hello Dolly. The geographic center of PDF skews heavy to the back. They deserve toilets and water. Better sanitation's always good. Heard about the psy trance event that got norovirus? So what if its an improvement we leave behind. Maybe we can get a rent discount?	11/10/2014 7:11 PM
129	THE EVENT SHOULD BE MOVED. PERIOD.	11/10/2014 7:09 PM
130	if we do move sites all together, we arent doing ourselves any favors by spending the money. if we have only 3 more burns on the land without any roads modified, and no new portapotties, then so be it. If we are seeing ourselves having another 4 or more burns on this land we should certainly start making these purchases for roads, ect.	11/10/2014 6:38 PM
131	If the ultimate goal is to purchase our own land, than it doesn't make much sense to invest in their property, however, if we plan on staying the above suggestions would make the event better for participants and organizers	11/10/2014 5:53 PM
132	rent a different location before improving someone elses land.	11/10/2014 5:26 PM
133	Question 40 is also a guess.	11/10/2014 5:21 PM
134	I would say focus resources on land acquisition and not invest in VVMC infrastructure at this time	11/10/2014 4:31 PM
135	If we don't plan to leave we should invest. Also our landlords should consider if not already invest in their property to make it more desirable for our organization. Even if it's a financial collaborative effort.	11/10/2014 4:26 PM
136	No clue on the land maintenance costs. I confess I am out of touch in this regard.	11/10/2014 4:16 PM

137	I think helping the VcVMC club improve their infrastructure for future consideration is a good idea. I have no solid basis to comment on a dollar amount.	11/10/2014 4:11 PM
138	I hear there are HUNDREDS of thousands of bucks laying around. What the hell? Spend it! Back field campers have to work their way - way way way back to get away from the sound camps. Front open camping must be nice. Back field campers pay the same for their ticket as everyone else and should have equal access to water and toilets. Roads is a crap shoot, a risk we all take camping but to deny some campers water/sanitation is just plain a dick move.	11/10/2014 4:05 PM
139	I don't know enough about the budget to answer these questions.	11/10/2014 3:48 PM
140	Other than (infrequently) new showerheads in the outdoor showers, I have seen little or zero investment by the vets with the (?) \$15K I am told we pay for each use of the property (twice yearly?)	11/10/2014 3:43 PM
141	I think PDF should be willing to make capital investment in the land, but I don't know what advantage would be provided by expanding the roadways, paved or gravel. Aren't portapotty trucks already getting in there somehow?	11/10/2014 3:35 PM
142	Obviously if PDF is pursuing a new site, no money should be invested in the VVMC site. If we're staying, I don't mind PDF paying for site improvements, but I have mixed feelings about improvements being essentially a donation to the VVMC.	11/10/2014 3:26 PM
143	If PDF is considering moving locations we shouldn't invest anymore money on infrastructure at the current location.	11/10/2014 3:01 PM
144	PDF should get a long term lease for their investment .	11/10/2014 2:46 PM
145	Investing with the VVMC should be tied to some PDF benefits, like more space, potties in the back, earlier art build entry, etc.	11/10/2014 2:45 PM
146	Raised pricing would help out a project every cycle	11/10/2014 2:35 PM
147	While i have no concept of the costs needed. The vets need to equally invest in their own property and preparation for our event. We do pay rent do we not?	11/10/2014 2:28 PM
148	I don't think pdf should pay 0\$ necessarily, but I don't think pdf should spend 10k, ESPECIALLY if we are planning to move...	11/10/2014 2:16 PM
149	Again, if our investment assists the Vets and the sustainability of the project, let's do it!	11/10/2014 2:09 PM
150	FIND A NEW SITE!	11/10/2014 2:07 PM
151	I would prefer PDF to have their own land but if that isn't possible then partnering with the vets and making a small land maintenance investment, would be smart.	11/10/2014 2:02 PM
152	As a business man I get making improvements to a property that you rent, but not on something that you rent only twice a year. From my understanding there are other events held at VVMC and would those events also pay for part of this? It seems like money the community would be paying on top of rental fees for something that could only be there two or three years. Who pays for the upkeep of this? This seems like a slippery slope to pay to renovate property that the organization doesn't own, that it already pays a fee to rent.	11/10/2014 2:00 PM
153	Improving the land would cost a tiny percentage of what has been saved for a land purchase. Just do it already!	11/10/2014 1:56 PM
154	I think PDF needs a new location.	11/10/2014 1:55 PM
155	I would like to click on more for investment in land management, but I don't know our current budget. I tend to be fiscally conservative.	11/10/2014 1:52 PM
156	If we're upgrading the VVMC's land I hope we'd get some price breaks or they'd chip in as well if we as a group get to enjoy the new roads twice a year, and they get them year round.	11/10/2014 1:52 PM
157	I'd need to know more about how needed these upgrades are, and whether our money was being spent for our benefit, or to help the MC expand its ability to rent or eventually sell its land. We are only there 5% of the year after all.	11/10/2014 1:50 PM
158	I think the muddiness is fine. People know how to adapt, and it adds to the wildness of it.	11/10/2014 1:38 PM
159	I cannot answer these questions without a "what is in it for us" answer	11/10/2014 1:19 PM
160	The venue sucks. Put all time, effort and funds into new site.	11/10/2014 1:17 PM

161	I answered the way I did because I am unaware of PDF finances...If money is available which would improve access I am for it...I like Gore will vote for if funded, against if unfunded.	11/10/2014 1:14 PM
162	I'd like to see us stay in the same place, update the roads, and open the side back field to have more participants)	11/10/2014 1:04 PM
163	\$350,000 is saved (because of a previous bad decision, which should be rescinded). Use some of it.	11/10/2014 1:02 PM
164	Why are we paying them more?	11/10/2014 12:42 PM
165	Can the grass be cut before the event if more \$ is spent?	11/10/2014 12:40 PM
166	All relative to how long we are staying. I would be in favor of both of the road building expenditures even if we were staying as little as 1 additional year.	11/10/2014 12:34 PM
167	We're outgrowing the land, any infrastructural improvement to VVMC is a waste of money given the inevitability of needing a larger site.	11/10/2014 12:29 PM
168	I said strongly agree to the road building and \$25k for land maintenance, but this is ONLY if PDF doesn't move.	11/10/2014 12:29 PM
169	Money spent to improve the VVMC should come from our contract with VVMC, not from the nonprofit.	11/10/2014 12:29 PM
170	It is hard to make a plan about improving the current land, since I am unclear if an active land purchasing plan is happening. If we are seriously looking to purchase other land, I would say we shouldn't invest too much into the current space. If we are planning to stay for a while then we should think about investing in improvements.	11/10/2014 12:28 PM
171	I think PDF should find ANY other land besides VVMC! No land will be perfect, but a short term rental while moving towards purchasing a permanent location would be a much better compromise to staying in the current location.	11/10/2014 12:27 PM
172	If we aren't moving elsewhere, make it better where we are	11/10/2014 12:27 PM
173	For question 40, I would prefer \$5k.	11/10/2014 12:24 PM
174	I think that this maintenance should be done to improve the ground for the event, and I also think that the maintenance is a wonderful exercise in social capital as well.	11/10/2014 10:32 AM

Q42 How likely would you be to camp in the back field adjacent lot (currently unused and parallel to the existing "back lot), with strict walk-in rules and absolutely no-generators or any amplified sound.

Answered: 533 Skipped: 105

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	20.83% 111	25.33% 135	26.08% 139	21.01% 112	6.75% 36	533	2.68

Q43 I would like to see more large scale art in the back field.

Answered: 538 Skipped: 100

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	0.74% 4	1.12% 6	14.87% 80	50.56% 272	32.71% 176	538	4.13

Q44 I would like to see more large scale art in the front field.

Answered: 535 Skipped: 103

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	1.12% 6	4.30% 23	18.50% 99	43.55% 233	32.52% 174	535	4.02

Q45 I would like PDF at the VVMC to expand to _____.

Answered: 515 Skipped: 123

Answer Choices	Responses
1500 people	24.08% 124
1750 people	10.49% 54
2000 people	23.30% 120
2500 people	9.51% 49
3000 people	8.16% 42
None of the above.	24.47% 126
Total	515

Q46 I would like PDF to remain at the same size of approximately 1200 people.

Answered: 522 Skipped: 116

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	11.11% 58	29.89% 156	39.08% 204	14.75% 77	5.17% 27	522	2.73

Q47 I would like PDF to lower its ticket cap to approximately _____.

Answered: 503 Skipped: 135

Answer Choices	Responses
500 people	1.19% 6
750 people	1.59% 8
1000 people	2.58% 13
None of the above	94.63% 476
Total	503

Q48 Additional Comments:

Answered: 108 Skipped: 530

#	Responses	Date
1	I don't know if there's room to grow, but it would be nice if it did-- the demand seems to be there, and it would make it easier to plan to go if it were easier to get tickets in the queues rather than hoping for resales.	11/13/2014 12:15 PM
2	Porta potties in the back field would help a lot. I would NOT want to see the event grow in size. Quantity will effect the quality.	11/13/2014 11:41 AM
3	Again, stop asking me about the backfield until it has porta-potties.	11/13/2014 10:50 AM
4	I'm all for having a camping area with absolutely no generators or amplified sound, but strict walk-in rules discourage folks like us (a 2-person camp that, attending our first PDF and second burn last month, made community contributions more like that of a 10-person camp) from bringing/doing ambitious projects.	11/13/2014 10:37 AM
5	I am okay with the size we currently are (1200) but am open to it being increased to a max of 1500 people. The additional funds should be used to pay for infrastructure, gravel roads, etc.	11/13/2014 10:29 AM
6	I would like to see more art at PDF in general. More money for art grants, maybe grants for transportation of existing large scale art, and more push for art.	11/13/2014 10:11 AM
7	More art, more workshops, better music.	11/13/2014 9:30 AM
8	More space, improved facilities, more friends, more track for art cars to run about on, more friends, more actually interactive art projects excluding bars	11/12/2014 11:21 PM
9	As with the investments in VNMC property, any decision to increase capacity would hinge on the timeline for venue change. Additionally, any increase in headcount would obviously create the need for additional resources & volunteers. Considering we are currently lacking enough volunteers to cover the headcount we have now, including having to close ticketing & participation station at night now, I don't think we should expand headcount too much until we solve our (lack of) participation problem.	11/12/2014 9:41 PM
10	expand the cap only if we get volunteers. If not PAY the coordinators, sub coordinators and GODS, and provide them with free tickets	11/12/2014 7:51 PM
11	You guys need more art, you need to fund more art to get more art so you should work on that piece.	11/12/2014 5:49 PM
12	Depends how the increase will effect infrastructure and the price of tickets. More people would require more portajohns, more showers, more pavilion. A balance would need to be investigated	11/12/2014 4:47 PM
13	All "Quiet Camping" should be moved OUT of the existing Back & Front Open Camping into expansion land.	11/12/2014 4:32 PM
14	I disagree to continue holding PDF at the VVMC. These questions regarding expanding capacity at VVMC, will apply if they were asked relating to PDF in a different venue. My answers to those questions are relevant based on the current location. My answers to some of these questions would have been different if asked in a different way. I don't think VVMC is the right location for PDF anymore. Since those questions referred to VVMC, my answers only apply to VVMC. In a different location, I would like PDF to expand the # of participants.	11/12/2014 1:07 PM
15	Aren't we closer to 1350 with reserve tickets now?	11/12/2014 1:06 PM
16	I would like to see more art in general, large or small!! :)	11/12/2014 1:04 PM
17	Current caps are fine for the current space. However the event should move and the cap should reflect the possibilities of those space(s).	11/12/2014 12:18 PM
18	I don't have a strong opinion about the exact number	11/12/2014 12:00 PM
19	LOWER the ticket cap?!	11/12/2014 11:59 AM
20	from what I have read a lot of veterans burners plan to leave due to an influx of new people I feel as though we should have a slight increase to make room for those who are new and keep the already existing availability for those who have been before as well.	11/12/2014 10:22 AM
21	More land, less people... it currently feels like a tailgate party. Give space to sound/theme camps appropriate to camp population, and art contribution size. Give camps (official or otherwise) the space to really "bring it"	11/12/2014 10:08 AM
22	The majority of people are virgins. This needs to be balanced out. The more veteran burners the more people there would be to nurture newcomers and show them how to do it. Enforce MOOP volunteering etc you need more experienced people.	11/12/2014 9:43 AM
23	I think PDF could get a *little* bigger, but it's important to grow slowly to maintain the culture.	11/12/2014 9:20 AM

24	I would like our community to be radically inclusive and find a home that can serve existing demand. We shouldn't need to hold events at a venue that requires us to cap tickets. Obviously we should make a reasonable cap for the venue we have, but the utmost priority should be placed on locating the event on land that can serve a growing community.	11/12/2014 9:06 AM
25	DUMMY SURVEY	11/12/2014 8:36 AM
26	If only there were a way to ensure that those attending were not assholes who don't care about the Principles...	11/12/2014 8:19 AM
27	I like the size but it would be nice to let some more people attend	11/12/2014 2:18 AM
28	If moved to a new venue you might be able to reconsider the numbers based on the space.	11/12/2014 1:58 AM
29	Would be great if a new site becomes available to increase the cap. Otherwise maybe squeeze in another 300.	11/12/2014 1:03 AM
30	So, I've been at events with 300 or 600 people, and events with 3,000. The dynamic changes. I don't know if that's a bad thing... It's just change. Ask people if they want their dynamic changed due to an influx of attendees.	11/12/2014 12:58 AM
31	Given the space and sound freedom that a well chosen NEW location would provide I think 2000-2500 people seems reasonable...but ONLY if the community can put up the volunteer manpower to sustain it.	11/12/2014 12:22 AM
32	push it to the limit! the back field is still largely underutilized just waiting for inspired, interesting new art/theme camps	11/11/2014 10:16 PM
33	PDF should grow only if we have the volunteer capacity and if we can maintain community integrity. Growth is not a goal. Community involvement is.	11/11/2014 9:41 PM
34	The back field is an awful place to camp due to the plagues of insects. One year it was a termite swarm and most recently a ridiculous amount of spiders.	11/11/2014 9:08 PM
35	#42. How likely would you be to camp in the back field adjacent lot (currently unused and parallel to the existing "back lot), with strict walk-in rules and absolutely no-generators or any amplified sound. - is it another damn swamp? #43. I would like to see more large scale art in the back field. - is it a damn swamp? #45. I would like PDF at the VVMC to expand to _____. - ARE YOU FUCKING SHITTING ME?!?!?! #46. I would like PDF to remain at the same size of approximately 1200 people. - theres not enough space for the 1200 people there now!	11/11/2014 8:19 PM
36	1200 is about right. Enough for a lot of interaction, not so many as to be completely unwieldy. Just partially unwieldy. :)	11/11/2014 7:00 PM
37	I agree to keep PDF at the same size of 1200 people if the event is held at the current venue.	11/11/2014 6:41 PM
38	PDF needs to grow, but that appears unlikely at the VVMC.	11/11/2014 6:21 PM
39	Move from VVMC	11/11/2014 5:41 PM
40	Ultimately i would of course love to see more art, everywhere.	11/11/2014 5:08 PM
41	There needs to be more space in order to expand the ticket cap. There isn't enough space for large scale art as it is, and I feel like the event could use more art.	11/11/2014 4:42 PM
42	I support a higher ticket cap. But I also have proposed changes for the ticketing system (which is a broken system) but would be too long to describe here. I think as a community we should have a discussion on new ticketing systems that allow for organic growth and also allow people vetted by the community to purchase tickets.	11/11/2014 4:17 PM
43	I would like to see this burn grow at an organic and sustainable rate.	11/11/2014 4:10 PM
44	That's just me though, I like smaller parties AND also, like I said earlier... I like roaming around at night, so more nighttows like me would be fun!	11/11/2014 3:41 PM
45	dont understand 47	11/11/2014 3:36 PM
46	I'm good not expanding. I think more citizens need to be involved and invested in the values of the community before purposefully enlarging it. 1200 is a good number!	11/11/2014 3:32 PM
47	Expansion in size is only ok if it brings the right energy. size should be able to be walked back if it grows anymore. this is also land dependant. PDF was pretty full this year and 150 tickets were not used this fall. the entire back field was full. and our cap is what 1250? how much like bonnaro do you want this to become	11/11/2014 3:24 PM
48	Ticket caps would be fine if each person could purchase one ticket rather than multiples.	11/11/2014 3:22 PM
49	Bigger space and more people!	11/11/2014 3:12 PM

50	The ticket sell out rate for PDF is a little ridiculous. It makes it hard for a camp to get it together. Many folks in our camp favor other regionals because of the ticket scramble. We've stopped bringing our theme camp. Tickets are literally sold out within SECONDS. It's absurd.	11/11/2014 2:44 PM
51	If expanding the amount of people at PDF, new camping space is essential.	11/11/2014 2:27 PM
52	A bigger PDF would be fun, but I don't think the current site can support many more people.	11/11/2014 2:24 PM
53	I do not particularly like expansion at the current location. Given conditions in the back field quite often, walk in camping in the new lot would end up just adding strain to those who help (i.e. Golf Carts, which WOULD get used, even if they shouldn't), etc.	11/11/2014 1:58 PM
54	It's a good size for the space. But it shouldn't be in that space. It should increase in size, and move locations.	11/11/2014 1:54 PM
55	Camping in the back field would be more appealing if there were: 1) a driveable road. 2)portapotties 3)Mowed grass.	11/11/2014 1:41 PM
56	I like the "smaller" feel of PDF in regard to cultivating a sense of community.	11/11/2014 1:41 PM
57	No karnacks would be a plus.. Juss sayin	11/11/2014 1:21 PM
58	Feels like a village now. That's good.	11/11/2014 12:58 PM
59	With current infrastructure, keep limit as is. With improvements made to the land, more would be great.	11/11/2014 12:53 PM
60	I think expanding capacity is contingent on road improvements, especially in the parking areas. If we do spend the money to improve parking and roads and to flatten out the back field and clean up the ruts and uneven ground. I feel the event can hold at least another 250 people easy. Making the back adjacent lot quiet camping and allowing low volume music in the existing back field (Low because of the neighbors that are right up against the back field) would resolve some issues.	11/11/2014 12:49 PM
61	I think the current space could withstand a small increase	11/11/2014 12:20 PM
62	The questions presented in this survey make it appear that the BoD has no intention of moving PDF. I hope that I am wrong. Stop trying, it doesn't work, move it.	11/11/2014 12:04 PM
63	I like the small, intimate nature of the event but would like to see more artwork	11/11/2014 11:38 AM
64	Any and all questions about continuing to hold this event at this location do not matter. The land and location are the problems.	11/11/2014 11:37 AM
65	I think it's great the way it is - but I will ALWAYS support more art!	11/11/2014 11:13 AM
66	When I first started coming to PDF, there was large scale art everywhere. Again, this goes to the problem of the large sound camps not interested in PDF. The sound camps attract all the big artists. And while artists can go without their sound camps (or create smaller ones, as is my case), most choose not to because of things they've heard (whether true or not). We need more fine-art. Bigger and better things. And they need to be all over. Participatory art is cute, but it's not as impressive as the stuff you see at the other Burns. Put some of the big pieces in the back field. Put some of the burnable pieces back there and move it up for burn night. As it is right now, there's culture in the front, and a couple smaller camps in the back. Make it so everyone has to actually explore and test their comfort zones. Isn't that what Burns are about?	11/11/2014 11:11 AM
67	I am unaware of that the ticket cap is.	11/11/2014 11:10 AM
68	Boobs	11/11/2014 11:08 AM
69	I would definitely like to see PDF expand the ticket cap.	11/11/2014 10:24 AM
70	I feel the event is fine, small enough to be "Home" and large enough for big art - - - would it get better or bigger "Art" by selling more tix ????	11/11/2014 9:28 AM
71	"Bigger isn't necessarily better" as Dr. Ruth used to say. I feel the board, volunteers, rangers and other core personel are already stretched thin and work very hard for the current event size. Any larger would be very detrimental to the event. Not everyone can go all the time, we have to suck it up and realize that. That's what makes each event special and unique. We need to focus more on the _QUALITY_ of things and less on the _QUANTITY_. A better PDF experience doesn't necessarily mean more people, more money from tickets, more land, more music, more amplified sound camps and more bacon. Well maybe a tad more bacon. But better bacon. We are following the path of most Americans where we just want more of everything to fix our problems. I don't think this is the wise way to address our issues.	11/11/2014 9:24 AM

72	Buy a very large space, and make ticket sales unlimited in number, but price them using a declining price over time structure and freeze the price when you have "enough" sales to cover costs - then save the rest of the sales as reserves.	11/11/2014 2:18 AM
73	I would like PDF to expand the ticket cap to 3000 but not at the VVMC. Also, i would like PDF to be once a year in a place able to accommodate the total amount of participants that come in Spring and Fall combined.	11/11/2014 12:08 AM
74	I would only like to see it expand if we find a different location.	11/10/2014 9:10 PM
75	If we can't get enough volunteers at 1200, we won't get it at a higher ticket cap either. When we raised from 800 to 1000 it did create more volunteer shifts being covered. Any art would be great (large or small). PDF is lacking art in general.	11/10/2014 8:54 PM
76	I am not qualified to decide numbers of people to whatever size of land to minimize the impact in the land and still not feel like sardines but using the footage intelligently.	11/10/2014 8:41 PM
77	Oh god, what if there were 2 burns a year but spring was big and fall small?	11/10/2014 7:13 PM
78	THE EVENT SHOULD MOVE. and i would like to see it grow. more art in general is good, don't care where it is.	11/10/2014 7:10 PM
79	my answers are biased because ive ALWAYS camped in the back half of the venue, and usually play a heavy hand in a theme camp.	11/10/2014 6:40 PM
80	the problems of PDF aren't the size of the event.	11/10/2014 5:27 PM
81	In my opinion 1200 people is about the limit of the venue.	11/10/2014 5:22 PM
82	It would be nice if it wasn't so difficult to get tickets; raising the cap a bit would certainly help. It will make ticket buying much less stressful	11/10/2014 5:00 PM
83	I don't think that expanding to 2000 participants would hurt the event but in that case if its a mud burn the road / path problems could get worse	11/10/2014 4:33 PM
84	I do subscribe to the notion that expansion should be dependent on volunteerism.	11/10/2014 4:22 PM
85	Too many folks who want to go get excluded. I support community and inclusion, not battling for tickets.	11/10/2014 4:19 PM
86	The 1250 ticket cap now seems to be insufficient.	11/10/2014 4:12 PM
87	I think PDF is a good size, but am OK with it expanding gradually to ensure everyone who wants to attend has a decent chance.	11/10/2014 3:50 PM
88	1200 seems to be ideal without causing infrastructure snarls/over-crowding/parking problems.	11/10/2014 3:45 PM
89	Please don't lower the ticket cap.	11/10/2014 3:36 PM
90	I want PDF to grow!	11/10/2014 2:47 PM
91	Changes to be held along with guaranteed tickets for more volunteers. Proven volunteers are necessary to up the ticket cap	11/10/2014 2:37 PM
92	Pdf should not try to fit more than 500 more ppl in the vnvmc grounds. I don't think there would be enough space/resources for all those ppl.	11/10/2014 2:19 PM
93	I like the size but could see adding more	11/10/2014 2:10 PM
94	Find a new campground.	11/10/2014 2:08 PM
95	My only fear about raising the limit of the current level is that there are going to be more and more nonburners that come to the event. I know this sounds completely wrong, but it seems as these events have gained popularity of the past few years people are coming to the event and not getting an understanding of the principles. This among other issues is driving older burners away and being filled by the virgins who are brought in by someone who last burn had a great time at this party in a field in Delaware. I've never met anyone who wasn't able to get a ticket to the event if they wanted to go. There are always tickets right before the event. Recently I've had the mindset that even if I don't get tickets for the event we will still plan on everything as if we were going to be there, because there are so many tickets flooding in at the end.	11/10/2014 2:05 PM
96	I believe the nature of a Burn is to be inclusive and welcoming. A higher ticket camp that eliminates the stress of ticket hording is really appealing. The current location may not fit this bill.	11/10/2014 1:51 PM

97	It is so frustrating that PDF sells out every time, and then half of the people sell their tickets at the last minute due to weather!!!! I've been 5 times now, and every time only 1 of 4 members of our group get tickets. The after-market is frustratingly slow, as everyone sells at the last minute. There is no reason why PDF should not get bigger -- there is plenty of space and room to "densify." It would enhance the experience greatly if it were a bigger event -- BUT I think it would be best if this growth was through CAMPS, not just people. PDF really needs more sound camps and dance parties, as it's pretty barren considering the amount of people. There's only a few options at night, and this is too bad.	11/10/2014 1:41 PM
98	i don't want to see pdf expand at vvmc at all. i want more art at pdf but i don't think the land allows it with all the weather problems	11/10/2014 1:33 PM
99	I would want for an expansion of PDF at it's current location if there was MORE art and MORE ART - we already having dancing and noise(music) as an easy out for participation on a scale I feel comfortable with	11/10/2014 1:27 PM
100	Get a new venue.	11/10/2014 1:18 PM
101	again, I do not know financing or reason for this concern....I am in favor of doing everything to keep this 'burn' oriented and not festie or rave oriented.	11/10/2014 1:16 PM
102	why can't we drive on that side field? is that a restriction from the vet who owns it? it seems like there could be more options here. this section is confusing, there isn't much more room for ticket cap increase unless we use the side field	11/10/2014 1:08 PM
103	PDF needs new land to grow. Parking is a nightmare if it gets muddy and it isn't feasible to grow without a better solution for that.	11/10/2014 12:31 PM
104	Without a clear idea of how more people could fit, it is hard to say I want the ticket cap to increase. I would like more people to be able to participate, but hard to put a number on it since I don't understand the plan for how this could happen.	11/10/2014 12:30 PM
105	Current cap is actually closer to 1300. 1200 tickets in round 1 + 2 plus reserve ticket pools.	11/10/2014 12:30 PM
106	To reiterate, PDF needs to move out of VVMC! There should be no temporary solution to "make it work". The current land rental is a problem that needs to be solved immediately!	11/10/2014 12:28 PM
107	More people would be awesome but hard to do at FBL.	11/10/2014 12:28 PM
108	I would like to see PDF expand, but only once the internal event production, management and organizational difficulties are addressed.	11/10/2014 12:27 PM

Q49 I feel safe from physical harm during PDF.

Answered: 537 Skipped: 101

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	2.23% 12	6.15% 33	10.80% 58	48.23% 259	32.59% 175	537	4.03

Q50 I feel the safety protocols in place at PDF are adequate.

Answered: 536 Skipped: 102

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	2.43% 13	6.16% 33	17.72% 95	49.81% 267	23.88% 128	536	3.87

Q51 The PDF fire team has the resources it needs to do its job adequately.

Answered: 530 Skipped: 108

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	1.13% 6	6.79% 36	33.21% 176	38.87% 206	20.00% 106	530	3.70

Q52 I understand the role of the Rangers at PDF.

Answered: 534 Skipped: 104

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	0.56% 3	2.43% 13	4.87% 26	41.01% 219	51.12% 273	534	4.40

Q53 I have called for a Ranger to assist me or a friend in the past at PDF.

Answered: 534 Skipped: 104

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	15.17% 81	29.03% 155	20.04% 107	20.22% 108	15.54% 83	534	2.92

Q54 Additional Comments:

Answered: 88 Skipped: 550

#	Responses	Date
1	I am a Ranger	11/13/2014 11:55 AM
2	The rangers and fire team do a great job. The ear physical harm I feel is from inebriated nonburners.	11/13/2014 10:12 AM
3	Rangers who are off-duty should change their uniforms and put away their laminates. The fire team should deepen its bench.	11/13/2014 9:34 AM
4	Being that someone slashed open my soft top convertible I feel that more needs to be done. I had asked to park in an area that had more light that would be okay. Now I'm out 1,500 because I wasn't allowed to park closer to where there would be more people.	11/12/2014 11:46 PM
5	Safety t(h)urd	11/12/2014 11:22 PM
6	I think we need to find a better way to educate people, both on consent and on the proper way to handle a situation. One of the biggest concerns I have is because now that participation station is closed at night, not many people know how to find a ranger and the answer that's always given is to "look around" and you will be able to find one. I don't think this is sufficient. There needs to be a designated, advertised, location for someone to go to in order to have a ranger contacted. Also, after reading a number of comments and posts about situations in theme camps this past burn where rangers should have been called but weren't - I think it might be a good idea to require all theme camp leads to attend ranger training.	11/12/2014 9:49 PM
7	Although I personally feel safe, I no longer feel comfortable having my wife walking around late night and unaccompanied. Every year I seem to hear more and more stories of thievery and unwanted sexual attention, which I think comes from the event attracting more and more new people.	11/12/2014 9:27 PM

8	PDF encourages harmful behavior in it's consent-ISH mentality with high usage of intoxicants by it's participants. Your consent language sucks your response to the consent language being brought up sucks. Individuals involved officially with your event have attacked people for bringing this up so yeah fail on that. I think the rangers would serve better if good rangers weren't forced out and mistreated by beurocratic nonsense and silly infighting and politics that PDF is surrounded by but really that's not my business is it.	11/12/2014 5:51 PM
9	More accountability for open campfires and burn barrels, managed by Fire Team, enforced by Rangers. We've been very lucky so far.	11/12/2014 4:35 PM
10	I don't feel safe in the Vets land due to their friends who are not familiar with our principles and the way we do things.	11/12/2014 1:09 PM
11	I feel as though having one Medical volunteer would not be a bad impression. Also as unsettling as this may sound I feel as though EVERY burn should have rape kits accessible.(and I mean actually accessible not 30 minutes off not an hours drive away on site)	11/12/2014 10:25 AM
12	Many other burns "employ" Alpha or shift rangers that can coordinate 6 hour shifts, for Alpha's that sign up for 3 of these shifts their ticket price is refunded. I would like to see programs along these lines at PDF in the future. I feel that the entire volunteer system at PDF should be reworked, as the situation with people missing shifts is becoming somewhat of an epidemic. Perhaps placing the participation station right next to/in the admission booth. This will make it easier for people to remember to sign up. Also double the necessary number of volunteer slots, that way if people don't show it doesn't put unnecessary stress on other volunteers. If everyone shows we can send them to other locations that may need assistance or send them off to have fun :) Also we could create shift codes to replace times, lets say you have a parking lot shift at 6 pm but you miss it because you lost track of time. When you sign up for your shift we write the time on your lanyard, but we can also add a "volunteer group name" on it, like Zebra. So to put it all together we can say 4 pm shifts are the Gorilla group, and 6 pm shifts are the Zebra group... Now from 3:30-3:45pm a coordinator (or anyone on a golf cart) can drive though the grounds and announce that "Gorilla group, your shift is starting in 15 min..." this way we can send out announcements without informing those that don't want to know what time it is. (I suppose there are also more subtle ways that we could implement the announcement... I'm just throwing ideas out there.	11/12/2014 10:23 AM
13	We need more people watching the parking lot and patrolling the front field for theives.	11/12/2014 9:44 AM
14	I wish Ranger training was more accessible. I'd like to join the team, but have found it difficult to make it to training sessions in the past. In general, I see this team do well, and I'm less aware of safety issues at PDF than I feel I am at other burn events.	11/12/2014 9:08 AM
15	DUMMY SURVEY	11/12/2014 8:36 AM
16	I think people who have been marginalized in greater-society to some degree, and who have experienced some form of (in particular this, but I'm sure there are other forms, which I personally can't speak to) gender based discrimination at PDF generally feel less safe than their cis-, straight, or male peers. A trans friend of mine was heckled right out of a camp in the back field once, and I think everyone knows a female person who's been assaulted or at least made very uncomfortable by people she doesn't know at PDF. I think the recent discussion which took place on PONY needs to be opened up (yes we know many people dont bother) to the greater community, and more importantly, the fruits of those labors, be it a document or whatever, need to be made more publicly visible somehow so fratty assholes that think it's just another 'rave with sluts' will maybe be less tempted to be shitty human beings. This is the most useless expression of my thoughts ever. I hope reading is done between these lines. I know these important issues are on the radar of the organizers and I don't mean to demean current efforts to improve awareness of consent issues.	11/12/2014 8:23 AM
17	We need to educate newbies better on the ten principles. Maybe have them at the front gate on a big poster and have people ask when they check in is this your first time and have them read the principles and have them explained in person if they don't understand them... and sign a form saying they agree to adhere to these. This isn't supposed to be a get fxxd up and hook up party while it can be for some it's about creating a safe space where people can also feel free to express themselves. If we're going to have this an official Burn we really should do a better job I think conveying the principles at the front gate, especially to new folks.	11/12/2014 2:03 AM
18	i volunteer for MASH	11/12/2014 1:27 AM
19	Rangers should be more visable	11/11/2014 11:35 PM
20	I've been unimpressed with the many of the rangers at PDF. If you're an asshole 99% of the time (online and in person) I have a really hard time coming to you if I have a problem.	11/11/2014 11:09 PM
21	I'm a shift lead ranger and have been for years.	11/11/2014 11:06 PM
22	rangers (have been one several times), fire team, medical, sanctuary ETC are All doing a great job	11/11/2014 10:17 PM

23	I do not know if the fire team has adequate resources. If they don't, they should.	11/11/2014 9:42 PM
24	***I had to go back to the first open additional comments to address additional topics not part of this survey: I question this particular date for the DC townhall, especially on a weekend where a planned event taking many veteran burners out of town has been on the DC calendar for about 5 months! These people cannot attend the townhall, and that's been no secret? WHY NOW is the BOD so concerned with what the PDF community has to say about the event??? Those people leaving will have no direct say, or may not have an opportunity before leaving for New Orleans to answer this survey (which is NOT 15 minutes), some of these people are TCOs who cant attend. My question is one of concern that maybe the board is *not* concerned about hearing opinions of veteran community members. Perhaps this can be discussed at the "party" before the townhall, or maybe these particular issue can be an actual topic of discussion in the townhall with a report to the members who cannot make the townhall to hear the agenda items. Additionally - when should we expect actual minutes to this meeting posted? And how will they be addressed? This poll is not really open very long. Monday-Wednesday? Some of these matters have been on the table for years. Please let us know that we will not be met with the same rhetoric as in previous years?	11/11/2014 9:00 PM
25	Love all those fine folks! <3	11/11/2014 8:40 PM
26	I've been a ranger for 6 years	11/11/2014 7:34 PM
27	The fire team does it's job but should be provided with more. I have rangered and asked for the assistance of rangers at PDF. Most of your rangers are good people, some are not.	11/11/2014 7:26 PM
28	I feel safe, but I am aware PdF is no safe Disneyland. I have encountered virgins who fail to realize that.	11/11/2014 7:03 PM
29	After volunteering for fire perimeter at my first burn experience I would say it is imperative to have enough perimeter volunteers lined up ahead of time. That is one of a handful of volunteer shifts where a certain number of people seem necessary	11/11/2014 5:38 PM
30	someone asked us to get a ranger, we did instantly. as it happened teh were just carting by us , but i only knew who they are n what they are doing bcoz a friend who was ranger in training explained it to me. if he didnt i wouldnt of known. maybe some kind of explanation n awareness about rangers should be spread, bcoz there was a lot of newbies n none of them knew	11/11/2014 5:26 PM
31	Rangers are amazing, and they do their job well. It's a dedication i have yet to take on, basically because pdf is my only time away from default. But everything in that matter is inn perfect order.	11/11/2014 5:10 PM
32	My brother experienced a severe injury this past fall PDF and ambulance service was not offered as an option to get him to the hospital. The responsibility for evacuating him from the site fell to me and him. I was under no impression that the responding rangers had any medical training (I don't personally) or that the decision to move him ourselves was sound, or based on any knowledge. His injury may have been made worse from the jostling required to get him to his car (golf cart, then moving him ourselves) and we waited over 3.5 hours in the Christiana emergency room before he was finally treated. If an ambulance was provided (even directly outside the event) we wouldn't have had to deal with that additional stress and aggravation to the injury and to him. There needs to be a better ambulance policy. Safety of the burners isn't something I like to think is held secondary to the continuation of the event.	11/11/2014 5:01 PM
33	I have always felt safe at PDF and even though I have heard complaints about this issue, I still believe that PDF is the safest out of 12-15 events a year that I attend.	11/11/2014 4:20 PM
34	I actually would respond "I do not know" to #51 & as for #53, I have never called a Ranger for help.	11/11/2014 3:42 PM
35	11th principal is a joke. need permission to smile next.	11/11/2014 3:37 PM
36	I was a ranger for most of my community interaction	11/11/2014 3:25 PM
37	I have some concerns about how medical emergencies are handled, but my information is second hand. The call to move injured people (broken bones) should not be made by people with no medical expertise just to save the trouble of calling an ambulance to the site. If that's a policy, make that clear to burners. If it's not a policy, make some clear policy and make sure rangers and coordinators know the policy.	11/11/2014 2:47 PM
38	I HAVE NEVER NEEDED THE HELP OF A RANGER. I DO UNDERSTAND THEIR POSITION AND WHAT THEY ARE THERE FOR, JUST NEVER NEEDED ONE. i DO NOT CAMP WITH ANY THEME CAMP, AND LUCKILY HAVE NOT HAD ANY PROBLEMS WHILE ENJOYING A BURN.	11/11/2014 2:44 PM

39	I feel fire safety has increased to a kill-joy level. There's always a balance between safety and fun, but over the last 6 or so years, it's gotten progressively more oppressive during the burns. The sense of excitement and unpredictability is not there when you have to wait for a team to relay to each other and then to the public when it's a good time to run around. I liked it when at a main crumble of The Pony, one person (maybe a designated person) would start running synchronous with the yells of the crowd. Once that person starts running, that should be the signal to the perimeter keepers that it's ok. It needs to be more spontaneous. The joy is much lessened if you have to wait 30 seconds after a big crumble to run. Maybe reading of rules and cautions with a megaphone before the burn to replace the perimeter team would be a good compromise. There is danger even in the crumbled embers of someone jumping in the fire. You can never eliminate it with more supervision. But the joy is quickly lost. Individual responsibility of how close to get at all times should be relied upon. Maybe a system of neon circles of a fire-retardant material around the artwork(s) with different meanings?	11/11/2014 2:41 PM
40	I have called a Ranger for assistance before and received the run-around.	11/11/2014 1:55 PM
41	There was at least 2 incidents i was involved in that Should have had a ranger involved.	11/11/2014 1:42 PM
42	I am a ranger	11/11/2014 1:23 PM
43	Anyone but karnack	11/11/2014 1:22 PM
44	Repeatedly experienced Rangers and other volunteers, particularly medical, putting the goals of the event before the needs of the attendees. This does not align with burner values.	11/11/2014 1:05 PM
45	Rangers need resources to help explain what they do to more people and start an awareness campaign to let folks know they are safe to talk to and can handle delicate situations. Fire team could use more identifiers and more bodies. Maybe a back-field auxiliary HQ for rangers/mash/fire with supplies to help folks if we grow.	11/11/2014 12:56 PM
46	My husband is a ranger, I assist him from time to time and have a deep appreciation for the role they play. Thank you Rangers!!!!	11/11/2014 12:47 PM
47	I'm also a ranger.	11/11/2014 12:27 PM
48	I am a TCO, I have ranged PDF, I have worked Fire Team, and served on both effigy and temple build teams, I have worked many gate shifts and I love being a participating burner. A few years ago I was working gate when the rival motorcycle gang showed up for a "talk" with the vets. I had to stop like 60 guys on bikes from coming into the event. There was no vet there to meet them, even though they knew they were coming. I had to rush to find the liaison and GoD wasn't picking up the radio. I was completely UNSAFE in this situation. It was my quick thinking that turned the situation around when I told them that I was going to get their "escort." I made them feel like the wait was honoring them instead of disrespecting both them and us. I was terrified. I can't tell you how often the vets make the burners feel threatened and violated. I am more afraid of the vets than I am of the possibility of police questioning. No matter what, if the event is on private land, our rights remain. The vets actually just uphold our rights, which you could do equally as well.	11/11/2014 12:14 PM
49	I LOVE RANGERS!	11/11/2014 11:41 AM
50	Rangers at PDF, unlike any other burn, are total dicks. And it's because that'd how they're taught to act. For hours for training?? Really??	11/11/2014 11:38 AM
51	Love love love the volunteers!!!	11/11/2014 11:34 AM
52	Thank you Rangers and Fire Safety! :)	11/11/2014 11:24 AM
53	PDF is known for creepers. I know several people who have been sexually assaulted at PDF, and many more that would have been had they not been close to a large camp. I know that PDF is often understaffed. I also know that most of the rangers stick to the front field, while most problems happen in the dimly lit back field (we really need more back there). Also, there needs to be at LEAST a registered nurse in the medical tent at all times. I understand that it's volunteer run. If need be, pay one. There were a couple instances that tylenol and a band-aid couldn't cure that needed an actual medical professional and there was none available.	11/11/2014 11:16 AM
54	A couple years ago the Mischeif fire got a little out of hand, but mostly I feel safe around the different fire stuff as a non-fire person.	11/11/2014 11:14 AM
55	Boobs	11/11/2014 11:09 AM
56	The Fire team and martials are over doing a militaristic presence at the burn. Are those uniforms really necessary? Do they have to be "on stage" for the entire ceremony? Do the attendents really have to be kept such a great distance for such a long time from all fires? I haven't seen this at other burns.	11/11/2014 10:55 AM
57	Thank you rangers and fire team!	11/11/2014 10:27 AM

58	Better policy on motorized vehicles that are not being used by volunteer leads and BOD folk. PDF attendees showing up with random motorized vehicles driving around, drinking and driving is a problem. There needs to be something in place to regulate this. Back to the event getting bigger. We need to get many of these things like the motorized vehicles policy and process worked out before creating a larger event. Try to cover what we know now before getting bigger. Bigger means more new burners, we need to have better policies in place from the start because bigger PDF also means a whole porta potty full of crap we haven't even thought of or experienced yet.	11/11/2014 9:33 AM
59	Noticed a lot more thievery this fall.	11/11/2014 7:26 AM
60	This survey is getting really long. You should have broken it up into several smaller surveys for people to answer on topics that interest them.	11/11/2014 2:19 AM
61	Some of the response categories for this survey don't match the question type. For example #53. I have never had to call for a ranger but I would if needed.	11/10/2014 9:00 PM
62	I am a Ranger... I am not a fireman therefore I am NOT qualified to speak to whether the firemen are adequately equipped with enough resources. I would HOPE that you all would snap at providing anything the fireteam would deem necessary to provide excellent safety to all of us.	11/10/2014 8:45 PM
63	Hire some fucking fire equipment like a tank and pump and if needed some firemen. Just fucking do it.	11/10/2014 7:14 PM
64	i have BEEN a ranger at pdf and have asked other rangers for help as needed.	11/10/2014 7:11 PM
65	i support the idea of the fire team having better equipment to manage the structures when they need to be adjusted while on fire.	11/10/2014 6:43 PM
66	i am a black rock ranger and have rangered at other regionals so i know what rangers do. i also think that the way rangers are deployed at PDF is... questionable at best.	11/10/2014 6:20 PM
67	RADICAL SELF RELIANCE.also, wtf on the vets getting involved directly with camps regarding complaints?	11/10/2014 5:29 PM
68	And have also been a Ranger assisting people at PDF.	11/10/2014 5:11 PM
69	Rangers are in general helpful to have and under appreciated!	11/10/2014 4:34 PM
70	I have rangered before, and one of my TC campers regulars at MASH.	11/10/2014 4:23 PM
71	When the giant box crap art fire happened it was scary as hell and pretty much complete raining fire mayhem. Parking feels more like they have their crap together than any "safety" at PDF. Other events have had a water tank/pumper/truck near the big fire. I think all I ever saw at PDF was a garden hose. Since that dude killed himself in a fire shouldn't PDF have better fire safety? A garden hose seems weak, especially after the big fire that burned up people's stuff and the pavillion.	11/10/2014 4:11 PM
72	Rangers rock!!! :D	11/10/2014 3:51 PM
73	Shout out to MASH and Sanctuary as well!	11/10/2014 3:28 PM
74	I'm not sure Rangers or members of the BOD know their roles however.	11/10/2014 3:03 PM
75	rangers at PDF need to take a chill pill.Lose the golf cart, Be a true dirt ranger, hang out turn down the radio so only you hear it.	11/10/2014 2:49 PM
76	Most PDFs, I end up needing a ranger for one reason or another. They are an invaluable asset. As for safety, it is not my forte, therefore I can't really comment.	11/10/2014 2:21 PM
77	I no longer feel safe from theft at PDF.	11/10/2014 2:18 PM
78	I love the rangers!	11/10/2014 2:09 PM
79	I'm a ranger so I'm kinda biased.	11/10/2014 1:55 PM
80	I HAVE been helped by a ranger on at least two occasions.	11/10/2014 1:54 PM
81	I've Rangered, and I've called on Rangers at other events, but by coincidence never at PDF. Others I know sure have.	11/10/2014 1:53 PM
82	I'm seeing petty theft become more common -- PDF should emphasize a lost and found rule for "turn it in or leave it where it lies!". Myself and many others have had items stolen from people, who probably thought the item was lost and therefore had no owner :(11/10/2014 1:42 PM
83	Haven't called for a Ranger, I AM a Ranger.	11/10/2014 1:40 PM

84	1) Safety 3rd 2) I am not a firefighter / work in a fire profession - I'm not able to answer question 51	11/10/2014 1:29 PM
85	I attended ranger training and intend to volunteer next PDF...	11/10/2014 1:17 PM
86	I don't know if the fire team has enough resources.	11/10/2014 1:09 PM
87	I no longer feel safe at PDF after being harassed and threatened by a Vet.	11/10/2014 12:29 PM
88	I have not called a Ranger but am one.	11/10/2014 12:28 PM

Q55 I think the amount of non-burning art at PDF is _____.

Answered: 522 Skipped: 116

Answer Choices	Responses	
Too low	65.71%	343
Just right	32.76%	171
Too much	1.53%	8
Total		522

Q56 I think the amount of burning art at PDF is _____.

Answered: 522 Skipped: 116

Answer Choices	Responses	
Too low	56.13%	293
Just right	42.91%	224
Too much	0.96%	5
Total		522

Q57 I have applied for a PDF art grant at least once in the last three years.

Answered: 525 Skipped: 113

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	14.67%	77
No	85.33%	448
Total		525

Q58 I have received a PDF art grant at least once in the last three years.

Answered: 520 Skipped: 118

Answer Choices	Responses
----------------	-----------

Yes	10.77%	56
No	89.23%	464
Total		520

Q59 I think PDF Art Grants should help cover expenses associated with transporting large projects.

Answered: 529 Skipped: 109

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	0.57% 3	3.97% 21	17.20% 91	55.39% 293	22.87% 121	529	3.96

Q60 I think PDF Art Grants should include a stipend for the artist.

Answered: 528 Skipped: 110

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	15.34% 81	29.92% 158	32.95% 174	17.80% 94	3.98% 21	528	2.65

Q61 If an artist chooses to sell their work brought to PDF supported by a PDF Art Grant, I think PDF should retain a percentage of the selling price.

Answered: 526 Skipped: 112

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	10.08% 53	16.92% 89	24.90% 131	30.42% 160	17.68% 93	526	3.29

Q62 Reserve tickets should be set aside for participants who commit to bring art to PDF.

Answered: 526 Skipped: 112

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	1.52% 8	5.51% 29	15.40% 81	50.57% 266	27.00% 142	526	3.96

Q63 Art Grant Recipients should have the option to ask for up to 4 additional reserve tickets for their large scale projects.

Answered: 525 Skipped: 113

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	2.86% 15	8.00% 42	21.90% 115	48.38% 254	18.86% 99	525	3.72

Q64 I understand how the Art Grant Process at PDF works.

Answered: 525 Skipped: 113

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	6.86% 36	20.76% 109	25.33% 133	34.48% 181	12.57% 66	525	3.25

Q65 Additional Comments:

Answered: 74 Skipped: 564

#	Responses	Date
1	I think PDF Art Grants should help cover expenses associated with transporting large projects when it's the PONY specifically.	11/13/2014 10:32 AM
2	I just bring art. Honestly the art grant process has always seemed like too much of a pain in the ass with out enough transparency to make it worth while for me.	11/13/2014 10:13 AM
3	Who makes the decisions for art grants? What criteria do they use?	11/13/2014 9:36 AM
4	Artists got it hard enough, if they make something someone wants to buy, let 'em sell it as they see fit, and consider your patronage a form of financial support. Don't be a dick to those who make the world a better place, especially if you already have money. That kind of greed ain't no good either.	11/12/2014 11:27 PM
5	only the pony crew deserves up to 4 tickets	11/12/2014 7:53 PM
6	What are you an art gallery now? So like if I bring a piece to PDF and someone wants to buy it at PDF they can't cause decommidification *horay!* so I bring it home and then it's not displayed at your gallery and someone wants to buy it and contacts me directly I'm not going to give the gallery a cut of something it didn't brokerage the sale of. Art galleries get a commission for displaying AND handling the business aspect of art purchase transactions so unless PDF wants to hire and apraiser who knows art values and can draft up a contract NOPE!	11/12/2014 5:53 PM
7	Rent more space! Artists who camp near their art must comply w/ sound rules for that area. If sound part of art, place in main theme camp areas.	11/12/2014 4:40 PM
8	I don't believe the following questions are relevant: 57. I have applied for a PDF art grant at least once in the last three years. 58. I have received a PDF art grant at least once in the last three years.	11/12/2014 1:11 PM
9	I haven't don't an art grant at PDF but I have for another regional burn. For a large structure, especially if you are getting some supplies donated, transportation can be a major part of the costs. I don't think it's fair that it's not considered for reimbursement when transport a necessary part of having art *at* PDF.	11/12/2014 11:38 AM
10	#60 If the art piece is such that the labor clearly justifies it. #61 I feel that if the art is sold the artist should reimburse the full amount art grant minus the transportation and artist stipend (if awarded). So if the grant was \$1000 total, and 150\$ was for transportation, while \$200 was a stipend for the artist. If the artist sells the piece PDF should receive \$650 in return. If the artist sells it for less PDF should retain the entire sale price. LETS GET ART BACK AT PDF!!!!	11/12/2014 10:32 AM
11	I feel as though the Arts grant process could use some reviewing I personally have never asked for an art grants not because I did not think I would be able to provide art but because I did not want to take away from the already overly selective art grant process.	11/12/2014 10:27 AM

12	We didn't receive notice that we were doing a large project until very late. With all of the time and energy it takes to do large scale art there needs to be AT THE VERY LEAST extra reserve tickets. Our project was gigantic and took over 6 organizing and planning meetings and involved 8 people. We spent hundreds of dollars on pizza alone so we could all stay together working (sometimes at night). We were working every single day after work and every weekend. I personally missed a music festival I go to every year, to work on the project. I've only been to five burns in my life and this was my second art grant. That's a lot of time and effort for a community. I should be excited to do another project not discouraged.	11/12/2014 9:55 AM
13	DUMMY SURVEY	11/12/2014 8:36 AM
14	I haven't been to PDF in many years, and am not 100% familiar with the art grant process.	11/12/2014 2:52 AM
15	I bring some art but without going through the art grant process. That's my personal choice	11/12/2014 2:21 AM
16	these question are too general.	11/12/2014 1:29 AM
17	The process to receive and get reimbursed for an art grant is ridiculous and full of "tag" emails. No one ever knows who to get in contact with, run around galore. Sniping email responses and bad attitudes. We have already said we would NEVER do another art project for PDF. Another burn sure. But NEVER PDF ever ever again.	11/11/2014 11:11 PM
18	Some funding should be given upfront, its hard to do everything off reimbursal	11/11/2014 11:09 PM
19	please help gaurantee that all artists and assistants can Attend via reserve tickets	11/11/2014 10:19 PM
20	Art grants help the artist build the project and get it to the event. What they choose to do with it afterwards is their business. Part of the art grant application process should include asking the artist what their plans are for art that does not burn, and should favor art that is intended for the community.	11/11/2014 9:53 PM
21	burnable & non-burnable art - i feel there is not alot of land space for more art, whether it's burnable or not. #60. I think PDF Art Grants should include a stipend for the artist. - to some degree, yes. But stipend may want to be further defined for either the artist or for the PDF community at large. #61. If an artist chooses to sell their work brought to PDF supported by a PDF Art Grant, I think PDF should retain a percentage of the selling price. - I answered no, but I also dont see how an artist sell their work at PDF considering it's a "burn", no matter the quality of its vetting or grandfathering by the BmORG. #63. Art Grant Recipients should have the option to ask for up to 4 additional reserve tickets for their large scale projects. - if by "reserve" tickets you mean "early entry access" then yes, I do.	11/11/2014 9:00 PM
22	Moar art!	11/11/2014 7:05 PM
23	on #61, i would say if PDF has knowledge of said sale prior to awarding the art grant, then they should come to an agreement on royalties before doing so. after the money is given, PDF has no right to claim further royalties on whatever product the artist may sell in the future.	11/11/2014 7:05 PM
24	know a friend who received an art grant	11/11/2014 5:27 PM
25	No one should be buying anything on playa. They should wait until we've left. Or Just trade. Also if pdf has funded the art, it wouldn't hurt for them to get some of the money in return to keep our bills at ease. Stipends are tricky, off someone fails to perform...where did that money go? Receipts are a lot of work to go through though.	11/11/2014 5:14 PM
26	I think more Art grants should be given out to encourage a more artistic and beautiful burn.	11/11/2014 4:23 PM
27	#62 is tricky. Anyone could call something "art" to get a reserved ticket...	11/11/2014 3:47 PM
28	To address #63 - If it is a huge project that requires a big team to assemble/transport etc, maybe part of the application process should be to state how many tickets (minimum) you would need to ensure the art piece can be properly set up! That's a great idea - and the Art Grant Committee can ultimately decide if it is a worthwhile investment (ie, a group of really committed people or just punks trying to secure tickets without effort)	11/11/2014 3:45 PM
29	Have been part of art protocols and building multiple times including large projects. whoever is asking for 4 additional tickets is using them to get in friends. HELP is not hard to find when building at pdf.	11/11/2014 3:27 PM
30	Art grant money should be donated to the less fortunate	11/11/2014 3:11 PM
31	I'm not much of an artist, I don't quite know the process. I've helped out a few people making art for burns but didn't get involved in the grant process.	11/11/2014 2:52 PM

32	I HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THE TERM ART. IT SEEMS AS THOUGH THE ART WE ARE DISCUSSING IS PEOPLE'S SCULPTURES. THAT IS ONLY ONE FORM OF ART AT A BURN. I FEEL SLIGHTLY INSULTED REGARDING THE IDEA THAT BECAUSE PEOPLE DO NOT PUT A HAMMER TO WOOD THAT PEOPLE DO NOT BRING ART.	11/11/2014 2:47 PM
33	a few qualifiers: I have not personally filled out the grant application but have been a part of funded projects. If PDF wants to propose retaining a portion of sales of funded art, I only support this if the artist agrees up front, not after the fact.	11/11/2014 2:02 PM
34	I think 4 tickets is a lot to reserve for each art project. The size of the art should be considered	11/11/2014 1:56 PM
35	the Big project I worked on Had "12" serious contributors ... Only TWO reserved tickets.	11/11/2014 1:45 PM
36	You get the point by now	11/11/2014 1:24 PM
37	For reference, I was part of the pony build team for spring 2013...the Trojan pony that you could climb up in and then slide out the vagina.	11/11/2014 12:56 PM
38	I think 2 tickets per artists is more than enough.	11/11/2014 12:51 PM
39	I have applied and been granted an art grant in the past, but I chose not to receive the money, in hopes that it could be used for other purposes. It was an exercise in understanding how the process worked, and I'm pleased to say that it worked well for me. (It was a small grant, just \$300.)	11/11/2014 12:51 PM
40	"If an artist chooses to sell their work brought to PDF supported by a PDF Art Grant, I think PDF should retain a percentage of the selling price." ---Is this even allowed?!?	11/11/2014 12:29 PM
41	You need to foster the art. Artists are the backbone of any burn. They need to have some help with transportation and crews. I think only the effigy and temple should have dedicated transportation and crew tickets, but I think there should be flexibility for other large scale awesome art projects to receive these supports as well. Also, don't try to make money off of them. They bring the art, they put a whole lot more into it than the grant, and we are a community that should be helping each other thrive. PDF has plenty in reserve, you should not be worrying about your piece of the pie.	11/11/2014 12:20 PM
42	MORE ART!	11/11/2014 11:43 AM
43	Saving money for "land" and not putting it back into the event through more money on set grants, etc is so dishonorable.	11/11/2014 11:41 AM
44	While I don't produce art at PDF, I do think it is unfair that an artist may not be able to get a ticket. It's hard to commit to creating a project that takes months with out the guarantee you will be able to attend.	11/11/2014 11:39 AM
45	I don't think artists should sell their work at PDF.	11/11/2014 11:25 AM
46	I don't think artists should get stipends but all costs should be included. This INCLUDES fuel to get large scale art, tolls, maker-space rental, all costs associated to creating minus large tool purchases.	11/11/2014 11:22 AM
47	I am proud to bring art to PDF. I brought 2 large-scale pieces this year. I had issues with getting my piece placed this fall (We said screw-it and put it in the back field) because no one told us we had to apply for placement (This REALLY needs to be addressed for spring. We weren't the only crew). I also had issues with the committee answering my emails. While I understand that they are busy people, I need questions answered. It takes literally 10 seconds to answer an email. I finally went over their heads to get my questions answered. I shouldn't have to do that.	11/11/2014 11:21 AM
48	I don't know much about budgeting. Tickets are a good incentive for people. This year, so many people tried to burn off their tickets in the last couple days that many people ended up picking one up for free. Incentivising and making possible artists's work through packages of tickets is a great and ultimately low-cost idea, in that sense.	11/11/2014 11:16 AM
49	Boobs	11/11/2014 11:10 AM
50	I think including transportation costs in the grant for large scale art would be extremely helpful. Or at least warn people that they need to budget for it on their own. Camp justice league was big enough that I think we were able to swing bringing the temple, but having money built in for a truck would have been a relief.	11/11/2014 10:52 AM
51	yes transportation, yes reserve tickets, no censorship	11/11/2014 10:29 AM
52	If an artist sells his or her work, he or she should provide PDF with the art grant money received.	11/11/2014 10:26 AM

53	I didn't answer the above questions for several reasons: 1. I don't know the budget or the books 2. PDF is not about money (or shouldn't be) 3. I dislike the idea of preferential treatment re: tickets 4. I understand art costs money - and money to transport 5. If you get an art grant, you should surely be guaranteed admittance 6. I always help construct on Thursday & Friday - - I believe the "community" should help create the environment we wish to enjoy all week-end - - ergo, no - u don't get 4 more tix for u'r friends 7. as I have stated - - I do not know enough about the grant or tix process to state, but I do know this - - there is a selection process - - and it's those decision makers who need to be monitored - - they have to make decisions that reflect the ENTIRE "community"	11/11/2014 9:41 AM
54	Again, an area to streamline process before making PDF bigger. Including the Pony Budget.	11/11/2014 9:36 AM
55	Reserved tickets for art bringers should depend on the number people who can utilize the art, assesment of other qualifying factors etc all written in a proposal or request	11/11/2014 5:17 AM
56	Additional reserves for big art are nice but ensure people don't abuse it, by checking them at early entry and establishing a "penalty." Great way to sneak in.	11/10/2014 7:16 PM
57	the use of the word stipend in this context confuses me. are we giving everyone the same amount of money no matter how little/much they want/need? artist certainly should not be making a salery for bringing art. that kind of sounds like commodification and i always considered time spent on building art, and art itself "Gifting" i support art grants though to cover material expenses and in some cases transport.	11/10/2014 6:48 PM
58	repeated questions about art grant criteria have gone unanswered. multiple asks of simple things like "who is on the art grant committee?" have gone unanswered, or with answers like "...we are doing things differently next year." having all art grant communication be to pdfartgrants@gmail.com (or whatever) and signed off as "the PDF art grants team" does not show transparency or inspire confidence in the process.	11/10/2014 6:22 PM
59	PDF can only take money from a sale if they paid for the piece - I received an art grant years ago from PDF and although it was relatively large, it did not cover all the expenses associated with creating the art. that's ok, but if there were a commission to be paid to PDF in hte event of a sale, that would have really pissed me off.	11/10/2014 5:33 PM
60	Doubtless, my opinions here will change once I bring my first burnable piece, likely this Spring	11/10/2014 4:25 PM
61	62, duh. If they can't get a ticket how the hell do they bring their art. Art is good. More art = more good.	11/10/2014 4:11 PM
62	The art grant budget should be a bigger percentage of ticket sales, to attract more artists. We should be giving more money away to the poor starving artists.	11/10/2014 4:05 PM
63	I do not think art should be commodified. It should be a gift to PDF and burned there if appropriate. I think that in appreciation of this gift, reserve tickets should be made available not only for art teams, but also for theme camps that also bring the PDF experience with them.	11/10/2014 3:54 PM
64	Pony transport to event: fund! All else: artist/team pays for transport -- scale, scale, scale!!!	11/10/2014 3:49 PM
65	Art grant rules too strict.	11/10/2014 3:39 PM
66	Our theme camp always brings art and have never apply for grant money.	11/10/2014 2:53 PM
67	I was part of the team that built The Wishing Well in spring 2014, and the pony (Pegasus Guardian) in fall 2014.	11/10/2014 2:50 PM
68	I think artist should get early entry to build more/larger art.	11/10/2014 2:47 PM
69	Artists should NEVER be allowed to profit from anything made using an art grant from pdf. PERIOD. That is NOT our purpose. That is all. (I understand this would be hard to enforce.)	11/10/2014 2:24 PM
70	Re: 63: The number of extra tickets should correspond with the size of the art.	11/10/2014 1:19 PM
71	If an art Grant piece is sold for some number X over cost, PDF should be able to be recoup cost of goods for other projects, but probably only if the artist is selling it for a significant profit	11/10/2014 12:41 PM
72	Art grant recipients should definitely be able to access more reserve tickets, how many however, I'm unsure of.	11/10/2014 12:41 PM
73	The art grant process needs work. I was able to successfully navigate it, but there were multiple snags and the process is somewhat unclear. There should be a download form for the expense report and contract.	11/10/2014 12:33 PM
74	On Q61 - only is their grant from PDF covered the construction/creation of the piece, not just its transportation.	11/10/2014 12:31 PM

Q66 Which part(s) of the PDF organization is best suited to respond to consent

issues?

Answered: 438 Skipped: 200

Answer Choices	Responses
The Planning Committee	15.98% 70
Coordinators	50.46% 221
The Board of Directors	33.56% 147
Total	438

Q67 Term limits should exist for the following:

Answered: 460 Skipped: 178

Answer Choices	Responses
Board of Directors	61.09% 281
Coordinators	35.22% 162
Neither	38.04% 175
Total Respondents: 460	

Q68 If you think term limits should exist, how long should terms last?

Answered: 345 Skipped: 293

Answer Choices	Responses
2 years	26.67% 92
3 years	31.30% 108
4 years	23.77% 82
5 years	18.26% 63
Total	345

Q69 Should Board Members and Coordinators be able to serve consecutive terms?

Answered: 449 Skipped: 189

Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	86.86% 390
No	13.14% 59
Total	449

Q70 If yes, how many consecutive terms should they be allowed to serve?

Answered: 363 Skipped: 275

Answer Choices	Responses
2	48.76% 177
3	22.59% 82
4	28.65% 104
Total	363

Q71 How often have you participated in a Planning Committee monthly call in the last 24 months?

Answered: 479 Skipped: 159

Answer Choices	Responses
0	78.50% 376
1-3	15.03% 72
4-6	2.51% 12
7-10	0.84% 4
11-15	1.67% 8
16-24	1.46% 7
Total	479

Q72 It is important to me that Playa del Fuego retain its status as a BurningMan Regional Event.

Answered: 506 Skipped: 132

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	4.15% 21	7.31% 37	18.18% 92	32.61% 165	37.75% 191	506	3.92

Q73 An Event Production Team should be the final decision-makers of the events, Playa del Fuego, not the Board of Directors.

Answered: 484 Skipped: 154

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	4.96% 24	12.40% 60	49.17% 238	25.41% 123	8.06% 39	484	3.19

Q74 The Event Production Team should receive compensation for their work.

Answered: 487 Skipped: 151

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	15.81% 77	24.85% 121	43.12% 210	13.76% 67	2.46% 12	487	2.62

Q75 I understand who is responsible for what in the current organizational framework of PDF.

Answered: 491 Skipped: 147

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	13.44% 66	33.40% 164	25.46% 125	21.59% 106	6.11% 30	491	2.74

Q76 Our mission, as stated in the Application for Recognition of Exemption (ie nonprofit status), reads as follows: "Playa del Fuego, Inc. ("Playa") is a non-profit, participant-directed organization whose mission is to hold interactive events that promote artistic creativity and freedom of expression. Playa provides the framework and the infrastructure to allow artists such as sculptors, painters, musicians, DJs, fire performers, dancers, and any other creatively-minded individuals to come together to practice their unique form of self expression and create a viable volunteer-based community that exists beyond the boundaries of the event itself. A fundamental tenet of these events is the establishment of a zone that does not involve any currency-based commerce aside from the initial ticket purchase, thus creating a gift-based economy wherein all participants can exhibit, demonstrate, and educate others about their particular form of creativity." Based on the above, please answer the following:

Answered: 492 Skipped: 146

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
I believe that PDF fulfills its mission.	2.45% 12	9.41% 46	13.09% 64	55.42% 271	19.63% 96	489	3.80
PDF should do more to fulfill its mission outside of current Playa del Fuego events.	1.84% 9	12.27% 60	38.24% 187	35.58% 174	12.07% 59	489	3.44
I think PDF should partner with other non-profit organizations to support our mission outside of Playa del Fuego events.	2.86% 14	14.72% 72	39.06% 191	34.97% 171	8.38% 41	489	3.31
I think PDF should provide Art Grants to individuals and groups outside of PDF within the Mid-Atlantic Region.	8.81% 43	22.75% 111	38.11% 186	23.77% 116	6.56% 32	488	2.97

Q77 Additional Comments:

Answered: 60 Skipped: 578

#	Responses	Date
1	There should be alot more questions about the "leadership" of PDF. Coordinators have a stranglehold on their positions similar to the BOD having a secretive stranglehold on their positions. Without term limits or Charter language defining roles and limitations, there will be continued abuses of power as there is now.	11/13/2014 10:55 AM
2	Paying anyone seems like a slippery slope away from decomidification. I am ok with PDF not being a sanctioned regional only if it loses its status due to actually adhering to the principals that BMorg seems to be caring less and less about	11/13/2014 10:16 AM
3	PDF is a community that exists year-round and should be supported as such.	11/13/2014 9:38 AM
4	Okay, 66-77 is as confusing and awful as doing your own taxes. What the hell are you saying? Set this mess up like turbo-tax where things are explained clearly to the idiot reading the dang thing. Us here common folk have no idea what y'all are talking about. Yah-see we ain't got no background on none of this here politico stuff your ramblin' about. It done don't make none here sense to me.	11/12/2014 11:31 PM
5	Yes to some PDF-funded 'presence' at Art Shows held (July&January?) in different mid-Atlantic city/town; perhaps 'blended' with Figment, CoSM, PEx, BM Regionals, Fringe, etc.	11/12/2014 4:55 PM
6	66. Which part(s) of the PDF organization is best suited to respond to consent issues? Board of directors, Planning Committee, and Coordinators should all be responsible and suited to respond to consent issues. 71. How often have you participated in a Planning Committee monthly call in the last 24 months? Not relevant, many people do not participate in this calls due to the lack of positive responses from the organization in general. 75. I understand who is responsible for what in the current organizational framework of PDF. Not relevant. 76. Our mission, as stated in the Application for Recognition of Exemption (ie nonprofit status), reads as follows: At this moment I disagree with branching out of the PDF events until the PDF event is properly managed.	11/12/2014 1:18 PM
7	I feel that PDF is a "gateway" burn. It is burn "lite". There are too many newbie burners and not enough art. I think that if we can bring back the artists we can bring back the veteran burners to help guide more of the newbies into our culture and how it works (ie volunteerism, communal effort, civic responsibility, which I feel all seem to lack more at PDF more than other regional burns).	11/12/2014 10:38 AM
8	We should all do what we can when we can to support our community.	11/12/2014 10:30 AM
9	The organizational politics of PDF seem quite opaque. I have 4 years with the event, and still have almost no understanding of the role of the BOD, planning committee, and coordinators, and it seems as though the leaders of my community have disparate visions for what these roles should be. I do not see members or any leadership organization to enough to make themselves known or to engage, encourage, or develop newer members of the community. In general the organization of the event feels more inaccessible than at other burner events I've attended.	11/12/2014 9:15 AM
10	DUMMY SURVEY	11/12/2014 8:37 AM

11	regarding the previous question..... providing grants outside of PDF.... I would agree with this proposal if PDF were held on it's own land, and year-round burner-related events happened on such land, AND if the granted art were located on said land.	11/12/2014 8:03 AM
12	Would love to see more art and workshops spaces at PDF. A venue with more trees and more shade structures would be great.	11/12/2014 2:08 AM
13	Should have parties mid year like the pex community. Would bring us closer together	11/12/2014 1:08 AM
14	Current \$\$ resources should be used for land procurement.	11/12/2014 12:32 AM
15	i love PDF!	11/11/2014 10:23 PM
16	Q66 - I don't understand the question. Q70 - Unlimited Q76 - The mission implies PDF does more than host 2 events per year. It does not. The question is should PDF do more than host 2 events per year. That is new territory and open for discussion.	11/11/2014 10:01 PM
17	#66. Which part(s) of the PDF organization is best suited to respond to consent issues? - EVERYONE SHOULD BE SUITED TO RESPOND TO CONSENT ISSUES! #67-#68. Term limits: what does BmORG do? Transformus? Burning Flipside? #72. It is important to me that Playa del Fuego retain its status as a BurningMan Regional Event. - as it currently exists, I do not feel that PDF should be considered an actual 'burn'. #73. An Event Production Team should be the final decision-makers of the events, Playa del Fuego, not the Board of Directors. - is creating an "event production team" a real topic of discussion? And is this an agenda action item for this town hall? #76. Our mission, as stated in the Application for Recognition of Exemption (ie nonprofit status), reads as follows: - Im a little confused about the relevance of this question. I, personally, dont feel that there is an issue of PDF as a business, just how PDF is managed as a burn, If you want to make PDF a 'for profit' event, then that should just be asked directly. it should also not continue to maintain its status as a burn, as it currently is; re-org the event top-down	11/11/2014 9:00 PM
18	Holy shit this thing is long	11/11/2014 8:43 PM
19	As far as terms go I don't know enough to give an informed answer. I answered those questions, but am not set on that.	11/11/2014 7:10 PM
20	I like that PdF is a Regional, but I'm not wedded to it. Times, and Burns, change.	11/11/2014 7:08 PM
21	I believe that if there ids an event production team, and they work all year round, then compensation is okay. In all honesty i would love that to be my career. I also believe that should be sanctioned a regional, but never let the police be involved like i have heard of such at the big burn. That's just silly.	11/11/2014 5:19 PM
22	I think PDF should provide more Art Grants within PDF	11/11/2014 4:25 PM
23	I actually do not know about #66, 73 or 74. ... But isn't this supposed to be volunteer based? Event Production Teams should get a budget based on what they want to do, like artists getting art grants. The ones with great ideas and plans and a demonstrable ability to follow through SHOULD get a budget to make it happen, no? Or some sort of extra support.	11/11/2014 3:49 PM
24	Pdf is and should be a entity in and of itself. without outside interference. if you want to share land thats fine .. share a party space.. decomp warehouse. fine. but its not a public works entity. its not there to feed the homeless. its there as a retreat for us from our mundane. keep perspective. This can be our selfish indulgence and at the same time be reasonable.	11/11/2014 3:30 PM
25	I BELIEVE THAT THE MONEY FOR PDF SHOULD STAY WITH PDF, IF PDF WILL HAVE OTHER EVENTS, THEN I BELIEVE THEY WOULD BE CONSIDERED PDF EVENTS. IF PDF ARTISTS CREATE ART FOR ANOTHER EVENT, LIKE A COMMUNITY EVENT THE ART SHOULD RETURN TO PDF AFTER THE EVENT SO THE PEOPLE WHO "INVEST" IN THE ART-TICKET BUYING EVENT GOERS ALSO GET TO ENJOY THE ART. ART CAN BE A GREAT TOOL TO BRIDGE THE GAP BETWEEN YOUR AVERAGE PERSON AND THE BURNERS, BUT ONCE AGAIN ART IS NOT JUST WOOD, NAILS PAINT AND WHITE GAS.....	11/11/2014 2:57 PM
26	Honestly, I have no idea what the coordinators vs bod vs anything else is. I don't think it's particularly clear	11/11/2014 1:57 PM
27	bewbs	11/11/2014 1:49 PM
28	I think that we have lost sight of the mission in some ways with the kinds of people and partying attitude that has been seen the last little while. The focus is less on art and creativity and more on having fun at all cost.	11/11/2014 1:26 PM
29	Not enough art \$ to go round as is. Not enough time or labor to go round outside of events as is, and the overburdened few who can do, should not be asked to do more without help.	11/11/2014 1:01 PM

30	I think more money should first be set aside for artists coming to the event before contributing to money outside the event. Fix things at home before you try to save the world. As far as compensation for Event Production Team, I'm not sure what is meant by that. If you mean pay, then absolutely not. If you mean discounted or free entry into the event, then yes, but I feel there needs to be a better organization of the departments before that can occur. Some departments seem to be completely overloaded with production team members just so they can get reserved tickets.	11/11/2014 12:56 PM
31	Do not look for some external (or internal) wizards you can pay to fix our event. There are no wizards. We are the wizards.	11/11/2014 12:26 PM
32	Interesting idea: think PDF should provide Art Grants to individuals and groups outside of PDF within the Mid-Atlantic Region.	11/11/2014 12:20 PM
33	I think that PDF itself adheres to that mission - I think that somehow, we should increase knowledge of that mission to participants. A whole lotta newer folks are coming, and they do not respect the art or provide art for the event. I also strongly believe that we should remain a regional BM event - the 10 principles make the event what it is and we are already losing our grasp on them. I believe that 10 principles education should be a forefront of our mission for future years.	11/11/2014 11:29 AM
34	I honestly have no idea how this event comes together. I do know that it's running better than it has, so I'm pretty happy with it. I think it would be cool to have artists outside this region participate, but first we kind of need to ramp up the quality and amount of the art that we currently have.	11/11/2014 11:25 AM
35	Boobs	11/11/2014 11:13 AM
36	MORE TRANSPARENCY. How about processes for things thoroughly described on the website. How about a website that's actually easy to find stuff on, and contains relevant info rather than having to dig through facebook pinned posts, PONY forum, etc. List of contacts as well.	11/11/2014 11:08 AM
37	Jesus H. Christ. This survey is too long!	11/11/2014 10:57 AM
38	I would agree with 76 if pdf were located at land owned or co owned by PDF, and it became a year-round thing	11/11/2014 10:13 AM
39	IF we're gonna pay for art, then I wanna see it at PDF	11/11/2014 9:45 AM
40	It seems that PDF is barely able to handle the mission as indicates above currently, less likely be able to handle the indicated mission outside of the event at this moment. I believe PDF should go through the vetting process for a Regional Burn status through BM again.	11/11/2014 12:14 AM
41	These questions on this survey you should be asking of yourselves that are knee deep in the organization of PDF. To answer most of this survey I would have to take some out and learn about non-profit organization and firefighting etc. it makes me wonder about you.	11/10/2014 8:57 PM
42	The PC and the Board of Directors SHOULD share that and as the Grand Unified Doc states they currently do. Someone just needs to get it on the agenda. Seriously people, facebook is not the internet. Quit blaming the WWW Guide. Since the WWW team gets the guide done they become a stand-in target for those who do nothing.	11/10/2014 7:21 PM
43	i think PDF should focus on improving (and moving) the event. there is a vibrant east coast burner community already and pdf has enough issues there is plenty to do!	11/10/2014 7:14 PM
44	The availability of ice to purchase from the Vets, as convenient as it may be, violates the mission statement ("does not involve any currency-based commerce aside from the initial ticket purchase").	11/10/2014 7:13 PM
45	i dont feel good about any of my answers in this section	11/10/2014 6:52 PM
46	PDF with the vets has some serious limitations, and the 'rave in a field' with people staying quiet and just fucking or doing drugs in their tents quietly seems to be what's encouraged much of the time. pushing boundaries seems to be strongly discouraged, and the vet framework also sets too many boundaries. Also, PDF is PDF, it is not any other event. PDF money should stay with and for PDF.	11/10/2014 5:37 PM
47	Keep it smallish, keep it focussed, wrangle the space and we will come.	11/10/2014 4:28 PM
48	66. what about the individual? I don't want the board of strangers, the parking lead, or the PC telling me what IS and ISN'T ok /w me. I set MY boundaries. Do the finances, fix safety, ride your golf cart, get the hell out of my love life and away from my body cuz I got that handled. 73= isn't this the pc? confused	11/10/2014 4:12 PM
49	I do not believe that PDF should fund any thing/event outside of PDF until land has been located and leased/purchased.	11/10/2014 3:53 PM

50	"that exists beyond the boundaries of the event itself" -- I do not mind PDF spending money to support burner community-building outside of the even, I just don't want PDF to pay to decorate some rave.	11/10/2014 3:36 PM
51	In an ideal world, I believe the art should not be allowed to be censored; my understanding is the Vets hold the rights to censor art since it's held on their land, although I respect this I still feel art should not be censored.	11/10/2014 3:22 PM
52	even though I said 'strongly agree', technically this isnt true: "...a zone that does not involve any currency-based commerce aside from the initial ticket purchase..." because the vets sell ice in this zone.	11/10/2014 2:36 PM
53	This implies expanding pdf to be more than an event... kind of line BRAF. I don't trust BRAF. PDF is an event, I'd like to keep it that way.	11/10/2014 2:06 PM
54	There should be far more art!	11/10/2014 2:00 PM
55	I think the top priority is funding PDF-specific art projects. If there is money left over, external ideas could be neat.	11/10/2014 1:57 PM
56	66. this is a timing question - on site - BOD / coordinators OFF SITE PC for direction/recommendations (carrot) BOD for actions (ban stick) this is not a job - no one should make this event their career Sometimes you need to step outside, clear your head and remind yourself of who you are. And where you wanna be. And sometimes you have to venture outside your world in order to find yourself. H.G Wells	11/10/2014 1:46 PM
57	PDF should NOT partner with anybody. I'm sure Burning Man would frown on this as well, knowing how watchful and strict they are regarding the use of the name.	11/10/2014 1:17 PM
58	I selected "neither agree or disagree" with the event production questions. What is this? again, it's unclear. are you talking about an outside event production group? or is this a new name for the coordinators who are already doing the job? I am adamantly against hiring an outside group	11/10/2014 1:14 PM
59	The first question, on who should handle consent issues, is vague and I don't know how to answer because of it. If you're talking about who should decide if someone is allowed to attend PDF after a consent issue has risen around them and their behavior, that is the Board. If you're asking who should deal with consent issues on site during the event, Rangers. If you asking who should make policies around consent, the Coordinators because I don't think the PC should exist as it does.	11/10/2014 12:34 PM
60	PDF should concentrate on fixing internal problems before pushing money to fund art outside of the event.	11/10/2014 12:31 PM

Q78 I think PDF should keep 100% of its savings in a Money Market account.

Answered: 474 Skipped: 164

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	4.22% 20	13.29% 63	66.88% 317	13.50% 64	2.11% 10	474	2.96

Q79 I think PDF should invest some of its savings in the stock market.

Answered: 465 Skipped: 173

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
25%	19.87% 90	15.45% 70	40.84% 185	20.09% 91	3.75% 17	453	2.72
50%	29.21% 125	18.93% 81	43.69% 187	6.78% 29	1.40% 6	428	2.32
75%	36.97% 156	22.75% 96	38.63% 163	1.18% 5	0.47% 2	422	2.05
100%	45.39% 192	16.31% 69	37.35% 158	0.95% 4	0.00% 0	423	1.94

Q80 I support PDF investing its savings using methodologies of Socially Responsible Investing.

Answered: 478 Skipped: 160

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	5.02% 24	4.60% 22	31.17% 149	43.10% 206	16.11% 77	478	3.61

Q81 I understand that by investing our funds, the value of PDF's portfolio may fluctuate dramatically up OR down, depending on market conditions.

Answered: 470 Skipped: 168

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
(no label)	1.91% 9	0.64% 3	16.60% 78	52.34% 246	28.51% 134	470	4.05

Q82 I think PDF should donate funds to BurningMan organizations each year.

Answered: 464 Skipped: 174

Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	43.97% 204
No	56.03% 260
Total	464

Q83 If yes, how much?

Answered: 235 Skipped: 403

Answer Choices	Responses
5% of net income	68.09% 160
10% of net income	22.13% 52
15% of net income	6.38% 15
20% of net income	2.98% 7
More than 20% of net income	0.43% 1
Total	235

Q84 I support donating to the following BurningMan Organizations:

Answered: 429 Skipped: 209

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree Nor Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Average Rating
Burners Without Borders	10.09% 43	5.16% 22	30.28% 129	34.74% 148	19.72% 84	426	3.49
Black Rock Arts Foundation	13.49% 56	10.36% 43	37.11% 154	28.43% 118	10.60% 44	415	3.12
Black Rock Solar	13.63% 56	7.79% 32	41.36% 170	25.06% 103	12.17% 50	411	3.14
The BurningMan Project	19.37% 80	9.44% 39	39.71% 164	23.24% 96	8.23% 34	413	2.92

Q85 Additional Comments:

Answered: 80 Skipped: 558

#	Responses	Date
1	Can we hear the other options besides "money market" accounts? Can you define what YOU think the "methodologies of Socially Responsible Investing" are?	11/13/2014 10:56 AM
2	Let's donate to the organizations that don't have funding streams of their own. We should partner with BRAF.	11/13/2014 9:39 AM
3	When it comes to investing we need to know that there is a person with experience and expertise from the community watching our funds. The single most important thing when it comes to investing isn't that we try to grow rapidly more so that we make a return on our invest at a level of 6-9% and that the person directing our investments share the same value of the community. In an ideal world the person directing our funds would be a member of the PDF community.	11/12/2014 11:52 PM
4	If you're gonna give money away... Expand art grants immensely and include everything like transport, supplies, tickets. Make that shit appealing to those that do cool shit. PDF can then stand on it's own and import projects to the dusty playa and make a statement about the east coast. Make major improvements at home and kick a few bucks to those doing good. Perhaps PDF could do it's own or similar thingy to burners with out borders?	11/12/2014 11:36 PM
5	While I don't oppose donating funds to other BM/regional groups in theory - I believe we need to make sure our own event's needs - art, land, resources, etc - are fully covered to the community's satisfaction before we consider donating funds to other groups or projects. And, as a non-profit, pdf should not consider stocks, investments, etc - outside the current money market funds.	11/12/2014 10:01 PM
6	BMORG brings in enough from BM they don't need our pennys. Investing should be left to professionals since the market changes quarterly and rates drop. The time line when we need the money is also a big consideration.	11/12/2014 7:57 PM
7	You all can barely keep up with your event and corporate responsibilities who is gonna manage your investments and what are their qualifications and what type of account and what is the long term investment goals and who ever EVER EVER thought this was a smart idea to propose?	11/12/2014 5:55 PM
8	My ticket money should be used for the event. If I wanted to support other causes, I would do so on my own	11/12/2014 5:08 PM
9	PDF should NOT be a Holy-pwned subsidiary of BM. INVEST IN OUR OWN ARTISTS!	11/12/2014 5:00 PM
10	Playa Del Fuego should not spend time donating or investing and risking financial assets until such time as a number of problems with the event have been addressed to the satisfaction of the community.	11/12/2014 1:33 PM
11	78. I think PDF should keep 100% of its savings in a Money Market account: Not relevant at this moment. 79. I think PDF should invest some of its savings in the stock market: Not relevant at this moment. 80. I support PDF investing its savings using methodologies of Socially Responsible Investing: Not relevant at this moment. 81. I understand that by investing our funds, the value of PDF's portfolio may fluctuate dramatically up OR down, depending on market conditions: I understand investment. Not relevant at this moment. 82. I think PDF should donate funds to BurningMan organizations each year: Not relevant at this time.	11/12/2014 1:22 PM

12	I do not know enough about investing and Money Market accounts to know if I would think they were a good idea. *IF* PdF were to make any investments, I would like them to be along avenues that are in keeping with the spirit of the event.	11/12/2014 1:14 PM
13	Any investing should be done in land acquisition. Investing in stocks using PDF funds is full of problems.	11/12/2014 12:26 PM
14	I'm not actually opposed to donating to these worthy programs but I think PDF could do well to better fund things for its own event, particularly art.	11/12/2014 12:05 PM
15	I think when it comes time investment options should also be voted on by the community (a survey like this would be fine)	11/12/2014 10:41 AM
16	definitely don't know enough about this to answer any more than i did. i think it might be beneficial to hire someone to manage our money who knows these things.	11/12/2014 10:35 AM
17	Currently I do not feel like we should donate any money to any organizations we should invest our in making a more full and rich experience for our participants	11/12/2014 10:33 AM
18	Without a clear picture of the overall financial situation of the organization, I can't comment adequately on specific funding levels for specific budget items.	11/12/2014 9:16 AM
19	DUMMY SURVEY	11/12/2014 8:37 AM
20	The BurningMan Project is progressively getting further and further from it's claimed ideals and should not get PDF funds	11/12/2014 3:09 AM
21	If there is excess without a plan to use it, I don't know why we would create that pool of funds that might corrupt or principals	11/12/2014 2:25 AM
22	Perhaps we can find other mutually beneficial ways of partnering with these organization in an effort to build relationships rather than simply making donations.	11/12/2014 1:16 AM
23	Bm makes enough money	11/12/2014 1:09 AM
24	Current \$\$resources should be used for land procurement.	11/12/2014 12:34 AM
25	Should cover insurance for event	11/11/2014 11:38 PM
26	one hand holds the other, forever	11/11/2014 10:25 PM
27	This is not a pyramid scheme.	11/11/2014 10:03 PM
28	to all questions regarding the financials of PDF: what will PDF do with earnings from any stock investments? Will this get us a better place to burn? Will this get us better or more art? Or more funds to the artists to support the art? Who decides how the capital is invested, and where? If you're gonna ask these questions, then they sure as shit be action items on the agenda on Sunday, and the discussion also need to be made available in the minutes.	11/11/2014 9:00 PM
29	Your organization barely has time to carry out it's duties as you are all volunteers, how then does one assume there is enough time for those volunteers to research the markets and invest correctly. PDF needs to stay out of the markets you have over half a million dollars in your bank account currently do not squander that money on a highly volatile system, do NOT invest that money in capital improvements in land you DO NOT OWN.	11/11/2014 7:31 PM
30	Burning Man is a grown up now. It can stand on its own two feet. Groups that have their origin there (BWB, Black Rock Solar, etc.) can use hhelp.	11/11/2014 7:10 PM
31	The stock market could put us broke, the money market is a sure thing with raised income.	11/11/2014 5:26 PM
32	Burning Man seems to be doing just fine with the extra cash they're get from plug and play camps, they don't need our nickels	11/11/2014 5:11 PM
33	I just don't know enough to answer intelligently. I support communities working together with emphasis on the home community energy center. Yes to macro support as long as the micro support tank is full.	11/11/2014 4:56 PM
34	Please don't give any money to the Burning Man Project. They don't do anything but collect money, as far as I can tell.	11/11/2014 4:46 PM
35	BMorg makes a lot of money that is not very well accounted for. Stop throwing money in that hole.	11/11/2014 3:59 PM
36	I think pdf should sell ad space for money have a camp with monster energy drink funding it. It goes against the principles but fuck it yolo.	11/11/2014 3:16 PM

37	I THINK THE MONEY RAISED BY THE EVENTS SHOULD BE USED FOR THE EVENTS AND POSSIBLY A NEW EVENT SPACE.	11/11/2014 2:59 PM
38	I would need a lot more information to give strong opinions on these questions.	11/11/2014 2:05 PM
39	I would like to see that money set aside for our own organizations and events that take place the other times of the year.	11/11/2014 1:28 PM
40	Only if we can afford to do so and still get our S together.	11/11/2014 1:04 PM
41	again, I'm a fan of fix things at home before trying to help outside the event. There are many things our money can go to to help our current event before we try to fix other ones.	11/11/2014 12:57 PM
42	Keep the PDF monies local, spent on pdf events and art only, please.	11/11/2014 12:53 PM
43	This is ridiculous. You want to use burner money to create a stock portfolio? While I see your thinking (make our money work for us) the complications that will arise are far worse than the profit you might make. This money is not yours to play with, or yours to lose. Also, using the money created from a burner event to intentionally support the capitalist system and credit structure is wrong. I realize it is unavoidable at times, but this would be a clear choice to keep PDF commodified.	11/11/2014 12:33 PM
44	I think with the situation as it is currently, pdf should focus it's money on improving itself, not other organizations.	11/11/2014 12:29 PM
45	I am not well enough educated in financial matters to make the call on how money should be invested or saved or whatever.	11/11/2014 12:14 PM
46	The funds should go back into the event.	11/11/2014 11:45 AM
47	I know nothing about money.	11/11/2014 11:30 AM
48	I can't even manage my own money much less an organization's. I am not really qualified to answer these questions. I do know that I have a severe mistrust of the stock market.	11/11/2014 11:27 AM
49	Boobs	11/11/2014 11:14 AM
50	What are we bankers now? Stock market seems pretty commodified to me.... Also, how about we focus on US before we start giving money to other orgs, especially anything related to Black Rock, Burning man should have pleeeenty more funds to donate.	11/11/2014 11:11 AM
51	Before donating outside of PDF, PDF should resolve issues within first dealing with ticket cap, land issues, etc.	11/11/2014 10:31 AM
52	RADICAL SELF RELIANCE - - - these groups can be self reliant too - - if I wish to donate I will, but the money I give to PDF should be used for PDF things	11/11/2014 9:49 AM
53	Invest in Gold, and Pork Belly, and Malvoye Enterprises. We don't have the numbers or dollars to spend on other organizations if we are still working out sound and other policies. We may need those monies to help the event survive and grow, too soon to donate.	11/11/2014 9:44 AM
54	PDF should get its own house in order before donating to BM. When PDF owns land, has a huge reserve account and doesn't know what to do with all the money, by all means, give to BM. Until then, stick to the knitting.	11/11/2014 2:24 AM
55	investing decisions should depend on purchasing plans and timelines	11/11/2014 12:08 AM
56	None of this should be asked of us, the general crowd. I do not know what impact any of this does to the PDF organization as it is now, nor what impact it would have in our standing with BM nor what it would do to our non-profit status.	11/10/2014 9:00 PM
57	Why would we support the Burning Man organization. Don't they have enough money. Isn't the point of a regional event is to support the region it's from?	11/10/2014 8:59 PM
58	i don't know about the organizations. sorry i'm a bit new at burns!	11/10/2014 8:02 PM
59	see previous hello dolly quote, also PLEASE please please be careful to avoid conflicts of interest. Integrity is vital. If questionable? Avoid.	11/10/2014 7:23 PM
60	the borg already gets too much of my money! keep the pdf funds local and use them to buy and maintain land.	11/10/2014 7:16 PM
61	although fair to be left open to everyones input, i dont think the general public has enough knowlage to stand firmly on Stock market ideas.	11/10/2014 6:55 PM

62	Honestly, I think pdf money should go to local artists to create more art year-round, but it should stay local. Pdf is a regional burn, therefore I think the money should stay within the region. Part of the savings in a money market acct would be a good idea, but not necessarily all of it.	11/10/2014 6:51 PM
63	PDF is not a charity. it is a nonprofit. if it is extra cash, either rainy day fund it, or spend it on cool stuff for the event.	11/10/2014 5:39 PM
64	We barely have enough money for our own art and site improvement..	11/10/2014 5:33 PM
65	I think that donations should go to events that aren't geographically limited to areas that happen to be near to Black Rock, so BwB is a particularly good choice. Still, it should be a minimal amount, as donations should be something that burners do on an individual basis.	11/10/2014 4:30 PM
66	80 sounds like bullshit- way to give money to "friends" Hey can I be your friend! I'm socially responsible. Gimme some money.	11/10/2014 4:13 PM
67	Burning Man and it's partner projects are already well-funded.	11/10/2014 3:54 PM
68	I feel like burning man make enough of it's own money that it doesn't need support from regional burns. It worries me that PDF is considering investing money in the stock market. What is the purpose of this. It seems like PDF would need to be transparant regarding the intent of this. Is it to make buying land more feasible, then that'd be ok. But to just grow the available funds for no goal seems unnecessary.	11/10/2014 3:34 PM
69	lets get our own house in order and flourishing before we donate to outside organizations, no matter how worthy. Also, more clarity on how much is in savings, what is it for, and how it is managed would be excellent.	11/10/2014 2:51 PM
70	I do not know anything about these burningman organizations, or about what kind of money PDF has to invest/is currently investing.	11/10/2014 2:38 PM
71	I don't trust the BMorg anymore.	11/10/2014 2:08 PM
72	Regional Burns owe a debt to the National and should pay toward its success.	11/10/2014 1:58 PM
73	78/79 - I would like more info on how this might effect our status as a non profit before I formulate an opinion	11/10/2014 1:47 PM
74	Burning Man does not do nearly enough to reduce its environmental impact!	11/10/2014 1:46 PM
75	i don't think i understand why pdf has enough savings to invest, and i don't really understand investing anyway. is this referring to land money or other money?	11/10/2014 1:36 PM
76	not 5% of income but 10% of savings /reserves(beyond anticipated annual expenses)	11/10/2014 1:23 PM
77	I think PDF should use funds for (1) land purchase; (2) event production costs; (3) PDF art grants	11/10/2014 1:22 PM
78	BMOrg has BECOME "the man". I don't trust them anymore. Follow the money and you'll learn why.	11/10/2014 1:20 PM
79	I support investing in general, but there is not enough transparency to even begin discussing that as it stands now.	11/10/2014 1:17 PM
80	Investments should be in broad-based mutual funds, not in stocks. Single share equity investments have a much higher volatility and would require a much more knowledgeable finance committee.	11/10/2014 12:35 PM

Q86 I have read the PDF Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws.

Answered: 493 Skipped: 145

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	40.77%	201
No	59.23%	292
Total		493

Q87 I am currently serving or have served as a member of the Board of Directors or a

Department Coordinator for PDF.

Answered: 489 Skipped: 149

Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	8.38% 41
No	91.62% 448
Total	489

Q88 I live closest to _____ .

Answered: 502 Skipped: 136

Answer Choices	Responses
Richmond	3.59% 18
DC	30.08% 151
Baltimore	14.34% 72
Philadelphia	23.90% 120
New York City	13.15% 66
Boston	2.39% 12
Other (please specify)	12.55% 63
Total	502

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	I currently live in Oregon, however I used to be in the Philadelphia area	11/12/2014 10:03 PM
2	reading	11/12/2014 8:36 PM
3	Phila. (But 90 minutes drive.)	11/12/2014 5:10 PM
4	chicago	11/12/2014 5:09 PM
5	Albany, NY	11/12/2014 1:15 PM
6	Wilmington	11/12/2014 11:58 AM
7	Chicago	11/12/2014 11:41 AM
8	Raleigh, NC	11/12/2014 11:10 AM
9	Albany	11/12/2014 11:07 AM
10	Chicago	11/12/2014 10:38 AM
11	pittsburgh	11/12/2014 9:14 AM
12	Delaware, ya know... where PDF is, and for some reason, NOT an option here..... :) <3 <3	11/12/2014 8:04 AM
13	Charlotte	11/12/2014 7:54 AM
14	Dover Delaware	11/12/2014 2:39 AM
15	pittsburgh	11/12/2014 12:14 AM
16	Portland, OR ... moved from PA still home	11/11/2014 11:12 PM

17	about 300 miles from Odessa	11/11/2014 10:05 PM
18	York, PA	11/11/2014 9:49 PM
19	Harrisburg	11/11/2014 8:01 PM
20	Harrisburg	11/11/2014 7:09 PM
21	Pittsburgh	11/11/2014 6:06 PM
22	Trenton, NJ	11/11/2014 5:29 PM
23	Raleigh, NC	11/11/2014 4:22 PM
24	Rochester ny	11/11/2014 4:05 PM
25	Quebec	11/11/2014 4:04 PM
26	Portland, OR (heh)	11/11/2014 2:50 PM
27	Pittsburgh	11/11/2014 2:31 PM
28	Pittsburgh	11/11/2014 2:24 PM
29	Toronto, if we're talking BIG cities ;)	11/11/2014 2:05 PM
30	New Haven	11/11/2014 1:53 PM
31	Asheville, nc	11/11/2014 1:45 PM
32	Pittsburgh	11/11/2014 1:22 PM
33	Dc and baltimore	11/11/2014 1:17 PM
34	Portland, ME	11/11/2014 1:08 PM
35	Harrisburg, PA	11/11/2014 12:59 PM
36	Atlanta	11/11/2014 11:44 AM
37	Burlington, VT	11/11/2014 11:42 AM
38	Wilmington	11/11/2014 11:28 AM
39	San Francisco	11/11/2014 11:20 AM
40	delaware	11/11/2014 10:53 AM
41	Delaware	11/11/2014 10:52 AM
42	Delmarva	11/11/2014 10:33 AM
43	Raleigh NC	11/11/2014 10:26 AM
44	Townsend De	11/11/2014 10:15 AM
45	Seoul	11/11/2014 9:45 AM
46	Albany, NY	11/11/2014 8:35 AM
47	Dover Delaware	11/11/2014 7:34 AM
48	Andromeda	11/11/2014 2:25 AM
49	Pittsburgh	11/10/2014 7:18 PM
50	Toronto	11/10/2014 6:25 PM
51	New Haven, CT	11/10/2014 5:43 PM
52	Jersey Shore	11/10/2014 5:04 PM
53	I live in Delaware	11/10/2014 4:09 PM
54	Delaware	11/10/2014 3:20 PM

55	harrisburg pa.	11/10/2014 2:57 PM
56	Harrisburg, PA	11/10/2014 2:53 PM
57	Dover Delaware	11/10/2014 2:53 PM
58	Pittsburgh	11/10/2014 2:22 PM
59	Boulder, CO	11/10/2014 2:04 PM
60	Albany, NY	11/10/2014 1:53 PM
61	albany, ny	11/10/2014 1:31 PM
62	Buffalo, NY	11/10/2014 1:22 PM
63	Buffalo	11/10/2014 12:18 PM

Q89 I most closely identify as _____ .

Answered: 501 Skipped: 137

Answer Choices	Responses	
Female	46.11%	231
Male	50.50%	253
Neither Male or Female	1.80%	9
Both Male and Female	1.60%	8
Total		501

Q90 I am _____ .

Answered: 503 Skipped: 135

Answer Choices	Responses	
<18 years old	0.20%	1
19-30 years old	34.79%	175
31-40 years old	39.56%	199
41-50 years old	17.30%	87
51-60 years old	6.76%	34
61-70 years old	0.99%	5
70+ years old	0.40%	2
Total		503

Q91 How many PDF events have you participated in?

Answered: 502 Skipped: 136

Answer Choices	Responses
----------------	-----------

1-2	22.11%	111
3-5	24.50%	123
6-10	28.49%	143
11-16	16.53%	83
17-20	4.78%	24
21+	3.59%	18
Total		502

Q92 Have you attended BurningMan?

Answered: 503 Skipped: 135

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	44.73%	225
No	55.27%	278
Total		503

Q93 Have you attended other BurningMan Regional Events?

Answered: 504 Skipped: 134

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	57.94%	292
No	42.06%	212
Total		504

Q94 If yes, which ones?

Answered: 291 Skipped: 347

Answer Choices	Responses	
Transformus	70.79%	206
Flipside	5.50%	16
Frostburn	26.80%	78
Nowhere	2.41%	7
Afrika Burn	0.69%	2
Other (please specify)	46.39%	135
Total Respondents: 291		

#	Other (please specify)	Date
---	------------------------	------

1	After Burn, Pre Heat	11/13/2014 11:48 AM
2	Firefly,	11/13/2014 10:57 AM
3	Alchemy, Euphoria	11/13/2014 10:09 AM
4	Apogaea	11/13/2014 9:40 AM
5	Lakes of Fire	11/13/2014 8:49 AM
6	FreeForm	11/13/2014 12:02 AM
7	shh, top secret	11/12/2014 11:37 PM
8	FreeForm, Element, AfterBurn, Ticketless,	11/12/2014 9:37 PM
9	portal, montreal decom	11/12/2014 9:28 PM
10	Scorched Nuts	11/12/2014 7:58 PM
11	Freeform	11/12/2014 6:30 PM
12	FireflyVt. &	11/12/2014 5:10 PM
13	Scorched Nuts, Alchemy, Euphoria, Lakes of Fire	11/12/2014 5:09 PM
14	Figment, Freeform	11/12/2014 4:52 PM
15	element 11, alchemy, ignite, suguaro man, apogaea	11/12/2014 4:10 PM
16	Afterburn and Preheat	11/12/2014 2:25 PM
17	Alchemy, Freeform, Firefly, Euphoria, Lakes of Fire	11/12/2014 1:31 PM
18	freeform, pex burns, other non burning man related burns	11/12/2014 12:30 PM
19	Freeform, Firefly, Scorched Nuts, Nectr, PEX [not a regional]	11/12/2014 12:07 PM
20	Lakes of Fire	11/12/2014 11:41 AM
21	freeform	11/12/2014 11:23 AM
22	Alchemy	11/12/2014 11:10 AM
23	portal	11/12/2014 11:07 AM
24	Scorched Nuts, Freeform, PEX (I know its more of a festival), Figment, regional fundraisers/parties for theme camps such as PEX, Space Pirates, Mischief, Beemore etc...	11/12/2014 10:46 AM
25	Lakes of Fire	11/12/2014 10:38 AM
26	Hawaii Burn	11/12/2014 10:37 AM
27	Alchemy	11/12/2014 7:33 AM
28	freeform	11/12/2014 5:47 AM
29	Alchemy	11/12/2014 5:44 AM
30	Freeform, PEXfest (not a burn, technically)	11/12/2014 2:27 AM
31	Not official Burns but PEX and Freeform, love both!	11/12/2014 2:11 AM
32	Alchemy	11/12/2014 1:52 AM
33	preheat, afterburn	11/12/2014 1:11 AM
34	Freeform	11/12/2014 1:11 AM
35	Freeform	11/12/2014 12:32 AM
36	wickerman	11/12/2014 12:14 AM
37	Apogea	11/12/2014 12:00 AM

38	does Coalesce count?	11/11/2014 10:26 PM
39	FreeForm	11/11/2014 10:11 PM
40	Others	11/11/2014 10:05 PM
41	Scorched nuts	11/11/2014 9:59 PM
42	Rebirth (Hawaii)	11/11/2014 9:01 PM
43	freeform, preheat, afterburn, loveburn*, alchemy, PEX SF (yes, some are official burns, some are not, but I beleive these count as they are organized by burners and are 10-principle events)	11/11/2014 9:00 PM
44	Enlighten VT, Scorched Nuts	11/11/2014 8:46 PM
45	Firefly	11/11/2014 8:29 PM
46	Burning Beach Ball, Freeform	11/11/2014 6:59 PM
47	firefly	11/11/2014 6:33 PM
48	Assateague National Seashore	11/11/2014 6:20 PM
49	PEX	11/11/2014 6:19 PM
50	FREEFORM, PEX (although it's a Fest)	11/11/2014 6:14 PM
51	Scorched Nuts	11/11/2014 5:47 PM
52	small ones near nyc	11/11/2014 5:31 PM
53	Freeform	11/11/2014 5:23 PM
54	W M	11/11/2014 5:19 PM
55	lakes of fire	11/11/2014 5:04 PM
56	Firefly	11/11/2014 4:47 PM
57	Freeform (don't care if it's not an "official" regional.)	11/11/2014 4:30 PM
58	Freeform Festival, Firefly	11/11/2014 3:54 PM
59	PDF, Critical Massive	11/11/2014 2:50 PM
60	Freeform	11/11/2014 2:48 PM
61	alchemy, freeform	11/11/2014 2:36 PM
62	Kiwiburn, SF Decompression	11/11/2014 2:06 PM
63	here n there	11/11/2014 1:53 PM
64	freeform	11/11/2014 1:51 PM
65	Alchemy	11/11/2014 1:45 PM
66	PortalBurn	11/11/2014 1:38 PM
67	freeform	11/11/2014 1:17 PM
68	Firefly	11/11/2014 1:10 PM
69	Freeform, Firefly, Beltec	11/11/2014 1:08 PM
70	freeform	11/11/2014 12:40 PM
71	Scorched nuts, FreeForm,	11/11/2014 12:35 PM
72	FreeForm	11/11/2014 12:31 PM
73	Alchemy, other burn-like events: WickerMan, PEX, FreeForm, Scare-N-Dipity	11/11/2014 12:28 PM
74	freeform	11/11/2014 12:24 PM

75	Apogaea	11/11/2014 12:23 PM
76	NYC Decompression parties	11/11/2014 12:15 PM
77	Youtopia, SF Decom, BiTF (Vancouver), Sacramento Decom	11/11/2014 12:07 PM
78	Apogaea	11/11/2014 11:52 AM
79	Freeform	11/11/2014 11:46 AM
80	Scorched Nuts, Freeform	11/11/2014 11:45 AM
81	Afterburn	11/11/2014 11:44 AM
82	Firefly (4 years)	11/11/2014 11:42 AM
83	Freeform	11/11/2014 11:39 AM
84	Element 11	11/11/2014 11:31 AM
85	Firefly	11/11/2014 11:28 AM
86	Freeform	11/11/2014 11:18 AM
87	Scorched Nuts	11/11/2014 11:16 AM
88	Freeform	11/11/2014 11:10 AM
89	Alchemy	11/11/2014 11:09 AM
90	Wickerman,Philly Experiment	11/11/2014 11:03 AM
91	Free Form	11/11/2014 10:45 AM
92	Freeform	11/11/2014 10:40 AM
93	Preheat, Afterburn, Alchemy, Euphoria	11/11/2014 10:33 AM
94	Freeform	11/11/2014 10:30 AM
95	alchemy, euphoria	11/11/2014 10:28 AM
96	FreeForm,	11/11/2014 10:26 AM
97	Alchemy	11/11/2014 10:26 AM
98	going to LoveBurn in Feb 2015	11/11/2014 10:25 AM
99	wickerman, freeform	11/11/2014 10:23 AM
100	wickerman	11/11/2014 9:56 AM
101	Alchemy	11/11/2014 9:30 AM
102	Lakes of Fire	11/11/2014 2:25 AM
103	Firefly, FreeForm (not a burn, but is becoming my regional burn)	11/11/2014 12:22 AM
104	Critical Massive in WA; SOAK in OR; PreHeat in FL; Freeform	11/11/2014 12:16 AM
105	Canada	11/10/2014 9:00 PM
106	Lakes of Fire	11/10/2014 8:52 PM
107	Lakes of Fire, Freeform	11/10/2014 8:01 PM
108	a decade of PEX, Bangarang stuff, the glc? Also eons of punk rock anarchist shows, artists spcaes and collectives, mummings parade	11/10/2014 7:27 PM
109	Freeform, PEX Summer Fest (i know, not a burn)	11/10/2014 7:18 PM
110	Firefly, Mooseman	11/10/2014 6:25 PM
111	Firefly	11/10/2014 5:28 PM

112	BEquinox	11/10/2014 5:17 PM
113	Portal Burn (Upstate NY Regional)	11/10/2014 4:44 PM
114	Portal, NECTR	11/10/2014 4:32 PM
115	not regionals but Figments, Kostume Kult, Decoms, Freeform in NJ, PEX stuff, Bangarang stuff	11/10/2014 4:22 PM
116	Freeform and Figment	11/10/2014 4:17 PM
117	Firefly	11/10/2014 3:54 PM
118	Korea Burn, Burning Seed	11/10/2014 3:31 PM
119	Apogaea	11/10/2014 3:25 PM
120	Freeform	11/10/2014 2:48 PM
121	freeform	11/10/2014 2:36 PM
122	Portal, NECTR	11/10/2014 2:26 PM
123	San Diego Decom	11/10/2014 2:26 PM
124	Freeform	11/10/2014 2:25 PM
125	Mischievia, Freeform	11/10/2014 2:22 PM
126	Georga burn	11/10/2014 2:14 PM
127	Freeform	11/10/2014 2:13 PM
128	PreHeat (Florida), London Decom, NY Decom	11/10/2014 1:59 PM
129	Wickerman (non-official)	11/10/2014 1:46 PM
130	firefly, portalburn	11/10/2014 1:31 PM
131	Freeform, PEX (Neither is officially related - smart move)	11/10/2014 1:22 PM
132	freeform	11/10/2014 1:18 PM
133	Firefly	11/10/2014 12:36 PM
134	scorched nuts, recycled rainbow	11/10/2014 12:36 PM
135	Firefly	11/10/2014 12:35 PM

Q95 I consider myself an artist.

Answered: 500 Skipped: 138

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	66.00%	330
No	34.00%	170
Total		500

Q96 Additional Comments:

Answered: 40 Skipped: 598

#	Responses	Date
1	with all these question, you pretty much figured who I am by now..	11/13/2014 10:57 AM

2	You guys should really update the bylaws some are a bit dated and discuss stuff that is obviously no longer relevant.	11/12/2014 5:56 PM
3	I did not consider myself an artist before PDF, and still mostly limit my art to PDF community events.	11/12/2014 5:10 PM
4	I consider myself a builder	11/12/2014 4:52 PM
5	Drink the Kool-Aid...	11/12/2014 10:46 AM
6	I have loved my 2 PDFs and feel like it is a very well run event. There are multiple possible changes speculated in the above survey, but if nothing changed at all, it would remain and excellent event is my guess.	11/12/2014 10:38 AM
7	Thank you for creating this survey and going to the effort to engage the community. In future, engagement efforts should include more background effort on the current status of the event so that survey respondents can better inform their responses.	11/12/2014 9:19 AM
8	DUMMY SURVEY	11/12/2014 8:38 AM
9	Question 95 is difficult. LOL Who is and who isn't? I'm going with a yes, but importantly, I've never brought art to a Burn. Except costumes. So yeah. I guess I HAVE brought art to a Burn.	11/12/2014 8:28 AM
10	I spin fire and play music But I consider those just hobbies	11/11/2014 10:06 PM
11	#91. How many PDF events have you participated in? - whether I've been to 1 or if I've been to 20, what purpose does this question serve? You're asking US to participate in this 72-hour survey. a person whose attended PDF once or 20 times would have an opinion regardless, although a person who's attended 20 PDF events would have more of a historical opinion on how the event has changed, for better or worse. I hope that their opinion counts for something as well, and that we won't see the same absolute disrespect that was shown to veteran PDF participants as shown in response to wineman's departure in the FB group.	11/11/2014 9:00 PM
12	Fix your shit!	11/11/2014 7:31 PM
13	Wickerman at Four Quarters Farm isn't a Regional, is it?	11/11/2014 7:14 PM
14	I would love to be more involved, as i said before I would love to be a part of the event production team, but it would need to be a career. In a commodified world daddy needs to bring home the bacon. (No pun intended) i also have been an event coordinator in Philadelphia for many years.	11/11/2014 5:29 PM
15	Transformus was my favorite of all! Next I would like to check out Nowhere. Thank you Muppets & BCDC!! <3	11/11/2014 3:54 PM
16	FIRE PERFORMANCE	11/11/2014 3:00 PM
17	Not an artist till the Muse hits me, then i do some good shit.	11/11/2014 1:53 PM
18	I play music at the burns.	11/11/2014 1:02 PM
19	Burner, through and through. Hope I passed the burner enough test.	11/11/2014 12:35 PM
20	Though i may not say artist, i love to contribute to pdf through volunteering, gifting and have been extensively involved in several theme camps.	11/11/2014 12:31 PM
21	"artist" is a little strong - but I do plan to apply for an art grant :) I GOTS IDEAS!!	11/11/2014 11:31 AM
22	Boobs	11/11/2014 11:14 AM
23	What about Maryland? Geesh peeps, we have a lot to offer here.	11/11/2014 10:53 AM
24	Attended FreeForm which had a very Burner feel to it.	11/11/2014 10:40 AM
25	I'm an engineer	11/11/2014 10:23 AM
26	Creative member, poet etc more than 'artist' - I value art & true artists as a spectator & contributor	11/11/2014 10:17 AM
27	Absolutely appreciate good art, and am very jealous that I'm just not that creative - - - -	11/11/2014 9:50 AM
28	Been to two PDF's and freeform (i know it's not a burn, blah blah)	11/11/2014 3:39 AM
29	96 questions? Gawd ..	11/11/2014 2:25 AM
30	I have been going to Burning Man since 2004 every year to today. These are the priorities, as i see them, for PDF: 1. relocation 2. held the event once a year 3. early entrance to theme camps and artists 4. minimum amount of reserve tickets for theme camps and artists	11/11/2014 12:22 AM

31	the gender thing is still a bit confusing to me so i said both. i guess gender queer for now. i suggest maybe adding unsure or other. Gender is very complex and confusing. Many people have a hard time when presented with the two because i'm not sure if i can or cannot identify with either. just a suggestion.	11/10/2014 8:06 PM
32	Because of this page and my answers, I an no longer anonymous.	11/10/2014 5:20 PM
33	The other events I've attended are not recognized as regionals, as they are relatively new. Still, they both adhere to the Ten Principles, and they both aspire to be recognized as regional burns.	11/10/2014 4:32 PM
34	89. trying too hard. Want to be thoughtful and considerate? How about toilets that aren't disgusting filthy overfilled scary places for the back campers? Water would be nice too	11/10/2014 4:22 PM
35	Have also attended Freeform.	11/10/2014 3:59 PM
36	I define artist as strictly someone who makes a living off art. I do define myself as creative and I make art.	11/10/2014 2:53 PM
37	I have been to PortalBurn, even though its not a regional yet.	11/10/2014 2:38 PM
38	This should be anonymous.	11/10/2014 2:09 PM
39	I would love to attend other burningman regional events. I did attend Freeform, and liked it VERY much.	11/10/2014 2:01 PM
40	Artist is super general and I'm interpreting it as such. I don't make visual art, but I am a musician.	11/10/2014 12:36 PM

Q97 Do you feel the BoD should purchase a hot tub?

Answered: 489 Skipped: 149

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	11.25%	55
No	37.63%	184
They don't have one already?	51.12%	250
Total		489

Q98 Please share any additional comments or questions here.

Answered: 101 Skipped: 537

#	Responses	Date
1	This survey was wayyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyy too long.. I started losing interest towards the end. Sorry.	11/13/2014 10:35 AM
2	BoD?	11/12/2014 11:38 PM
3	Please vote for me .	11/12/2014 8:37 PM
4	Rent the hot tub just for the event. No maintenance!	11/12/2014 8:03 PM
5	too much maintenance and who knows what goes on in there. we can't even keep the showers clean	11/12/2014 7:59 PM
6	I haven't had too much experience with burns, but attending PDF was an amazing experience and I can't wait to come back!	11/12/2014 6:56 PM
7	I think the Coordinator/BoD Underground VIP Bunker is located at Wednesday night!	11/12/2014 5:12 PM
8	Imagine the DNA in it.. it'll be a roulette wheel of unplanned pregnancy. But we could place wagers on the sperm bank Donato to raise funds like a 50/50	11/12/2014 4:56 PM

9	I feel the BoD should move forward on relocating PDF from where it's held right now. The community have been asking for this for a long time and the BoD refuses to listen and interact with the community it serves in a positive way. A few BoD members should be able to refrain from asking members of their community "to take a hike" when members of the community indicate flaws or make recommendations. The BoD should be more willing to receive criticism without a defensive attitude. If any member of the BoD feels real life is too busy and they cannot manage real life with their volunteer service as a PDF BoD member (including interacting in a positive manner): please resign and let someone else who is less busy serve the PDF community. Much love to you all, thanks for your services thus far, and please let's move from Veterans land... we have lost many amazing individuals and camps to the lack of moving from this location: it is possible, it has been done. Thanks.	11/12/2014 1:38 PM
10	Everyone should have a hot tub	11/12/2014 11:36 AM
11	I would to Playa Del Fuego less reliant on social media. I would like to see the BoD more active between burns. this survey is good start.	11/12/2014 11:06 AM
12	Burner soup = BV and pregnancy... if you are gonna get a hot tub, you should probably figure out how to keep it clean you filthy hippies.	11/12/2014 10:48 AM
13	You guys were kidding about the stock market, right?	11/12/2014 10:47 AM
14	thanks for asking!	11/12/2014 10:36 AM
15	Only hot tubs if it's a shared hot tub we can all use... together ;)	11/12/2014 10:35 AM
16	To go where?	11/12/2014 9:19 AM
17	it would have to be big enough to accommodate everyone	11/12/2014 9:14 AM
18	DUMMY SURVEY	11/12/2014 8:38 AM
19	Meh, what with even my very limited knowledge about transmission vectors for some STI's, one wonders if that might not be a good idea.	11/12/2014 8:31 AM
20	As long as you invite me to hot tub party ;)	11/12/2014 2:57 AM
21	It shall be filled with pony piss!	11/11/2014 11:52 PM
22	Hot tub to be filled with tears of sparkle ponies.	11/11/2014 11:15 PM
23	i will yet make it to BM 'someday' but PDF has done a great job of repeatedly introducing/reminding me and my friends of its ideals	11/11/2014 10:28 PM
24	The hot tub should be portable and brought to PDF, with a public lottery for access. Excluding dirty hippies.	11/11/2014 10:08 PM
25	if it's a hot tub for everyone to use then sure	11/11/2014 10:07 PM
26	def not...yuck!!m	11/11/2014 9:08 PM
27	#97. Do you feel the BoD should purchase a hot tub? - is the hot tub open for the greater PDF community use?	11/11/2014 9:00 PM
28	If they do purchase a hot tub it should be turned up to 212 and used to dispatch any moron who thinks staying at your current sad piece of land is a good idea.	11/11/2014 7:33 PM
29	As long as the hot tub isn't just for the BoD! I like Pdf. My camps have had good times. I would like more art if possible. And some quiet spaces when quiet is preferred.	11/11/2014 7:18 PM
30	Overall I hope in PDF is able to find a new location, one that will allow more participants and more art.	11/11/2014 7:18 PM
31	can we use the hot tub?	11/11/2014 7:07 PM
32	I am in favor of renting land outside of the current location.	11/11/2014 7:00 PM
33	Thanks for your efforts and enthusiasm. Prioritize Now - Soon - Eventually ~~~ooo~~~	11/11/2014 6:23 PM
34	Need new leadership and new land. That's all.	11/11/2014 5:48 PM
35	Sure purchase a really big one, but Damn it better be cleaned right, and shared with everyone.	11/11/2014 5:30 PM
36	Of COURSE they should get a hot tub. Everyone should get hot tubs. Don't be ridiculous, survey. What are you, drunk?	11/11/2014 5:23 PM
37	A swimming pool was very fun at PEX. Swimming areas would be nice (would make it a more summer event then, I suppose...)	11/11/2014 4:58 PM

38	PDF should be it's own thing. I personally don't care if it's officially recognized as a regional burning man event or not, and I don't see why anyone does. The 10 principles are not copyrighted and we are free to use them or modify them, We should try to be original and radically express ourselves instead of trying to fit into a "burning man" mold. Thanks for taking the time to read my thoughts and opinions. Cheers to you and to many years of beautiful, beautiful burning.	11/11/2014 4:34 PM
39	Thanks so much!	11/11/2014 3:54 PM
40	Yes=only if I can play too!	11/11/2014 3:51 PM
41	Stop kids from attending. Have an out of the way family camping area or prohibit nudity and adult interaction. Children should not be allowed to touch adults private parts.	11/11/2014 3:44 PM
42	Ohhhhh yea.	11/11/2014 3:37 PM
43	I LOVE THE SILLY! I DON'T ENVY THE HARD DECISIONS YOU ALL HAVE TO MAKE. I APPRECIATE YOUR SERVICE TO THIS COMMUNITY BOTH AT THE EVENTS AND ALL OF THE DECISIONS YOU MAKE ON THE REGULAR TO GRANT US ALL A PLACE TO BURN AND EXPRESS OURSELVES.	11/11/2014 3:01 PM
44	Hot tub should be heavily chlorinated :P	11/11/2014 2:49 PM
45	As for buying a new plot of land ... there are Many other groups who would rent the land for their own events. SCA, LARP, NERO, MRS. ACL .. etc. I Say DO IT preferable a little farther North then the current location.	11/11/2014 1:56 PM
46	I feel that the community has no confidence in many of the pdf directors to produce an outstanding event, but tolerate the status quo because of lack of effort or clarity. Others have demonstrated that solutions to pdfs problems exist, while the pdf board has not made any major advancements in solving their problems. Pdf is a good event because of its attendees, not because of its strong leadership. I would like to see that change.	11/11/2014 1:12 PM
47	Hot tubs. Tubs. Not tub. Tubs.	11/11/2014 1:03 PM
48	NO LIVE ANIMALS should be allowed, except service dogs. Period!	11/11/2014 1:01 PM
49	thank you for putting this together. Its nice to see so many of the community concerns in this survey it shows that at least the voices of the community are being heard. I'm excited to see what comes out of this survey and the town hall. Thank you again!	11/11/2014 12:59 PM
50	Absolutely not! Gross....	11/11/2014 12:41 PM
51	I thought they kept it in the back of the freezer trailer, with all the good Scotch and Cubans.	11/11/2014 12:36 PM
52	I love this community and i hate to see it being torn apart by petty squabbles. Please remember that our commonalities are much greater than our differences. If we don't look out for each other, who will?	11/11/2014 12:33 PM
53	Thanks!	11/11/2014 12:28 PM
54	I believe in US!!!!	11/11/2014 12:08 PM
55	Only if everyone can use it and it's cleaned by a cute boy in a gold bikini. I appropriate one ticket for the cute boy to service the hot tub and me!	11/11/2014 11:47 AM
56	That was a long survey. Dam n, I want to help but don't make it this difficult. Love you guys.	11/11/2014 11:46 AM
57	Can I come to the hot tub party?	11/11/2014 11:32 AM
58	Can you imagine how gross that would be by the end of the event? Ugh. Dirty hippies... Thanks for doing what you do. Seriously. I was as candid as possible with this because I feel like you probably just get a lot of people smiling and nodding. I don't want you guys to think I don't like your event. If I didn't like it I wouldn't come back. Onward and upward, right?	11/11/2014 11:31 AM
59	Pdf has a culture of no first and foremost.	11/11/2014 11:30 AM

60	I really enjoy this community and I do not want to see it give up on the Burning Man ethos - it would make me not return to this event. I would like to see the funds that have been invested go towards creating somewhere that PDF and other festivals - hopefully more burn/LNT festivals - would be held. I think that over time the VVMC has pushed us into a smaller and smaller box - the size of art has shrunk considerably in the last few years, and sound has also nearly disappeared. This is an interesting challenge for camps, but I want to see what amazing and large-scale art can be made in what I consider my home territory - where we should be able to do more because we don't have to take it 3000 miles away to the desert. I'd love to have somewhere with more shade, little to no blacktop/pavement, and ease of movement (though I don't mind walking uphill). I thank you, whoever is slogging through all this data, and I also want to thank whoever put this survey into motion. I hope you get an accurate portrayal of the community, and I really appreciate you taking the time to listen to all of us. Now I feel like I need to work on an art grant for next year.	11/11/2014 11:25 AM
61	Boobs	11/11/2014 11:15 AM
62	Just ask Brewster, those things are expensive and get dirty fast.	11/11/2014 10:45 AM
63	It is very exciting to see movement happening to enrich and grow PDF	11/11/2014 10:15 AM
64	Hot Tub maintenance is a pain in the ass, not like that, perv. Ball pit, or maybe just an inflatable bounce house. Can you spell faliculitius? No I can't either, but it sucks almost as much as genital warts on the slip n slide. Maybe drop the whole bacon thing and focus on pizza, it's easier to eat, a bit less greasy, and you can put meat and vegetables on it. Once drones hit the skies pizza will be delivered by drone, really. Bacon drone delivery will need eggs to go with it. Eggs will want to fly because they never got to be birds and fly in the first place. So unfair! We DO NOT want to start a chicken uprising. Because chickens love pizza, then there goes all the pizza. POOF! I once heard of a chicken tear the head off a dog who stole his pizza. Or maybe it was a cat. Either way. No it was a dog, Biggie. Maybe PDF needs to finance building an outside wood fired pizza oven on the Vets land? Pizza all weekend, all the time. It's quiet, feeds the masses, and people can build their own. Unlike waffles and scrapple, that takes way too long and is very heavy on the meat. I love scrapple in small amounts, and waffles in excess, but we can feed hungry burners with pizza, ok and maybe stone soup. Vegans and Meat Heads alike. There will be an art grant for making small scale edible art on a pizza. Burning art is so passé, edible art is the future. Only if it's not at a camp with stripper pole, food and poles don't mix. Cuz when people get loose, they get really loose and do #2. We can't have that near any food. Maybe there needs to be better food policy at PDF, like they did at BRC, that's when the real moolah starts rolling in. Maybe "Pavilion Pizza" should be it's name, like Center Camp Café but different. This is really fun I could go on and talk to you more at this tollbooth, but I really need to eat some breakfast, and wash my face, wait. Am I at PDF now? Oh look something shiny. Bye!	11/11/2014 10:11 AM
65	Make sure to clean that God damn hot tub every once in awhile!	11/11/2014 7:36 AM
66	Private purchase, not PDF funded .. sure, go for it. With PDF funds? No .. buy land, then consider a hot-tub and the associated maintenance for a once or twice a year use (seems rather silly to me).	11/11/2014 2:27 AM
67	I truly hope this is not just another hot air balloon... I have seen how many issues have been sweep under the rug, and how many times people had left because of the "if you don't like it, go some where else" mentality. I hope these efforts really bring change and action.	11/11/2014 12:25 AM
68	whose hot tub did I spend that hot night in?	11/11/2014 12:10 AM
69	Get safety plans. Spend money on safety. Do the financials and get them out there already. Get a fucking handbook already. Don't let another wonderful person be buried in confusion and bull shit. Spend money on getting potties and water to the peeps. Keep communicating, fb doesn't equal the internet. THEN think about buying some land. But ya know, might be fun having spring at ramblewood or having one big and one little burn a year.	11/10/2014 7:30 PM
70	i have basically given up on PDF. i care greatly about the east coast burner community and am an active participant, but i am fed up with all the arguing surrounding pdf and the lack of action. in my mind there is NO EXCUSE why the event is still at the VVMC - given the inappropriate conduct of some vets (who are spectators, have censored art, and have caused complaints from some of our too few community members of color). rent land, buy land, stop talking about it and do something. part of the problem, from what i can gather, is entrenched people who refuse to make any changes, which is why i am strongly in favor of term limits. freeform found a place in less than a year. was it perfect? no. but they have addressed a lot of the problems pdf has, and i prefer to dedicate my limited time and energy there.	11/10/2014 7:23 PM
71	Buy a hot tub.	11/10/2014 6:58 PM
72	is that hot tub question serious?	11/10/2014 6:58 PM
73	I would support purchasing a time machine hot tub because I want to experience 1996 again.	11/10/2014 6:39 PM

74	a hot tub at a burn would be hell a nasty. a large sauna for fall burns could be pretty awesome, though.....	11/10/2014 5:41 PM
75	I will tow the hot tub around with my truck.	11/10/2014 5:21 PM
76	I really like Dove Russo's EPEX concept and support it fully	11/10/2014 4:45 PM
77	Not before investing in better showers. But ehhhh!	11/10/2014 4:36 PM
78	I made a Rubens Tube this weekend! :D	11/10/2014 4:34 PM
79	lmao...	11/10/2014 4:29 PM
80	PDF's pretty good at what it does but I've heard stuff. Lots of people work hard/do good down at the bottom of the ladder but I've heard sketchy shit about the top of the ladder. Actual event info is weak, who runs an event w/ a Facebook page? Same people nobody knows running the show for yrs and surprise, they live in DC where the first - only meeting is. HUNDREDS of thousands of dollars in the bank, maybe, no one knows because the board doesn't do their paperwork. EVERYBODY including some great people get nominated to the board but no one actually makes it ON the board. sounds fixed. The dude killing himself at the regional was scary as f#ck. I heard PDF doesn't really have safety. I've seen dudes in space suits, a garden hose, MASH and heard that's it. Can't understand how PDF is still an official regional when Transformus got bent over hard for way less. Don't understand how buying land is going to fix those things. Don't care. Tickets are almost free and the crowd is sweet. Just throw some more potties in the back and keep on keeping on. The people at the top of ladder don't care about me anymore than I care about them. Just like default world, the a-holes w/ the money running the show are in DC and nothing I can do can change that - so why worry. Just put some potties in the back, oK.	11/10/2014 4:22 PM
81	I think the purchase hot tube question is frivolous and out of context.	11/10/2014 4:18 PM
82	LOL!	11/10/2014 3:59 PM
83	Theme Camps who have proven to be an asset to PDF over time should have reserved tickets and early entry access.	11/10/2014 3:22 PM
84	that seats 2000	11/10/2014 2:57 PM
85	Work on creating a fabric that will invoke more artistic expression and less folks coming to just drink and camp.	11/10/2014 2:53 PM
86	Glad to see this happening!!!	11/10/2014 2:43 PM
87	I think the BOD deserves a 2nd hot tub that is more VIP than the original VIP hot tub.	11/10/2014 2:21 PM
88	Thanks for asking!	11/10/2014 2:09 PM
89	Thank you for this survey!	11/10/2014 2:02 PM
90	Sounds like a great way to spread MRSA to all and sundry.	11/10/2014 2:00 PM
91	Expecting to be gifted a hot tub is an act of faith. Purchasing one supports the local economy. It can be used for raising koi between burns.	11/10/2014 2:00 PM
92	I am TOTALLY offended that the PC was not contacted on this question - because the PC hot tub should be voted on before the coordinator hot tub - OR - the VETS hot tub GOLF CART HOT TUBS 4 ALL	11/10/2014 1:50 PM
93	A HOT TUB WOULD BE AMAZING!!!	11/10/2014 1:46 PM
94	?	11/10/2014 1:37 PM
95	As long as I can use it, sure ;)	11/10/2014 1:26 PM
96	Why?	11/10/2014 1:24 PM
97	That would be more gross than the PEX pool! Ewww!	11/10/2014 1:22 PM
98	Question 97. Awesome. :)	11/10/2014 12:37 PM
99	Only if it is filled with champagne and put on the back of a flatbed truck.	11/10/2014 12:37 PM
100	and make virgins clean it out between events...	11/10/2014 12:36 PM
101	and hookers and blow	11/10/2014 12:31 PM